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Mobile and Ubiquitous Computing 1. Introduction

Motivation

- **Mobile computing**: exploiting the connectedness of portable devices
- **Ubiquitous computing**: exploiting the increasing integration of services and (small/tiny) computing devices in our everyday physical world
- **Mobile and ubiquitous computing**: requires particular solutions in many areas caused by dynamically changing computing environment: users, devices, and software components

Some Open Questions

- How can software components associate and interoperate with one another while devices move, fail or spontaneously appear?
- How can systems become integrated with the physical world?
- How to adapt to small devices’ lack of computation and I/O resources?
- How to handle security in volatile, physically integrated systems?
### Fields and Subfields

- Mobile computing
- Ubiquitous computing
- Wearable computing
- Context-aware computing

### Internet of Things

- One Definition: the worldwide network of interconnected objects uniquely addressable based on standard communication protocols
Volatile Systems

- Common system model for mobile and ubiquitous computing (and their subfields)
- Changes (or failures) are considered common rather than exceptional (in contrast to other types of systems where changes or failures are considered to be exceptions)
- Forms of volatility
  - failures of devices and communication links
  - changes in the characteristics of communication such as bandwidth
  - the creation and destruction of associations – logical communication relations – between software components resident on the devices
- Mobile and ubiquitous computing exhibit all of the above forms of volatility.

Modeling Elements

- Smart spaces
- Device model
- Volatile connectivity
- Spontaneous interoperation
Events within which volatile systems subsist

- A physical place/room with **embedded services**
  - The services are provided only or principally within that space
- Movements or “appearance or disappearance” in a smart space:
  - Physical mobility
  - Logical mobility
  - Service/device appearance
  - Service/device disappearance
- GAIA: active spaces

**Smart Room: Example 1**

- **Smart rooms:** responding to a user with an active badge
  1. User enters the room wearing active badge
  2. Infrared sensor detects user’s ID
  3. Display responds to user

_GAIA:_ active spaces

Smart Space: Example 2

- **Smart homes**: respond to a user with a Smartphone

1. User with Smartphone enters the room
2. Occupancy sensor detects his presence and notifies Set-Top Box
3. STB looks for user profile in his mobile device
4. STB adjusts the temperature and lightening level according to user's preferences

Source: DigiHome Platform

Modeling Elements

- Smart spaces
- Device model
- Volatile connectivity
- Spontaneous interoperation
Mobile and Ubiquitous Computing 2. Volatile Systems

Device Model

- Limited energy
  - typically use battery
  - energy-preserving algorithms

- Resource constraints
  - relative resource poor (CPU, memory, ...)
  - algorithmic challenge

- Sensors and actuators
  - to make a device context-aware

- Examples
  - Motes
  - Smart phones
  - ....

Real, Virtual and Digital Home

SMART HOME

- Motes monitor a person's context.
- Motes monitor the state of various household devices.
- Motes communicate via a wireless network.
- Motes optimize energy consumption.
- Motes can send messages to other devices.
- Motes can be used for security and remote control.

The computer can send messages to Motes and devices to enable notification and control of various household appliances.
Modeling Elements

- Smart spaces
- Device model
- Volatile connectivity
- Spontaneous interoperation

Volatile Connectivity

- Variation between different technologies (Bluetooth, WiFi, 3G, 4G, etc.)
  - Bandwidth, latency
  - Energy costs
  - Financial costs to communicate
- Disconnection
  - More likely in wireless networks
  - Multi-hop routing
- Variable bandwidth and latency
  - Packet loss due to weak signal
  - Signal strength varies
  - Difficult to determine timeout-values in higher layer protocols due to varying conditions
Modeling Elements

- Smart spaces
- Device model
- Volatile connectivity
- Spontaneous interoperation

Spontaneous Interoperation

- In volatile systems, components routinely change the set of components they communicate with
  - take advantage of possibility to communicate with local components in a smart space, or a device may want to offer services to clients in its local environment
- Association: a logical relationship formed when at least one of a given pair of components communicates with the other over some well-defined period of time
- Interoperation: interaction during an association
- Spontaneous interoperation: interoperation that is not planned or designed in!
Pre-configured vs Spontaneous Associations

Examples:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pre-configured</th>
<th>Spontaneous</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Human-driven:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>web browser and web servers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service-driven:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>email client and server</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data-driven:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P2P file-sharing applications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physically-driven:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>mobile and ubiquitous systems</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Requirement: a device that appears in a smart space needs to bootstrap itself in the smart space

Two steps for bootstrapping itself:
- Network bootstrapping (DHCP-server)
- Zero Configuration Networking
- Establish associations between components on the device and services in the smart space

