# MAT1100 - Grublegruppe Extra Problems 14

Jørgen O. Lye

This note will deal with some basic Fourier-theory. We will here just assume convergence, even though this is a somewhat subtle and deep question.

# The basic statement

Assume f is a (piecewise) continuous function on  $f : [-\pi, \pi] \to \mathbb{C}$ . Piecewise continuous means that by removing finitely many points from  $[-\pi, \pi]$ , f is continuous. The idea behind Fourier-theory is to write

$$f(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} c_n e^{inx} \tag{1}$$

for some coefficients  $c_n \in \mathbb{C}$ . Alternatively, this could be written

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( a_n \cos(nx) + b_n \sin(nx) \right) \tag{2}$$

If f is real-valued, we can choose the coefficients  $a_n$  and  $b_n$  to be real numbers. Like I said above, we will assume we can write f as such a sum, and that the equality holds expect possibly at isolated points.

## Quick question

Assume either equation 1 or 2 holds for a function f. Argue that we then need to have  $f(x + 2n\pi) = f(x)$  for any n. I.e. that f is periodic when extended to all of  $\mathbb{R}$  (f was originally only defined on  $[-\pi, \pi]$ ).

## Finding the coefficients

You were supposed to show earlier that

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{-imx} e^{inx} dx = 2\pi \delta_{mn} = \begin{cases} 2\pi & m = n \\ 0 & n \neq m \end{cases}$$

Use this to argue that

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x)e^{-imx} \, dx = 2\pi c_m$$

I.e. that if we still assume the expansion of equation 1, we can find  $c_n$  by

$$c_n = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x) e^{-inx} \, dx$$

If you recall the  $L^2$  inner-product

$$\langle f,g\rangle = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \overline{f}g \, dx$$

you will see that the above can be written as follows. Let  $e_n(x) = e^{inx}$ . Then

$$c_n = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left\langle e_n, f \right\rangle$$

And as such,

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle e_n, f \rangle e_n$$

This is analoguous to writing a vector in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  as

$$\mathbf{v} = \sum_{k=1}^n (\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{e}_k) \mathbf{e}_k$$

Since there are *n* basis vectors  $\mathbf{e}_k$  for  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , we say that  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is an *n*-dimensional vectors space. If you accept that the set  $\{e_n(x)\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$  serves the same purpose for  $L^2([-\pi,\pi])$ , then you will hopefully agree that  $L^2([-\pi,\pi])$  is infinite-dimensional as a vector space.

#### Example

Let us test this newfound technology. Let f(x) = x. Let's try computing its Fourier-coefficients to see how this work.

$$c_n = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x) e^{-inx} \, dx = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} x e^{-inx} \, dx$$

We solve this by integrating by parts ("delvis integras jon"). For  $n\neq 0,$  we have

$$c_n = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left( -\frac{xe^{-inx}}{in} \Big|_{-\pi}^{\pi} - \frac{1}{in} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{-inx} \, dx \right) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left( \frac{i}{n} (\pi e^{in\pi} - (-\pi)e^{-in\pi} - 0) \right)$$

$$c_n = \frac{i}{2n\pi} \left( 2\pi (-1)^n \right) = \frac{i}{n} (-1)^n$$

For n = 0, we get that

$$c_0 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} x \, dx = 0$$

So if Fourier-theory is supposed to work, we should be able to write

$$f(x) = x = \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{i}{n} (-1)^n e^{inx}$$

We can work a bit on the sum:

$$x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{i}{n} (-1)^n e^{inx} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{i}{-n} (-1)^{-n} e^{-inx} = i \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n} (e^{inx} - e^{-inx})$$

and finally

$$x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2(-1)^{n+1}}{n} \sin(nx)$$

#### Exercise

Go through the above computation and justify the steps!

The plots of the sum

$$\sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{2(-1)^{n+1}}{n} \sin(nx)$$

are included for N = 2, 5, 15, and 50. It gives numerical "evidence" that Fourier theory might work. Can you think of what happens at the endpoints? Hint: Note that the Fourier-series is periodic with period  $2\pi$  and f(x) = xis not!



Figure 1: Plot showing f(x) = x (blue line) and its truncated Fourier series with N = 2 terms (red).



Figure 2: Plot showing f(x) = x (blue line) and its truncated Fourier series with N = 5 terms (red).



Figure 3: Plot showing f(x) = x (blue line) and its truncated Fourier series with N = 15 terms (red).



Figure 4: Plot showing f(x) = x (blue line) and its truncated Fourier series with N = 50 terms (red).

# Example

Let's do another example! Let's try  $f(x) = x^2$ . We need to compute

$$c_n = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} x^2 e^{-inx} \, dx$$

This is done by integration by parts again, and is left to the reader. The answer is

$$x^{2} = \frac{\pi^{2}}{3} + 4\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n}}{n^{2}} \cos(nx)$$

Note that for this example,  $c_0 \neq 0$ .

Plots are included for N = 2, 5, and 10. Notice how quickly this converges. Note also that the endpoints don't blow up in this example. Any comment? In particular, think about how  $f(x) = x^2$  looks when extended periodically.



Figure 5: Plot showing  $f(x) = x^2$  (blue curve) and its truncated Fourier series with N = 2 (red curve).



Figure 6: Plot showing  $f(x) = x^2$  (blue curve) and its truncated Fourier series with N = 5 (red curve).



Figure 7: Plot showing  $f(x) = x^2$  (blue curve) and its truncated Fourier series with N = 10 (red curve).

We can get some neat little result out of the Fourier-series of  $x^2$ . If we

believe in its convergence at  $x = \pi$ , we get

$$\pi^2 = f(\pi) = \frac{\pi^2}{3} + 4\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n^2} \cos(n\pi) = \frac{\pi^2}{3} + 4\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2}$$

Rearranging this gives

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} = \frac{\pi^2}{6}$$

If you recall that

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s}$$

then this seems to show that  $\zeta(2) = \frac{\pi^2}{6}$ . If you want  $\zeta(4)$ , you could try computing the Fourier series of  $x^4$ , but it quickly gets tedious.

#### Exercise

Notice that we could write

$$x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \sin(nx)$$

and

$$x^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n \cos(nx)$$

Look at what happens when  $x \mapsto -x$  and use this to argue that

$$x^{2n} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n \cos(nx)$$

(no sines) and

$$x^{2n+1} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \sin(nx)$$

(no cosines). More generally: If f(-x) = f(x) for all x, then

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n \cos(nx)$$

If f(-x) = -f(x) for all x, then

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \sin(nx)$$

Finally, a somewhat unrelated question. Show that a function  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  which satisfies f(-x) = -f(x) for all x must satisfy f(0) = 0. Convince yourself that this is consistent with the claim I make about its Fourier series above.