

# Measures, Integrals and Martingales

Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 2005, ISBN: 0-521-85015-0 (hardback), 0-521-61525-9 (paperback)

by

*René Schilling*

List of misprints and smaller changes to the present text. Last update: October 27, 2008.

PAGE, LINE	READS	SHOULD READ
p 20, Problem 3.9	Is this still true for the family $\mathbb{B}' := \{B_r(x) : x \in \mathbb{Q}^n, r \in \mathbb{Q}^+\}$ ?	Denote by $B_r(x)$ an open ball in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with centre $x$ and radius $r$ . Show that the Borel sets $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ are generated by the family of open balls $\mathbb{B} := \{B_r(x) : x \in \mathbb{R}^n, r > 0\}$ . Is this still true for the family $\mathbb{B}' := \{B_r(x) : x \in \mathbb{Q}^n, r \in \mathbb{Q}^+\}$ ?
p 36, Problem 5.3	for all $A, B \in \mathcal{D}$	for all $A, B \in \mathcal{D}$ with $A \subset B$
p 36, Problem 5.8	Mimic the proof of Theorem 5.8(I)...	Mimic the proof of Theorem 5.8(i)...
p 45, lines 10–11 below	side-lengths $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} (b_k^{(j)} - b_k^{(j)}) > 0$	side-lengths $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} (b_k^{(j)} - a_k^{(j)}) > 0$
p 54, Problem 7.5, line 13 below	is ‘take out’ measurable if, and only if,...	is measurable if, and only if,...
p 54, Problem 7.9, line 2 below	Let $\mu$ be a measure on $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}^1)$ .	Let $\mu$ be a measure on $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}^1)$ with $\mu[-n, n] < \infty$ , $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

*continues on next page*

PAGE, LINE	READS	SHOULD READ
p 65, Problem 8.8, hint p 78, Theorem 10.4, proof (i)	{ $f > \alpha$ } <i>line missing, add</i> $\longrightarrow$  p 78, Theorem 10.4, proof (ii)	$\{u > \alpha\}$ <i>... by 9.8(ii).</i> To see the integral formula we assume that $\alpha \leq 0$ . Then $(\alpha u)^\pm = (-\alpha)(-u)^\pm = -\alpha u^\mp$ and the formula follows directly from Definition 10.1. The case $\alpha \geq 0$ follows in a similar way.  <i>... by 9.8(iii).</i> For the integral formula observe that $(u+v)^+ - (u+v)^- = u+v = (u^++v^+) - (u^-+v^-)$ . Thus, $(u+v)^+ + u^- + v^- = (u+v)^- + u^+ + v^+$ and we can integrate this equality and use 9.8(ii) to get
		$\int (u+v)^+ d\mu + \int u^- d\mu + \int v^- d\mu =$ $\int (u+v)^- d\mu + \int u^+ d\mu + \int v^+ d\mu.$ <p>Since all terms are finite, we can rearrange this equality and use Definition 10.1 to see</p> $\int (u+v) d\mu = \int u d\mu + \int v d\mu$

*continues on next page*

PAGE, LINE	READS	SHOULD READ
p 85, Problem 10.9 p 86, Probl. 10.12(i) p 96, line 5 above p 102, Problem 11.15 p 118, Problem 12.18	$u \in \mathcal{L}^1(P) \iff \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} P(\{u \geq j\}) < \infty.$ $\mu_*(E) + \mu_*(F) \leq \mu_*(E \cup F)$ $\{\Sigma[f] = \sigma[f]\} \subset \{x : f(x) \text{ is continuous}\} \cup \Pi,$ Let $X$ be a random variable on ... The conjugate index is given by $q := 1/(p-1) < 0$ .	$u \in \mathcal{L}^1(P) \iff \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} P(\{ u  \geq j\}) < \infty.$ $\mu_*(E) + \mu_*(F) \leq \mu_*(E \cup F)$ $\{\Sigma[f] = \sigma[f]\} \supset \{x : f(x) \text{ is continuous}\},$ Let $X$ be a positive random variable on ... The conjugate index is given by $q := p/(p-1) < 0$ .
p 133, Prob. 13.13(iv) line 14 below p 133, Problem 13.14, line 9 below pp 134-5, Thm 14.1	$\left[ \phi(F(s)) - \phi(F(s-)) - \phi'(F(s))\Delta F(s) \right]$ $\mu_f(\{f \geq t\})$	$\left[ \phi(F(s)) - \phi(F(s-)) - \phi'(F(s-))\Delta F(s) \right]$ $\mu_f(t) := \mu(\{ f  \geq t\})$
p 135, lines 3-5 below p 137, line 5 below p 139, line 13 above	Often we are ... Theorem 14.1 $\dots = \alpha(\mu \times \nu)(B).$ such that $\ u - \phi_\epsilon\ _p$	The proof of Theorem 14.1 shows more than what is claimed. Add, therefore, the following line in the statement of Theorem 14.1 after formula (14.2): <i>In particular, <math>u \circ T</math> is <math>\mu</math>-integrable if, and only if, <math>u</math> is <math>T(\mu)</math>-integrable.</i> Delete lines 3-5 from below

