

UNIVERSITY OF OSLO
DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMICS

Exam: **ECON4160 – Econometrics – Modeling and systems estimation**

Date of exam: Monday, May 26, 2008 **Grades will be given: Thursday, June 12**

Time for exam: 2:30 p.m. – 5:30 p.m.

The problem set covers 8 pages

Resources allowed:

- All written and printed resources, as well as calculators, are allowed

The grades given: A-F, with A as the best and E as the weakest passing grade. F is fail.

ECON 4160: ECONOMETRICS –
MODELLING AND SYSTEMS ESTIMATION
PROBLEM SET, EXAM SPRING 2008

PROBLEM 1 (weight: 60 %)

We are interested in analyzing, from micro data, the relationship between female labour supply, measured as the actual number of hours worked per year, and the length of education and work experience, measured in years. To explore this a cross-section data set from 753 females in the US observed in 1975 for the following six variables has been compiled:

Y1 = Number of hours worked in the year 1975
Y2 = Education, in years
X1 = Work experience, in years
Z1 = Father's education, in years
Z2 = Mother's education, in years
Z3 = Husband's education, in years

We assume that (Y1,Y2) are endogenous variables, that X1 is exogenous, and that (Z1,Z2,Z3) have been proposed as candidates for being instruments for Y2 in the equation

$$(*) \quad Y1 = \alpha + \beta Y2 + \gamma X1 + U,$$

where U is a disturbance.

The estimation results and other printouts referred to below are obtained from PcGive and are given at the end of the problem.

(A): Give a stochastic specification of the model, and give reasons why treating (Y1, Y2) as jointly endogenous variables may be reasonable. In EQ(1)–EQ(2) two versions of (*) are estimated, the first with γ set to zero *a priori*, the second with both coefficients free. Explain briefly why the two equations give different estimates of β and why both sets of OLS estimates are inconsistent.

(B): Assume that (X1,Z1,Z2,Z3) are exogenous variables in the model to which (*) belongs and that the number of other equations and of other endogenous variables, say N_* (≥ 1), is unknown. Show, by using the order condition, that (*) is identified regardless of the value of N_* .

(C): Consider the estimates in printouts EQ(3) and EQ(6). Explain briefly the terms 'IVE' and 'Additional instruments' and explain why the estimates are both consistent under the given assumptions. Why do they differ when computed from the 753 observations?

(D): Could X1 alone have served as an instrument for Y2 in (*)? State briefly the reason for your answer.

(E): Let (Q1, Q2, Q3, Q4) be four derived variables defined and calculated by PcGive by

Algebra code for variable transformations:

```
Q1 = X1+Z1;
Q2 = X1+2*Z1;
Q3 = X1+Z2;
Q4 = X1+2*Z2;
```

Explain why (Q1, Q2, Q3, Q4) are all valid instruments for (*) and why the estimates in EQ(4)–EQ(5) coincide with those in EQ(3) and why the estimates in EQ(7)–EQ(8) coincide with those in EQ(6).

[**Hint:** Note that (i) both (X1, Q1) and (X1, Q2) are one-to-one (non-singular) transformations of (X1, Z1) and (ii) (X1, Q3) and (X1, Q4) are one-to-one (non-singular) transformations of (X1, Z2).]

(F): Explain briefly the estimation method used for equations EQ(9)–EQ(10), in particular how it differs from the methods used for equations EQ(3) and EQ(6). Which conclusions do you draw from printouts EQ(11)–EQ(12) and the correlation matrix below about the quality of the instruments? What would you conclude about the effect on the female labour supply of (a) a one year increase in education, (b) a one year increase in work experience?

(G): Good arguments may be given for treating X1 as an endogenous variable, jointly determined with Y1 and Y2. If you accept this, how would you then modify your model and proceed to estimate the coefficients of (*)? Explain briefly.