The association problem
- With which components of the many devices in the space should the components on the appearing device interoperate?
- How to constrain the scope to services in the smart space only (e.g., the hotel room)?
- ‘Boundary principle’:
  - smart spaces need to have system boundaries that correspond accurately to meaningful spaces as they are normally defined (territorially or administratively)
A directory service that is used to register and look up services in a smart space

Requirements to discovery services
- Service attributes is determined at runtime (hard!)
- Service discovery must be possible in a smart space without infrastructure to host a service discovery service
- Registered services may spontaneously disappear
- The protocols used for accessing the directory need to be sensitive to the energy and bandwidth they consume (cf. device model)

### Methods for service de/registration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Explanation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><code>lease := register(address, attributes)</code></td>
<td>Register the service at the given address with the given attributes; a lease is returned</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>refresh(lease)</code></td>
<td>Refresh the lease returned at registration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>deregister(lease)</code></td>
<td>Remove the service record registered under the given lease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>serviceSet := query(attributeSpecification)</code></td>
<td>Return a set of registered services whose attributes match the given specification</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Service Discovery in Jini

1. 'finance' lookup service
2. Here I am: admin, finance
3. Request 'printing'
4. Use printing service

Directory server or serverless

- **Directory server**: clients issue a multicast-request to locate the server (as in Jini)
  - Not all smart spaces have facilities for server implementations
- **Serverless** discovery: the participating devices collaborate to implement a distributed discovery service
  - **Push model**: servers multicast ('advertise') their descriptions regularly, and clients run their queries against them
  - **Pull model**: clients multicast their requests and devices providing matching services, respond
  - Both approaches are relatively resource demanding (battery, bandwidth) in their pure form
Interoperation

- How can components that want to associate determine what protocol they can use to communicate?
- Main problem is incompatibility between software interfaces (components need not have been designed together)
- Two approaches:
  - Adapt interface to each other (interface adaptation): difficult
  - Constrain interfaces to be identical in syntax across as wide a class of components as possible
    - Example: Unix pipes (read, write)
    - Example: The set of methods defined in HTTP (GET, POST, ...)
  - Such systems are called data oriented
    - Require additional mechanisms to describe type and value of data exchanged (e.g. MIME types), as well as the processing semantics of the server (difficult!)

Data Oriented Programming Models

- Data oriented programming models that have been used for volatile systems:
  - Event-systems (pub/sub)
  - Tuple spaces
  - Direct device interoperation (devices brought into direct association)
Principle of publish-subscribe (event system)

- Event model is asynchronous, tuple spaces model is synchronous.
- Problem in volatile systems?

Example of Tuple Space: JavaSpaces

- Event model is asynchronous, tuple spaces model is synchronous.
- Problem in volatile systems?
How can such systems be integrated with the physical world?

Sensing and Context Awareness

- Systems can be integrated with the physical world through **sensing and context awareness**
- Sensing: use sensors to collect data about the environment
- **Context-aware systems**: can respond to its (sensed) physical environments (location, heat, light intensity, device orientation, presence of a device, etc.) and the context can determine its (further) behaviour
- **Context** of an entity (person, place or thing): an aspect of its physical circumstances of relevance to system behaviour
Sensors

- Combination of hardware and software
- Sensors are the basis for determining contextual values
  - Location, velocity, orientation, ...
  - Temperature, light intensity, noise, ...
  - Presence of persons or things (e.g., based on RFID – electronic labels - or Active Badges)
- An important aspect of a sensor is its failure model
  - Some are simple (e.g., a thermometer often has known error bounds and distribution)
  - Some are complicated (e.g., accuracy of satellite navigation units depend on dynamic factors)

Sensor Software Architectures

- Applications normally operate on more abstract values than sensors can produce
- Sensor abstractions are important to avoid application level concerns with the peculiarities of individual sensors
- Therefore common to build a software architecture for sensor data as hierarchies
  - Nodes at a low hierarchical level provide sensor data at a low level of abstraction (longitude/latitude of a device)
  - Nodes at higher hierarchical levels (closer to the root node) provide sensor data at higher levels of abstraction (the device is in Frank's Cafe)
- Nodes at higher levels combine sensor data from lower levels both to abstract and to increase reliability
System architecture for general context aware applications
- Based on ‘context-widgets’: reusable components that abstract over some types of context attributes (hide low level sensor details)
- Example: Interface to a IdentityPresence widget class

### Attributes (accessible by polling)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attribute</th>
<th>Explanation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Location the widget is monitoring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identity</td>
<td>ID of the last user sensed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timestamp</td>
<td>Time of the last arrival</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Callbacks

- PersonArrives(location, identity, timestamp)
  - Triggered when a user arrives
- PersonLeaves(location, identity, timestamp)
  - Triggered when a user leaves

Example: Context Toolkit – Cont’d

- Example of use of IdentityPresence widget
- A *PersonFinder* widget constructed by using IdentityPresence widgets ...