continues on next page

PAGE, LINE	READS	SHOULD READ
p 141, Problem 14.7, line 11 above	$u \in \mathcal{L}^1(\lambda^1)$	$u \in \mathcal{L}_+^1(\lambda^1)$
p 141, Problem 14.9, line 17 above	$\mathcal{L}^p(\lambda^n), \mathcal{L}^q(\lambda^n)$	$\dots = \{y : \exists x \in \text{supp } u :  x - y  \leq \epsilon\}$
p 141, Prob. 14.10(iii)	$\dots = \{y : \forall x \in \text{supp } u :  x - y  \leq \epsilon\}$	$v \star w(x) = \dots$
p 141, Prob. 14.11(ii)	$u \star w(x) = \dots$	$\dots \text{ associativity of the convolution which is implicit in } \dots$
p 141, Prob. 14.11, line 2 below	$\dots \text{ commutativity of the convolution which was used in } \dots$	
p 160, Prob. 15.6(i), line 5 below	$\det D\Phi(x)$	$ D\Phi(x) ^2$
p 160, Prob. 15.6(ii), line 4 below	$\det D\Phi(x)$	$ D\Phi(x) $
p 161, Prob. 15.6(iv), line 5 above	$\det D\tilde{\Phi}(x, r) = 1 + (f'(x)^2) - rf''(x)$	$\det D\tilde{\Phi}(x, r) = \sqrt{1 + (f'(x))^2} - \frac{rf''(x)}{1 + (f'(x))^2}$
p 161, Prob. 15.6(vi), line 11 above	$\dots \mid \det D\tilde{\Phi}(x, r) \mid \lambda^1(dr)$	$\dots \mid \det D\tilde{\Phi}(x, s) \mid \lambda^1(ds)$
p 161, Prob. 15.6(vii), line 14 above	$\lim_{r \downarrow 0} \lambda^2(C(r)) = \int_{\Phi^{-1}(C)}  \det D\Phi(x)  \lambda^1(dx)$	$\lim_{r \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{2r} \lambda^2(C(r)) = \int_{\Phi^{-1}(C)}  \det D\tilde{\Phi}(x, 0)  \lambda^1(dx)$
p 161, Prob. 15.7(ii), line 12 below	$\int_M u(r \xi) r^n d\lambda_M(\xi) = \dots$	$\int_M u(r \xi) r^n d\lambda^n(\xi) = \dots$
p 161, Prob. 15.7(iii), line 8 below	$= \int_{(0,\infty)} \int_{\{\ x\ =1\}} u(r x) \sigma(dx) \lambda^1(dr).$	$= \int_{(0,\infty)} \int_{\{\ x\ =1\}} r^{n-1} u(r x) \sigma(dx) \lambda^1(dr).$