PCGIVE PRINTOUTS FOR PROBLEM 1

MEANS, STANDARD DEVIATION AND CORRELATIONS. THE SAMPLE IS: 1 TO 753

Means

	Y1	Y2	X1	Z1	Z2	Z3
	740.58	12.287	10.631	8.8088	9.2510	12.491

Standard deviations (using T-1)

	Y1	Y2	X1	Z1	Z2	Z3
	871.31	2.2802	8.0691	3.5723	3.3675	3.0208

Correlation matrix:

	Y1	Y2	X1	Z1	Z2	Z3
Y1	1.0000	0.10596	0.40496	0.013671	0.057864	-0.0096504
Y2	0.10596	1.0000	0.066256	0.44246	0.43534	0.61195
X1	0.40496	0.066256	1.0000	-0.078802	-0.082179	-0.036301
Z1	0.013671	0.44246	-0.078802	1.0000	0.57307	0.36670
Z2	0.057864	0.43534	-0.082179	0.57307	1.0000	0.32447
Z3	-0.0096504	0.61195	-0.036301	0.36670	0.32447	1.0000

EQ(1) Modelling Y1 by OLS-CS. The estimation sample is: 1 to 753

	Coefficient	Std.Error	t-value	t-prob	Part.R ²
Y2	40.4890	13.87	2.92	0.004	0.0112
Constant	243.094	173.3	1.40	0.161	0.0026
sigma	866.986	RSS		564499772	
R ²	0.0112276	F(1,751) =	8.528	[0.004]**	
log-likelihood	-6161.52	DW		0.973	
no. of observations	753	no. of parameters		2	
mean(Y1)	740.576	var(Y1)		758180	

EQ(2) Modelling Y1 by OLS-CS. The estimation sample is: 1 to 753

	Coefficient	Std.Error	t-value	t-prob	Part.R ²
Y2	30.3699	12.74	2.38	0.017	0.0075
X1	43.1593	3.599	12.0	0.000	0.1609
Constant	-91.3922	161.3	-0.567	0.571	0.0004
sigma	794.728	RSS		473694833	
R ²	0.170281	F(2,750) =	76.96	[0.000]**	
log-likelihood	-6095.49	DW		1.18	
no. of observations	753	no. of parameters		3	
mean(Y1)	740.576	var(Y1)		758180	

EQ(3) Modelling Y1 by IVE-CS. The estimation sample is: 1 to 753

		Coefficient	Std.Error	t-value	t-prob
Y2	Y	38.9067	28.31	1.37	0.170
X1		42.9995	3.632	11.8	0.000
Constant		-194.584	345.5	-0.563	0.574
sigma		794.966	RSS		473978536
Reduced form sigma		796.74			
no. of observations		753	no. of parameters		3
no. endogenous variables		2	no. of instruments		3
mean(Y1)		740.576	var(Y1)		758180

Additional instruments:
[0] = Z1

EQ(4) Modelling Y1 by IVE-CS. The estimation sample is: 1 to 753

		Coefficient	Std.Error	t-value	t-prob
Y2	Y	38.9067	28.31	1.37	0.170
X1		42.9995	3.632	11.8	0.000
Constant		-194.584	345.5	-0.563	0.574
sigma		794.966	RSS		473978536
Reduced form sigma		796.74			
no. of observations		753	no. of parameters		3
no. endogenous variables		2	no. of instruments		3
mean(Y1)		740.576	var(Y1)		758180

Additional instruments:
[0] = Q1

EQ(5) Modelling Y1 by IVE-CS. The estimation sample is: 1 to 753

		Coefficient	Std.Error	t-value	t-prob
Y2	Y	38.9067	28.31	1.37	0.170
X1		42.9995	3.632	11.8	0.000
Constant		-194.584	345.5	-0.563	0.574
sigma		794.966	RSS		473978536
Reduced form sigma		796.74			
no. of observations		753	no. of parameters		3
no. endogenous variables		2	no. of instruments		3
mean(Y1)		740.576	var(Y1)		758180

