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1. Automatic threshold detection:
   a. Ridler-Calvard’s method
   b. Otsu’s method.

2. Global thresholding methods, when and why do they fail?

3. Local thresholding methods:
   a. Niblack’s method.
   b. Otsu’s method.
Ridler Calvard’s method

1. This is a “classical method” originally described in the article “Picture Thresholding Using an Iterative Selection Method” by T. Ridler and S. Calvard, in IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man and Cybernetics, vol. 8, no. 8, August 1978.
1. In the previous lecture we showed the following:
   a. If a histogram is the sum of two distributions $b(z)$ and $f(z)$, $b$ and $f$ are the normalized background and foreground distributions respectively, $z$ is the gray level and $B$ and $F$ be the prior probabilities for the background and foreground ($B+F=1$), then the histogram can be written $p(z)=Bb(z)+Ff(z)$.
   b. In this case the optimal threshold $T$ will always be given by the equation:

   $$Ff(T) = Bb(T)$$
1. If you assume that \( b(z) \) and \( f(z) \) are Gaussian distributions, then this equation becomes:

\[
\frac{B}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_B^2}} e^{-\frac{(T-\mu_B)^2}{2\sigma_B^2}} = \frac{F}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_F^2}} e^{-\frac{(T-\mu_F)^2}{2\sigma_F^2}}
\]

2. A few algebraic manipulations will transform this into a second order equation in \( T \):

\[
(\sigma_B^2 - \sigma_F^2)T^2 + 2(\mu_B\sigma_F^2 - \mu_F\sigma_B^2)T + \sigma_B^2\mu_F^2 - \sigma_F^2\mu_B^2 + 2\sigma_B^2\mu_F^2\ln\left(\frac{B\sigma_F}{F\sigma_B}\right) = 0
\]
Ridler Calvard’s method

1. If the standard deviations of the two distributions are equal (\(\sigma_B = \sigma_F = \sigma\)) then this simplifies to:

\[
2(\mu_B - \mu_F)T - (\mu_B + \mu_f)(\mu_B - \mu_f) + 2\sigma^2\left(\frac{R}{F}\right) = 0
\]

2. This can be solved explicitly for \(T\):

\[
T = \frac{\mu_B + \mu_f}{2} + \frac{\sigma^2}{\mu_B - \mu_F} \ln\left(\frac{F}{B}\right)
\]

3. If (finally), the two distributions are roughly equiprobable then:

\[
T = \frac{\mu_B + \mu_f}{2}
\]
1. The equation:

\[ T = \frac{\mu_B + \mu_f}{2} \]

is the foundation of Ridler Calvard’s method.

2. In practical life \( \mu_b \) and \( \mu_f \) are unknowns.

3. We must estimate these based on suggested thresholds.

4. This is what Ridler Calvard’s method tries to do.
Ridler Calvard’s method

1. Assume that the histogram of the image is $p(z)$ where $z$ is the gray level.

2. Very simple idea:
   a. Start by choosing an initial threshold $t_0$ equal to the average gray level of the image.
   b. Then iterate and calculate new thresholds according to the following formula:

   $$t_{k+1} = \frac{\mu_1(t_k) + \mu_2(t_k)}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{\sum_{z=0}^{t_k} zp(z)}{\sum_{z=0}^{t_k} p(z)} + \frac{\sum_{z=t_k+1}^{G-1} zp(z)}{\sum_{t_k+1}^{G-1} p(z)} \right]$$

   c. Here $\mu_1$ is the mean value of the gray levels below $t_k$ and $\mu_2$ the mean value of the gray levels above the threshold.
Ridler Calvard’s method

1. Matlab example.
Otsu’s method - motivation

1. Let’s assume that you have a gray level image with \( L \) gray levels and a normalized histogram \( p \).

2. Also assume that the image contains two populations of pixels, within each population the pixels resemble each other spectrally whereas the populations themselves differ spectrally.

3. Now find a threshold so that the pixels in the two classes that arise as a result of the thresholding are as homogeneous as possible while the two classes are as different as possible.
   a. Homogeneous pixels in the classes: the variance of each class is as low as possible.
   b. Different classes: the difference in mean values between the classes is as large as possible.
Otsu’s method – original article

Otsu’s method – example image

Letter from Sir Francis Drake to Queen Elizabeth informing her of the defeat of the Spanish Armada. Our objective will be to segment out just the text. Notice that the background is not very uniform due to stains.
Otsu’s method – example image

Subset of the previous image after conversion to graylevels and its histogram. Notice that the histogram is not bimodal in any way.
Otsu’s method

1. Let the pixels of a given picture be represented in $L$ graylevels $[1,2,\ldots,L]$.
2. The number of pixels at level $i$ is denoted $n_i$.
3. The total number of pixels is $N$, $N=n_1+n_2+\ldots+n_L$.
4. The histogram is normalized:

$$p_i = \frac{n_i}{N}, \quad p_i \geq 0, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{L} p_i = 1$$
Otsu’s method

1. Let’s assume that the pixels are divided into two classes, $C_0$ and $C_1$ (background and objects or vice versa) by a threshold at level $k$.