continues on next page

PAGE, LINE	READS	SHOULD READ
p 165-6, Proof of Theorem 16.6		a more detailed version of the proof of (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) is now available online
p 173, Prob. 16.1, line 19 below	$f_j \xrightarrow{j \rightarrow \infty} 0$	$u_j \xrightarrow{j \rightarrow \infty} 0$
p 173, Prob. 16.3, line 13 below	be a measure space	be a $\sigma$ -finite measure space
p 174, Prob. 16.8	$\rho_\mu, g_\mu, d_\mu$ etc.	$\rho_P, g_P, d_P$ etc.
p 177, line 8 below	Therefore (ii) means	Therefore (i) means
p 188, Prob. 17.1	$u_0 = \int u_1 d\mu$	$u_0 = \mu(X)^{-1} \int u_1 d\mu$
p 188, Prob. 17.7	delete the unnecessary $u_0 := \int u_1 d\mu$	
p 191, line 11 above	$(b-a)U([a,b];N)$	$(b-a)U([a,b];N) - (X_N - a)^-$
p 191, line 14 above	$(b-a) \int_A U([a,b];N) d\mu$	$(b-a) \int_A U([a,b];N) d\mu - \int_A (X_N - a)^- d\mu$
p 191, line 14 above	delete the unnecessary $\leq \int_A (X_N - a)^+ d\mu$	
p 200, Prob. 18.6(ii)	Let $\mathcal{A}_n = \sigma(X_1, \dots, X_{2^n})$ . Show that $A_n := \{X_{(n-1)^2+1} + \dots + X_{n^2} = 0\}$ is for each $n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 2$ contained in $\mathcal{A}_n$ and	Let $\mathcal{A}_n = \sigma(X_1, \dots, X_{n^2})$ . Show that $A_n := \{X_{(n-1)^2+2} + \dots + X_{n^2} = 0\}$ is for each $n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 2$ contained in $\mathcal{A}_n$ and
p 200, Prob. 18.6(iii)	$n \in \mathbb{N}_0$	$n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 2$

continues on next page

PAGE, LINE	READS	SHOULD READ
p 200, Prob. 18.6(iii)	$M_0 := 0$ , (twice) $X_{2^n+1}$	(twice) $M_2 := 0$ , $X_{n^2+1}$
p 223, Prob. 19.9	$(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$	$(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}), \mu)$
p 223, Prob. 19.9	drop the hint	
p 225, Prob. 19.14	A martingale $(u_j, \mathcal{A}_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ is called	A martingale $(u_j, \mathcal{A}_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ on a $\sigma$ -finite filtered measure space is called
p 247, Prob. 21.13 (iv)–(vi) p 261, line 6 above	add the following $\longrightarrow$ $\mathbf{1}_{\{0 <  E^{\mathfrak{G}} u  < \infty\}}$	$u \in L^1(\mathcal{A}) \cap L^2(\mathcal{A})$ $\mathbf{1}_{\{0 <  \mathbf{E}^{\mathfrak{G}} u  < \infty\}}$
p 261, line 14 below	$\ \mathbf{E}^{\mathfrak{G}} u \mathbf{1}_{G_n}\ _1 = \langle \mathbf{E}^{\mathfrak{G}} u, \mathbf{1}_{G_n} \rangle \stackrel{21.4\text{(iii)}, \text{(ix)}}{=} \langle u, \mathbf{1}_{G_n} \rangle \leq \ u\ _1$	$\frac{\ \mathbf{E}^{\mathfrak{G}} u \mathbf{1}_{G_n}\ _1}{\ u\ _1} \stackrel{22.4\text{(xii)}}{\leqslant} \langle \mathbf{E}^{\mathfrak{G}} u , \mathbf{1}_{G_n} \rangle \stackrel{22.4\text{(iii)}, \text{(ix)}}{=} \langle  u , \mathbf{1}_{G_n} \rangle \leqslant$
p 274, problem 23.4	$M(\mathcal{A})$	$M^+(\mathcal{A})$
p 274, problem 23.6	$L^1(\mathcal{A})$	$L^1_+(\mathcal{A})$
p 275, problem 23.11	twice: $s_j$	twice: $u_j$
p 281, lines 10–11 above	$C[0, 1] \subset L^1[0, 1] \subset L^2[0, 1]$	$C[0, 1] \subset L^2[0, 1] \subset L^1[0, 1]$

