Meta-models and Grammars Prof. Andreas Prinz

Introduction, Compilers Modelling & Meta-modelling Examples Meta-models vs. Grammars Summary



- Solved: many input/output formats
- Graphical / Domain specific languages, many transformations
- Internal representation: Meta-model vs. Abstract syntax







Aspects of Compilers/Languages

- Language structure: What are the concepts? How are they related?
- Static semantics: additional conditions, what is allowed?
- Representation: How are programs written? -> graphical vs. textual
- Dynamic semantics: What do the programs mean? How to generate code for them?





Aspects for SDL and UML

Thursday, 26 April 2007

Slide 5

What is a Model?

- A model is an abstraction of a (part of a) system.
 - one model describes several systems, one system can have several models
 - simplified view of a system with respect to criteria
 - can answer questions about the system if related to the view
 - needs a representation, e.g. using a modelling language
- Models on different abstraction levels
 - Models of the real Bits: Assembler
 - Models of the Control Flow: Prog. Lang.
 - Models of data storage: Database descriptions
 - Models of access: Interface languages
- What is the best model of a cat? → It is a cat. But it has to be the same cat!
- A model has aspects like a language.

What are Meta-Models?

- A description of a class of models
- Models / high-level descriptions of the modelling language
 - narrow view: structure of the modelling language
 - wider view: all important aspects of the language, i.e. structure, presentation, static and dynamic semantics
- Meta-models (languages) can have several aspects.

Thursday, 26 April 2007

Slide 8

A meta-modelling architecture

«component» MOF	OMG Level	Examples	Grammar example	OCL example
M3 «component»	3 = meta meta model	MOF	EBNF	MOF
UML Metamodel	2 = meta model	UML MM	Java grammar	OCL language
«component» UML Model	1 = model	UML Model	a program	a formula
M1 «component»	0 = instances	real objects	A run	a truth value
User Data M0				

Instances on several levels

Slide 11

Simple sample constraints context = Repetition inv not self.canBeEmpty implies self.exists(TermSequence)

context = NonTerminal
inv theGrammar.ProductionRule.exists
 (p|p.name = self.name)

Simple sample text syntax Grammar : {rules=ProductionRule}*; ProductionRule : left:NonTerminal "::=" right:TermSequence ";"; NonTerminal : name=ID; TermSequence : {term:Term}*; Term : NonTerminal | Terminal | Optionality Terminal : name=ID; Optionality : "[" opt:TermSequence "]"

Simple sample transformation removeAlternatives: ProductionRule(nt, Alternative(set a)) --> set ProductionRule(nt, a)

removeOptional: Optional(x) --> Alternative({x,Nothing})

Simple sample execution

 $Run(a:NonTerminal) =_{def}$ case a.rule of NonTerminal: Run(a.rule) Terminal: Print(a.rule.value) **Repetition:** choose n:Natural foreach x:1..n Run(a.rule.sequence) **Optional:** choose b:Boolean if b then Run(a.rule.term) else Skip **TermSequence**: foreach n:1..length(a.rule) Run(a.rule[i])

- Instances of the language: code
- Instances of the program: data / objects

Prepared by Andreas Prinz@AUC

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING & SCIENCE

Problem area "representation"

- There are usually several representations for the same meta-model instances.
- Tools and theory exist only for the case 1:1.
- A representation is a separate model that is related to the meta-model.

Meta-models versus grammars

- Advantages of grammars
 - Strong mathematical basis
 - Tree-based
 - Trees can be extended into general graphs
 - Several advanced tools available
 - Easily understandable
- Advantages of meta-models
 - Direct representation of graphs (graphics!)
 - Namespaces and relations between language elements (in particular for language transformations and combinations)
 - Object-oriented definition of oo languages
 - More problem-oriented
 - Reuse and inheritance
 - Tools allow direct handling of models (repositories)
 - Structuring possible (e.g. packages)

Grammars → meta-models

- 1. Every symbol is represented with a class.
- 2. A rule with a single symbol on the rhs is represented with an association between the class representing the lhs and the rhs.
- 3. A rule with a composition on the rhs is represented with an association for every sub-expression.
- 4. A rule with an alternative on the rhs is represented with a generalization for every sub-expression.
- 5. A sub-expression consisting of just one symbol is represented with the symbol's class.
- 6. A sub-expression being a composition or an alternative is represented with a new class with new name. The composition is then handled like a rule.

Using the transformation for SDL

- Joachim Fischer, Michael Piefel, Markus Scheidgen: A Metamodel for SDL-2000 in the Context of Metamodelling ULF in Proceedings of SAM2006
- Introduction of abstract concepts
 - General: namespace, namedElement, typedElement
 - Specific: parametrizedElement, bodiedElement
- Introduction of relations
 - Procedure name versus procedure definition
- Deletion of grammar artefacts
 - Referencing: identifier, qualifier
 - Names in general
 - Superfluous structuring

Conclusions / Summary

- Future languages will be defined using meta-models.
 - definition of good meta-models is difficult
 - need also agreement (standard)
 - patterns for good models needed, maybe joint concepts
- Meta-models / Languages have several aspects: structure, syntax, static and dynamic semantics
- Meta-model language definitions allow tool generation
 - Direct access to the models
 - Easy exchange of representation or several of them
 - Combination of tools handling the language
 - Description of relations between languages
- Meta-models are the models to be used in modeldriven compiler technology.