Additional instruments:
[0] = Q2

EQ(6) Modelling Y1 by IVE-CS. The estimation sample is: 1 to 753

		Coefficient	Std.Error	t-value	t-prob
Y2	Y	79.0119	29.01	2.72	0.007
X1		42.2486	3.667	11.5	0.000
Constant		-679.367	354.0	-1.92	0.055
sigma		802.418	RSS		482905547
Reduced form sigma		793.73			
no. of observations		753	no. of parameters		3
no. endogenous variables		2	no. of instruments		3
mean(Y1)		740.576	var(Y1)		758180

Additional instruments:
[0] = Z2

EQ(7) Modelling Y1 by IVE-CS. The estimation sample is: 1 to 753

		Coefficient	Std.Error	t-value	t-prob
Y2	Y	79.0119	29.01	2.72	0.007
X1		42.2486	3.667	11.5	0.000
Constant		-679.367	354.0	-1.92	0.055
sigma		802.418	RSS		482905547
Reduced form sigma		793.73			
no. of observations		753	no. of parameters		3
no. endogenous variables		2	no. of instruments		3
mean(Y1)		740.576	var(Y1)		758180

Additional instruments:
[0] = Q3

EQ(8) Modelling Y1 by IVE-CS. The estimation sample is: 1 to 753

		Coefficient	Std.Error	t-value	t-prob
Y2	Y	79.0119	29.01	2.72	0.007
X1		42.2486	3.667	11.5	0.000
Constant		-679.367	354.0	-1.92	0.055
sigma		802.418	RSS		482905547
Reduced form sigma		793.73			
no. of observations		753	no. of parameters		3
no. endogenous variables		2	no. of instruments		3
mean(Y1)		740.576	var(Y1)		758180

Additional instruments:
[0] = Q4

EQ(9) Modelling Y1 by IVE-CS. The estimation sample is: 1 to 753

		Coefficient	Std.Error	t-value	t-prob
Y2	Y	22.6733	18.74	1.21	0.227
X1		43.3034	3.610	12.0	0.000
Constant		1.64213	231.5	0.00709	0.994
sigma		794.922	RSS		473925434
Reduced form sigma		794.47			
no. of observations		753	no. of parameters		3
no. endogenous variables		2	no. of instruments		5
mean(Y1)		740.576	var(Y1)		758180

Additional instruments:

[0] = Z1
[1] = Z2
[2] = Z3

EQ(10) Modelling Y1 by IVE-CS. The estimation sample is: 1 to 753

		Coefficient	Std.Error	t-value	t-prob
Y2	Y	22.6733	18.74	1.21	0.227
X1		43.3034	3.610	12.0	0.000
Constant		1.64213	231.5	0.00709	0.994
sigma		794.922	RSS		473925434
Reduced form sigma		794.47			
no. of observations		753	no. of parameters		3
no. endogenous variables		2	no. of instruments		5
mean(Y1)		740.576	var(Y1)		758180

Additional instruments:

[0] = Q1
[1] = Q3
[2] = Z3

EQ(11) Modelling Y1 by OLS-CS. The estimation sample is: 1 to 753

		Coefficient	Std.Error	t-value	t-prob	Part.R ²
X1		44.5060	3.605	12.3	0.000	0.1692
Z1		-0.568442	10.18	-0.0558	0.956	0.0000
Z2		26.3366	10.63	2.48	0.013	0.0081
Z3		-7.74773	10.43	-0.743	0.458	0.0007
Constant		125.588	138.8	0.905	0.366	0.0011
sigma		794.472	RSS			472127057
R ²		0.173027	F(4,748) =	39.13	[0.000]**	
log-likelihood		-6094.24	DW		1.17	
no. of observations		753	no. of parameters		5	
mean(Y1)		740.576	var(Y1)		758180	