continues on next page

PAGE, LINE	READS	SHOULD READ
p 283, (24.4)	... from the classical result that	... from the classical result that for $j, k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\ell, m \in \mathbb{N}$
	$\int_{(-\pi, \pi)} \cos kx \sin \ell x dx = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } k \neq \ell, \\ \pi, & \text{if } k = \ell \geq 1, \\ 2\pi, & \text{if } k = \ell = 0, \end{cases} \quad (24.4)$	$\int_{(-\pi, \pi)} \cos jx \cos kx dx = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } k \neq j, \\ \pi, & \text{if } k = j \geq 1, \\ 2\pi, & \text{if } k = j = 0, \end{cases} \quad (24.4)$
		$\int_{(-\pi, \pi)} \sin \ell x \sin mx dx = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \ell \neq m, \\ \pi, & \text{if } \ell = m \end{cases}$
		$\int_{(-\pi, \pi)} \cos kx \sin \ell x dx = 0 \quad \text{for all } k, \ell$
p 286–7, Lemma 24.11, Corollary 24.12	replace <u>throughout</u> “ $C[-\pi, \pi]$ ” with	“ $C_{\text{per}}[-\pi, \pi]$ ” (the set of $2\pi$ -periodic continuous functions $C_{\text{per}}[-\pi, \pi] = \{u \in C[-\pi, \pi] : u(\pi) = u(-\pi)\}$ )
p 297, line 9 below	$(\mathbf{E}^{\mathcal{A}_{-M}^\Delta} u)_{M \in \mathbb{N}}$	$(\mathbf{E}^{\mathcal{A}_{-M}^\Delta} u)_{M \in \mathbb{N}}$
p 309, line 5 below	most prominent stochastic process	most prominent stochastic processes
p 310, line 3 above	$B \in \mathcal{B}([0, 1])$	$B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$
		<i>continues on next page</i>

PAGE, LINE	READS	SHOULD READ
p 310, lines 9-12 above	$\langle \mathbf{1}_{[0,t]}, H_n \rangle = \int_0^t H_n(x) dx =$ $\frac{1}{2} 2^{k/2} \int_0^t H_1(2^k x - j) dx = \frac{1}{2} 2^{-k/2} F_n(t),$ <p>where <math>F_1(t) = \int_0^t H_1(x) dx \mathbf{1}_{[0,1]}(t) = 2t \mathbf{1}_{[0,\frac{1}{2}]}(t) - (2t - 2) \mathbf{1}_{[\frac{1}{2},1]}(t)</math> is a tent-function and <math>F_n(t) := F_1(2^k t - j)</math>. Since <math>0 \leq F_n \leq 1</math>, we see</p> $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}  \langle \mathbf{1}_{[0,t]}, H_n \rangle ^2 \leq \frac{1}{4} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-k} = \frac{1}{2},$ <p><math>e^{\sigma_n^2 \xi^2/2}</math> (twice) resp. <math>e^{\sigma^2 \xi^2/2}</math></p>	$\langle \mathbf{1}_{[0,t]}, H_n \rangle = \int_0^t H_n(x) dx =$ $2^{k/2} \int_0^t H_1(2^k x - j) dx = 2^{-k/2} F_n(t),$ <p>where <math>F_1(t) = \int_0^t H_1(x) dx \mathbf{1}_{[0,1]}(t) = t \mathbf{1}_{[0,\frac{1}{2}]}(t) - (t - 1) \mathbf{1}_{[\frac{1}{2},1]}(t)</math> is a tent-function and <math>F_n(t) := F_1(2^k t - j)</math>. Since <math>0 \leq F_n \leq \frac{1}{2}</math>, we see</p> $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}  \langle \mathbf{1}_{[0,t]}, H_n \rangle ^2 \leq \frac{1}{4} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} = \frac{1}{4},$ <p><math>e^{-\sigma_n^2 \xi^2/2}</math> (twice) resp. <math>e^{-\sigma^2 \xi^2/2}</math></p>

*continues on next page*

**p 311, line 10-13 below**

this paragraph is corrupted (the exponent 4 is missing) and should be corrected as follows (see also bonus material for an extended version):