![Diagram](image-url)
### Wireless Sensor Networks (WSNs)

- Network consisting of a (typically high) number of small, low-cost units or nodes that are more or less arbitrary arranged (e.g., “thrown out” in high numbers in a certain geographical area)
- Self-organising (ad-hoc network), functions independently of an infrastructure
- The nodes have sensing and processing capacity, can communicate wirelessly with a limited range (save energy), and act as routers for each other
- Are volatile systems because nodes can fail (battery exhaustion or otherwise destroyed (e.g., fire)), connectivity can change due to node failures

### WSNs: Architectural Features

- **Features:** driven by requirements of energy conservation and continuous operation
- **In-network processing:** The nodes have processing capabilities because processing is much less costly in energy consumption than (wireless) communication. Can be exploited to reduce the need for communication (only communicate when there is a need for it)
- **Disruption-tolerant networking:** based on store-and-forward transfer of data (not end-to-end)
- **Data oriented programming of nodes:** since nodes can fail, we can not rely on programming techniques for sensor nodes that refer to single nodes
Directed Diffusion

- A programming technique that takes the three architectural features of wireless sensor networks into account
- Nodes (sources) have sensing capabilities (e.g., can measure temperature) or properties (e.g., location) that they can compare to needs for sensor information that they receive (as messages)
- Nodes that have a need for sensor information (sinks) declare this in "interest messages" that they send to neighbor nodes.

A. Interest propagation

B. Gradients set up

C. Data delivery

Need for Adaptation

- Run-time conditions of mobile applications (applications running on mobile devices) vary dynamically
  - Varying capabilities of different devices
  - Varying resource availability
  - User needs and wishes
- Need for adapting the application to a dynamically varying context
  - Adapt application to resource situation (battery, bandwidth, memory)
    - Example: Dynamically adapt media quality (e.g., video) to available bandwidth and/or to user preferences, and/or to device capabilities
  - Dynamically adapt user interface to situation of user or the orientation of the device ...
  - Adapt application to availability of devices and services in the environment (ubiquitous services)
**Mobile and Ubiquitous Computing 4. Adaptation**

**Example: MUSIC Project**

- MUSIC: Middleware for context-aware mobile application
- Mobile USers In ubiquitous Computing environments
  - An EU-funded project (FP6, Integrated Project)
  - Started October 2006, ended March 2010

- Project outcomes
  - Development studio (modeling and transformation tools)
  - Middleware architecture (prototype implementation)

- Licensing
  - Open Source project (Licensed under LGPL 2.1)

---

**Mobile and Ubiquitous Computing 4. Adaptation**

**MUSIC: Motivation**

- When handheld devices are carried by users moving around in ubiquitous computing environments
- devices come and go
- network connections come and go and QoS varies, and therefore
- services available for use come and go
- service quality varies
- user tasks vary and are interleaved with tasks related to movement and social interaction
- computing resources and power are limited
In such environments applications and users will benefit a lot from context awareness and self-adaptiveness.

The demand for applications exhibiting such properties is accelerating:
- Mobile computing
- Ubiquitous computing
- Service oriented computing

Developing such applications with standard methods and technology is difficult, time-consuming and costly.
MUSIC: Approach

- Disciplined development methodology
  - Separately develop the context sensing and self-adapting logic from the business logic of the applications (Separation of Concerns)
- Use a middleware layer to transparently manage the extra-functional aspects of the application
  - Single, centralized context management (i.e., sensing, reasoning, storing, accessing)
  - Cross-application adaptation reasoning (utility function based)
Component-based applications
- Components can be added/removed at runtime (flexibility)
- Application variants (configurations) are determined at runtime

Centralized context management
- Context sensing, storing, reasoning, and access are all performed in a centralized way inside the middleware

Adaptation reasoning
- Based on the varying context (environment, execution context, user needs) the utility of each variant is evaluated dynamically
- Adaptation occurs when the variant found to be offering the optimal utility is different from the selected one

MUSIC: How It Works

4. Adaptation

Composed into

adaptation planning

adaptation reasoning

applications are built as component compositions

variants have “context needs” which are used to “evaluate their utility”

the variant with the highest utility is selected

… and applied!
Mobile and Ubiquitous Computing

Summary

- Most challenges to mobile and ubiquitous systems are caused by their volatile nature
- In such environments, applications need to be context aware and adaptive
  - Integrated with the physical world through sensing and context awareness
  - Adapt to changes in the physical circumstances by changing behavior (e.g., component reconfiguration)
- There are many challenges, but yet only few (comprehensive) solutions
  - MUSIC: an example of a comprehensive solution