**Exam in:** ECON 4160: Econometrics: Modelling and Systems Estimation

Day of exam: 28 November 2017

Time of day: 09:00-12:00

This is a 3 hour school exam.

Guidelines: In the grading, question A gets 20 %, B 40 % and C 40 %.

## Question A (20 %)

Consider the linear in parameters system consisting of the four random variables  $X_i, Y_i, W_i, Z_i$ . Assume that you have a sample of *n* mutually independent quadruplets  $(X_i, Y_i, W_i, Z_i)$  that are identically distributed. Analyze the difference between the "plim" of the OLS estimate of the total derivative of *Y* with respect to *X* and the true partial derivative of *Y* with respect to *X*.

## Answer note

Omitted variables question. Covered also by obligatory.

## Question B (40 %)

A white-noise time series  $\{\varepsilon_t; t = 1, 2, ... T\}$  is characterized by:

(1)  $E(\varepsilon_t) = 0$ 

(2) 
$$Var(\varepsilon_t) = \sigma_{\varepsilon}^2 > 0$$

(3) 
$$Cov(\varepsilon_t, \varepsilon_{t-j}) = 0$$
, for  $j = \pm 1, \pm 2, ...$ 

Assume that the DGP for the time series  $Y_t$  is:

(4) 
$$Y_t = \phi_0 + \phi_1 Y_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t$$

Based on (1)-(4), answer the following questions:

1. What are the expressions for  $E(Y_t | Y_{t-1})$  and  $Var(Y_t | Y_{t-1})$ ?

Answer:

$$E(Y_t \mid Y_{t-1}) = E(\phi_0 + \phi_1 Y_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t) = \phi_0 + \phi_1 Y_{t-1}$$
$$Var(Y_t \mid Y_{t-1}) = Var(\phi_0 + \phi_1 Y_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t) = \sigma_{\varepsilon}^2$$

2. What are the expressions for  $E(Y_{t+1} | Y_{t-1})$  and,  $Var(Y_{t+1} | Y_{t-1})$ ? Answer:

$$E(Y_{t+1} | Y_{t-1}) = E(\phi_0 + \phi_1\phi_0 + \phi_1^2Y_{t-1} + \varepsilon_{t+1} + \phi_1\varepsilon_t)$$
  
=  $\phi_0(1 + \phi_1) + \phi_1^2Y_{t-1}$   
$$Var(Y_{t+1} | Y_{t-1}) = Var(\phi_0 + \phi_1\phi_0 + \phi_1^2Y_{t-1} + \varepsilon_{t+1} + \phi_1\varepsilon_t)$$
  
=  $\sigma_{\varepsilon}^2(1 + \phi_1^2)$ 

3. Give the expression for  $E(Y_t)$  in the case where  $Y_t$  is a covariance stationary time series, denoted  $Y_t \sim I(0)$ .

Answer

$$E(Y_t) = \frac{\phi_0}{1 - \phi_1}$$
, when  $-1 < \phi_1 < 1$ 

independent of time.

4. Give the expression for  $E(Y_t | Y_0)$  when  $Y_t$  is a unit-root non stationary time series, I(1). Regard  $Y_0$  as a fixed number.

Answer

By repeated substitution back to  $Y_0$ 

$$E(Y_t) = \sum_{i=1}^t \phi_0 i + Y_0 =$$
  
=  $\phi_0 t + Y_0, t = 1, 2, ...$ 

5. Show that  $Var(Y_t)$  is finite if  $Y_t \sim I(0)$ , but that  $Var(Y_t)$  is infinite if  $Y_t \sim I(1)$ .

Answer

By repeated substitution back to  $Y_0$ :

$$Var(Y_t) = \sigma_{\varepsilon}^2 \sum_{i=1}^t \phi_1^2 = \frac{\sigma_{\varepsilon}^2}{1 - \phi_1^2}$$

when  $Y_t \sim I(0) \iff -1 < \phi_1 < 1$ . But

$$Var(Y_t) \xrightarrow[t \to \infty]{} \infty$$

when  $Y_t \sim I(1) \iff \phi_1 = 1$  or  $\phi_1 = -1$ .

