

JUS5650: Enforcement and Dispute Resolution in a Digital Context – Spring 2014

Lecture Overview & Reading

Please see the lecture hours and lecture rooms in the schedule published on the course website.

The lecturers are:

- TM: Tobias Mahler, Associate Professor, NRCCL (responsible for overall course)
- EW: Emily Weitzenboeck, Postdoctoral Research Fellow, NRCCL

Literature should be read before the lectures; the references below point to both required and supplemental literature listed in the course syllabus.

Date	Lecture	Issues	Reading	Lecturer
27.01.	Introduction to course; Lex informatica and cyberspace I	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • General overview of course • Brief introduction to interlegal issues and dispute resolution • Introduction to “lex informatica” and “code” 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Chris Reed, Making Laws for Cyberspace, Introduction, Chapter 1: Command and control, Chapter 2: The route to lawfulness • Lessig, Code version 2.0, 2006, Chapters 1, 7,17 • Reidenberg, “Lex Informatica: The Formulation of Information Policy Rules Through Technology”, Texas Law Review, 1998, vol. 76, pp. 553–593 	TM
03.02.	Lex informatica and cyberspace II	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Continued from lecture 1 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Rotenberg, “Fair Information Practices and the Architecture of Privacy (What Larry Doesn’t Get)”, Stanford Technology Law Review, 2001 • Greenleaf, “An Endnote on Regulating Cyberspace: Architecture vs Law?” University of New South Wales Law Journal, 1998, vol. 21, no. 2 	TM
10.02. NB! 08:15-10:00	Self-regulation and co-regulation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • What is self-regulation? • What is co-regulation? • What are their respective advantages and disadvantages? • Variants of codes of practice/conduct 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Koops et al, “Should Self-Regulation be the Starting Point?” in Koops et al (eds.) Starting Points for ICT Regulation: Deconstructing Prevalent Policy One-Liners, 2006, pp. 109–149 • Mifsud Bonnici, 3, Self-regulation in Cyberspace, 2008, pp. 9–32 	TM

24.02.	Cybercrime	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Emergence of new forms of criminal activity related to computer use and cyberspace • Distinguishing features of cybercrime • Legal methodological issues related to analysis and assessment of cybercrime • Enforcement problems 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Wall, Cybercrime, 2007, pp. 17–19; 157–166 • Edwards & Waelde (eds.), Law and the Internet, 2009, Chapters 19, 20 and 21 	TM
28.02.	<i>Announcement of essay question</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>See Fronter</i> 	<i>n/a</i>	<i>n/a</i>
03.03.	Dispute resolution I: jurisdiction and enforcement	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Jurisdiction and arbitration clauses • Consumer protection issues • Internet enforcement 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Hörnle, “The Jurisdictional Challenge of the Internet”, in Edwards & Waelde (eds.), Law and the Internet, 2009, Chapter 3 • Reidenberg, “States and Internet Enforcement”, University of Ottawa Law & Technology Journal, 2003–04, vol. 1, pp. 213–230 • Chris Reed, Making Laws for Cyberspace, Chapter 3: Extraterritoriality 	EW
10.03.	Dispute resolution II: applicable law	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Proper law of online contracts • Proper law of torts • Consumer protection issues 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Hörnle (as above) • Gilles, “Addressing the ‘Cyberspace Fallacy’: Targeting the Jurisdiction of an Electronic Consumer Contract”, International Journal of Law and Information Technology, 2008, vol. 16, no. 3, pp. 242–269 • Tang, “The Interrelationship of European Jurisdiction and Choice of Law in Contract”, Journal of Private International Law, 2008, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 35–59 	EW
17.03.	Dispute resolution III: ADR	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Types of ADR • Mediation • e-ADR 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Schiavetta, Electronic Alternative Dispute Resolution – Increasing Access to Justice via Procedural Protections (doctoral thesis), 2008, Chapter 1, pp. 23–48 • Schiavetta, “The Relationship between e-ADR and Article 6 of the European convention of Human Rights pursuant to the case law of the European Court of Human Rights”, Journal of Information, Law and Technology, 2004, no. 1 	TM
22.03.	<i>Submit draft term paper</i>			<i>n/a</i>
24.03.	Legal risk management	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Risk and legal risk • How to carry out a legal risk assessment 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mahler, Legal Risk Management: Developing and Evaluating Elements of a Method for Proactive Legal Analyses, With a Particular Focus on Contracts, 2010, Chapters 1, 4, 6, 13 	TM

31.03.	Essay workshop	Work-in-progress discussion of the Term Paper; includes tips on how to write a good paper (eventually, a thesis)	n/a	n/a
07.04.	Enforcement in cloud computing	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Introduction to cloud computing • Enforcement issues and cloud computing 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Council of Europe, “Cloud Computing and cybercrime investigations: Territoriality vs. the power of disposal?”, 2010 • Chris Reed, Making Laws for Cyberspace, Chapter 4: Enforcement in cyberspace 	TM
28.04.	Cyberspace and information security	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Introduction to information security law • Assessing security and legal risk 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Chris Reed, Making Laws for Cyberspace, Chapter 5: Sources of authority, Chapter 8: Three ways to make meaningless law, Chapter 9: Aims and effectiveness • Proposal for a Directive of the European Parliament and of the Council concerning measures to ensure a high common level of network and information security across the Union - COM(2013) 48 final - 7/2/2013 - EN 	TM
<i>See web</i>	<i>Deadline final term paper</i>			