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_0^1 \sup_{t \in [0,1]} |S_N(t; \omega) - S_M(t; \omega)|^4 d\omega \\
 &= \int_0^1 \sup_{t \in [0,1]} \left| \sum_{k=m}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{2^k-1} e_{2^k+j}(\omega) \langle \mathbf{1}_{[0,t]}, H_{2^k+j} \rangle \right|^4 d\omega \\
 &= \int_0^1 \sup_{t \in [0,1]} \left| \sum_{k=m}^{n-1} 2^{-\frac{k}{8}} \left[ \sum_{j=0}^{2^k-1} 2^{\frac{k}{8}} e_{2^k+j}(\omega) \langle \mathbf{1}_{[0,t]}, H_{2^k+j} \rangle \right] \right|^4 d\omega \\
 &\leq \int_0^1 \sup_{t \in [0,1]} \underbrace{\left[ \sum_{k=m}^{n-1} 2^{-\frac{k}{6}} \right]}_{\leq 10} \cdot \sum_{k=m+1}^n \left[ \sum_{j=0}^{2^k-1} 2^{\frac{k}{8}} e_{2^k+j}(\omega) \langle \mathbf{1}_{[0,t]}, H_{2^k+j} \rangle \right]^4 d\omega
 \end{aligned}$$

Let us finally turn to the dependence of  $W_t(\omega)$  on  $t$ . Note that for  $2^m - 1 = M < N = 2^n - 1$  we have

where we used Hölder's inequality for the outer sum with  $p = \frac{4}{3}$  and  $q = 4$ . Since the functions  $t \mapsto \langle \mathbf{1}_{[0,1]}, H_{2^k+j} \rangle$  have for  $j = 0, \dots, 2^k - 1$  disjoint supports and are bounded by  $\frac{1}{2} 2^{-k/2}$ , we find

*continues on next page*

PAGE, LINE	READS	SHOULD READ
continues		$\int_0^1 \sup_{t \in [0,1]}  S_N(t; \omega) - S_M(t; \omega) ^4 d\omega$ $\leq 10 \int_0^1 \sup_{t \in [0,1]} \sum_{k=m}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{2^k-1} 2^{\frac{k}{2}} e_{2^k+j}^4(\omega) \langle \mathbf{1}_{[0,t]}, H_{2^k+j} \rangle^4 d\omega$ $\leq 10 \sum_{k=m}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{2^k-1} 2^{\frac{k}{2}} \underbrace{\int_0^1 e_{2^k+j}^4(\omega) d\omega}_{=C \ \forall j,k} 2^{4-2k}$ $= 10C \sum_{k=m}^{n-1} 2^{\frac{k}{2}} \cdot 2^k \cdot 2^{4-2k} \leq \frac{5C}{4} 2^{-\frac{m}{2}}$
p 312, Prob. 24.5	$\sin^{2k+1} x$	$\sin^{2k+1} x$
p 313, line 9 above	$[-\infty, +\infty)$ and $(-\infty, +\infty]$ , respectively.	$[-\infty, +\infty]$ .
p 320, Prop. B.6	(ii) Closed subsets of compact sets are closed.	(ii) Closed subsets of compact sets are compact.
p 365, Ref. [22]	Kaczmarz, S. and H. Steinhaus, <i>Theorie der Orthogonalreihen</i> (2nd corr. reprint), New York: Chelsea, 1951. First edition appeared under the same title with PWN, Warsaw: Monogr. Mat. Warszawa vol. VI, 1935.	Kaczmarz, S. and H. Steinhaus, <i>Theorie der Orthogonalreihen</i> (2nd corr. reprint), New York: Chelsea, 1951. First edition was published in Warsaw: PWN, Monogr. Mat. Warszawa vol. VI, 1935.
p 365, Ref. [34]	Paley, R. E. A. C. and N. Wiener, Providence (RI): <i>Fourier Transforms in the Complex Domain</i> , American Mathematical Society, Coll. Publ. vol. 19, 1934.	Paley, R. E. A. C. and N. Wiener, <i>Fourier Transforms in the Complex Domain</i> , Providence (RI): American Mathematical Society, Coll. Publ. vol. 19, 1934.

*continues on next page*

PAGE, LINE	READS	SHOULD READ
<b>p 372, index item completion and inner/outer regularity</b>	160, (Pr 15.6)	160, (Pr 15.3)

I would like to thank the following readers for their comments and their contributions to this list:  
*Björn Böttcher (Dresden), Lik Hang Nick Chan (Hong Kong), Jerry Koliha (Melbourne), Klaus D. Schmidt (Dresden), Eugene Shargorodsky (London), Anja Voß-Böhme (Dresden)*