EQ(12) Modelling Y2 by OLS-CS. The estimation sample is: 1 to 753

		Coefficient	Std.Error	t-value	t-prob	Part.R ²
X1		0.0318243	0.007590	4.19	0.000	0.0230
Z1		0.101756	0.02144	4.75	0.000	0.0292
Z2		0.130410	0.02238	5.83	0.000	0.0434
Z3		0.373721	0.02195	17.0	0.000	0.2793
Constant		5.17748	0.2921	17.7	0.000	0.2957
sigma		1.6726	RSS			2092.60733
R ²		0.464812	F(4,748) =	162.4	[0.000]**	
log-likelihood		-1453.28	DW		2	
no. of observations		753	no. of parameters		5	
mean(Y2)		12.2869	var(Y2)		5.19262	

PROBLEM 2 (weight: 40 %)

(A): Consider the simple macro model

$$(1) \quad C_t = \alpha + \beta Y_t + u_t,$$

$$(2) \quad Y_t = C_t + I_t + G_t.$$

where Y_t (= GNP) and C_t (= Total Private Consumption) are endogenous, I_t (= Total Gross Investment) and G_t (= Total Public Expenditure) are exogenous variables, and u_t is a disturbance. Complete the model description and explain which of its equations can be identified from time series on (Y_t, C_t, I_t, G_t) . The marginal propensity to consume, β , can be estimated consistently by instrumental variables in four different ways, by using as instruments for Y_t , respectively, (i) only I_t , (ii) only G_t , (iii) $I_t + G_t$, or (iv) both I_t and G_t . Which of alternatives (i)–(iv) would you prefer if you believe in this simple model? State the reason for your answer.

(B): An extended version of the macro model is also of interest:

$$(3) \quad C_t = \alpha_1 + \beta_1 Y_t + u_t,$$

$$(4) \quad I_t = \alpha_2 + \beta_2 (Y_t - Y_{t-1}) + \gamma_2 G_t + v_t,$$

$$(5) \quad Y_t = C_t + I_t + G_t,$$

where (4), with $\beta_2 > 0$, $\gamma_2 > 0$, represents a hypothesis that gross investment responds partly to the increase in GNP and partly to certain components of Total Public Expenditure, and v_t is a disturbance. Complete the model description also in this case. Decide which of the model's equations can be identified from time series of (Y_t, C_t, I_t, G_t) . How would you now estimate the consumption function?

[Hint: In interpreting (4) and specifying the model stochastically, you may consider it as having the form

$$I_t = \alpha_2 + \beta_2 Y_t + \beta_3 Z_t + \gamma_2 G_t + v_t$$

with the linear restriction $\beta_3 = -\beta_2$ imposed and with $Z_t = Y_{t-1}$ considered as predetermined (with properties which in this context can be treated as coinciding with those of an exogenous variable).]

(C): The reduced form equation for Y_t , obtained by inserting (3) and (4) into the national budget identity (5) and solving for Y_t is (derivation not required)

$$(6) \quad Y_t = a + bG_t + cY_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t,$$

where

$$a = \frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}{1 - \beta_1 - \beta_2}, \quad b = \frac{1 + \gamma_2}{1 - \beta_1 - \beta_2}, \quad c = -\frac{\beta_2}{1 - \beta_1 - \beta_2}, \quad \varepsilon_t = \frac{u_t + v_t}{1 - \beta_1 - \beta_2}.$$

Would you consider (6) as describing a lag distribution, and if so which form does it have? Assume that consistent estimates of $(\beta_1, \beta_2, \gamma_2)$, satisfying $\beta_2 < \frac{1}{2}(1 - \beta_1) \implies |c| < 1$, are

available (you are not required to propose an estimation procedure). Explain how you from this information would estimate b and c consistently and explain briefly how you from the estimates obtained, symbolized by $\hat{\cdot}$, would proceed to compute the effect of a one unit increase in G in a particular year

- (a) on Y in the current year,
- (b) on Y in the next year, and
- (c) on Y in the long run, i.e., the sum of the effects in the current and all future years.

[Hint: To illustrate your points you may well use numerical values, say

$$(\hat{\beta}_1, \hat{\beta}_2, \hat{\gamma}_2) = (0.65, 0.1, 0.05) \implies (\hat{b}, \hat{c}) = (4.2, -0.4).]$$