2. Thus $C_0$ denotes pixels with levels $[1,2,\ldots,k]$ and $C_1$ denotes pixels with levels $[k+1,\ldots,L]$. 
Otsu’s method

1. Now the probability of class occurrence is given by:

\[ \omega_0 = Pr(C_0) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} p_i = \omega(k) \]

\[ \omega_1 = Pr(C_1) = \sum_{i=k+1}^{L} p_i = 1 - \omega(k) \]
Otsu’s method - example

Normalized histogram and image thresholded at $k=130$. At this threshold $\omega_0=0.04$ and $\omega_1=0.96$. 
Otsu’s method

1. The class mean levels are given by:

   \[ \mu_0 = \sum_{i=1}^{k} iPr(i|C_0) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} ip_i/\omega_0 = \mu(k)/\omega(k) \]

   \[ \mu_1 = \sum_{i=k+1}^{L} iPr(i|C_1) = \sum_{i=k+1}^{L} ip_i/\omega_1 = \frac{\mu_T - \mu(k)}{1 - \omega(k)} \]

   where \( \omega_k = \sum_{i=1}^{k} p_i \) and \( \mu(k) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} ip_i \) and \( \mu_T = \mu(L) = \sum_{i=1}^{L} ip_i \)
Otsu’s method - example

1. At $k=130$ this results in $\mu_0=105$, $\mu_1=220$ and $\mu_T=216$. 
Otsu’s method

1. You can verify that:

\[ \omega_0 \mu_0 + \omega_1 \mu_1 = \mu_T, \quad \omega_0 + \omega_1 = 1 \]
Otsu’s method

1. The class variances are given by:

$$\sigma_0^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{L} \frac{(i - \mu_0)^2 Pr(i|C_0)}{\omega_0}$$

$$\sigma_1^2 = \sum_{i=k+1}^{L} \frac{(i - \mu_1)^2 Pr(i|C_1)}{\omega_1}$$
Otsu’s method - example

1. At $k=130$ this results in $\sigma_0=393$ and $\sigma_1=301$.
1. Now the interesting thing is obviously to study what happens as you vary $k$. 
Otsu’s method - example

1. Consider the following measure and it’s evolution as a function of \( k \).

\[
\sigma_W^2 = \omega_0\sigma_0^2 + \omega_1\sigma_1^2
\]

2. This is a measure of the sum of the variances in the two classes.
1. Next consider the following measure and it’s evolution as a function of k.

2. This can be considered as a measure of the variance between the classes.

\[ \sigma_B^2 = \omega_0 (\mu_0 - \mu_T)^2 + \omega_1 (\mu_1 - \mu_T)^2 = \omega_0 \omega_1 (\mu_1 - \mu_0)^2 \]
Otsu’s method

1. In order to evaluate the goodness of a given threshold we have several options:

\[ \lambda = \frac{\sigma_B^2}{\sigma_W^2}, \quad \kappa = \frac{\sigma_T^2}{\sigma_W^2}, \quad \eta = \frac{\sigma_B^2}{\sigma_T^2} \]

where:

\[ \sigma_W^2 = \omega_0 \sigma_0^2 + \omega_1 \sigma_1^2 \]

and:

\[ \sigma_B^2 = \omega_0 (\mu_0 - \mu_T)^2 + \omega_1 (\mu_1 - \mu_T)^2 = \omega_0 \omega_1 (\mu_1 - \mu_0)^2 \]

and finally

\[ \sigma_T^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{L} (i - \mu_t)^2 p_i \]
1. It can be verified that these criteria are related by:

\[ \kappa = \lambda + 1, \quad \eta = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda + 1} \]

since:

\[ \sigma^2_W + \sigma^2_B = \sigma^2_T \]
Otsu’s method

1. Typically we optimize $\eta$, that is, we look for $k^*$ according to the following formula:

$$\sigma_B^2(k^*) = \max_{1 \leq k \leq L} \sigma_B^2(k)$$
Otsu’s method - example

1. This shows the evolution of the measure $\eta$ as a function of $k$.

2. It peaks for a value of 179.

3. The image thresholded at this level is also shown.
Otsu’s method - example

For the total image the best threshold determined by Otsu is 190. The original image and the thresholded version is shown to the right. Notice that the global threshold does not produce very satisfactory results.
Otsu’s method

1. Matlab example.
Global methods, when and why do they fail?

1. The problem observed in the last slide is very common.
2. If the image background is uneven, then finding a global threshold that provides satisfactory results can be impossible.
3. It may, quite simply, be impossible to find one single threshold that will separate the classes.
4. In such cases locally adaptable methods are preferred.
5. They can either treat the image in a blockwise manner or in a “sliding” window manner.
6. We will look at several such methods.
Niblack’s method

1. Simple and efficient method for adaptive thresholding

2. The local threshold is set at:

\[ t(i, j) = \mu(i, j) + w\sigma(i, j) \]
Niblack’s method

1. The values for local mean and standard deviation is calculated over a local MxN window.
2. The parameters are the weight w and the window size.
3. Programming this is next weeks exercise!
Niblack’s method, window size 31, threshold set at 0.8 times the local standard deviation below the local mean (remember we are looking for something that is darker than it’s surroundings. Notice the improvement compared to the result when using a global threshold.
1. Basically, any method for estimating the threshold can also be applied locally in a blockwise or sliding window fashion.

2. Otsu’s method is easily adaptable to this operation mode.

3. Depending on window size (and obviously the image size), a local application of Otsu can be quite computationally intensive.