- 6. Assume that  $\varepsilon_t$  is Gaussian white noise.
  - (a) Explain in words why the OLS estimator  $\hat{\phi}_1$  of  $\phi_1$  can be interpreted as a Maximum Likelihood estimator. Answer

In the case of Gaussian distribution, the log likelihood function of  $Y_T$ ,  $Y_{T-1}, ..., Y_1$  can maximized in two step. The first is to minimize the residual sum of squares:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{T} \left( Y_t - \hat{\phi}_0 + \hat{\phi}_1 Y_{t-1} \right)^2$$

which means that the OLS estimators  $\hat{\phi}_1$  and  $\hat{\phi}_0$  are MLEs

(a) Explain in words why  $\hat{\phi}_1$  is a biased estimator in finite samples, but that it is also a consistent estimator. Answer

 $Y_{t-1}$  is not strictly exogenous in (4). Hence we know from basic econometrics that  $E(\hat{\phi}_1 - \phi_1) \neq 0$  for any finite sample size T. But  $Y_{t-1}$  is also pre-determined given the assumption of the statistical model and this implies that

$$plim(\phi_1) = \phi_1 \text{ when } -1 < \phi_1 < 0.$$

 $(\phi_0 \text{ has the same property.})$ 

7. Replace assumptions (1)-(3), by

(5) 
$$\varepsilon_t = \tau \varepsilon_{t-1} + \nu_t, \quad -1 < \tau < 1,$$

where  $\nu_t$  is white-noise. Will a regression of  $Y_t$  on  $Y_{t-1}$  give a consistent estimator of  $\phi_1$  in this case? Explain you answer.

Answer

No, because in this case  $Y_{t-1}$  is no longer pre-determined, due to the AR(1) process in  $\varepsilon_t$ ,  $Y_{t-1}$  is correlated with  $\varepsilon_t$  and  $\varepsilon_{t+1}$  for example.

8. Under the same assumption as in Q7, can you suggest a method that can be used for consistent estimation of the characteristic roots of the DGP for  $Y_t$ ?

Answer: Insert (5) in (4)

$$Y_t = \phi_0 + \phi_1 Y_{t-1} + \tau \varepsilon_{t-1} + \nu_t$$

Lag and multiply by  $\tau$ , subtract the resulting equation from (4) to give the DGP of as

$$Y_t = \phi_0(1-\tau) + (\phi_1 - \tau)Y_{t-1} - \tau\phi_1Y_{t-2} + \nu_t$$

where  $\nu_t$  is white-noise. The coefficients of this AR(2) model equation can be concistently estimated by OLS, and the consistent estimates of the roots can be worked out. Other methods are also possible: Using iteration to obtain consistent estimators of  $\tau$  and  $\phi_1$  for example ("RALS", "Cochrane-Orcutt", different names for the same type of method).

## Question C (40 %)

Consider the simultaneous equations model (SEM) with two endogenous variables,  $Y_t$  and  $X_t$ , and two strongly exogenous variables  $W_t$  and  $Z_t$ .

(6)  $Y_t - \beta_{10}X_t = \beta_{11}X_{t-1} + \phi Y_{t-1} + \beta_{20}Z_t + \epsilon_{1t},$ 

(7) 
$$-\gamma_{10}Y_t + X_t = \alpha X_{t-1} + \gamma_{11}Y_{t-1} + \gamma_{20}W_t + \epsilon_{2t}.$$

 $\epsilon_{1t}$  and  $\epsilon_{2t}$  are white-noise disturbances which may be correlated  $Cov(\epsilon_{1t}, \epsilon_{2t}) \neq 0$ . In Q1 and Q2 we also assume that both  $W_t$  and  $Z_t$  are I(0) variables, and that the reduced form of (6) and (7) is a stationary VAR.

- 1. Discuss the identification of the two structural equations.
  - Answer:

Order and rank conditions. The two equations are exactly identified on the order condition. Under the assumption that both  $W_t$  and  $Z_t$  are relevant variables, the rank conditions is satisfied (the last sentence is more or less obvious given the question, so many will drop it). 2. Assuming that the first equation in the SEM is identified:

way in the case of overidentification.

(a) Explain why OLS gives inconsistent estimation of  $\beta_{10}$  (and the other coefficients). Answer: Simultaneity bias as X is in general correlated with  $\epsilon$ 

Answer: Simultaneity bias as  $X_t$  is in general correlated with  $\epsilon_{1t}$ . For example if  $\epsilon_{1t}$  is increased, not only  $Y_t$  is increased, but  $X_t$  also changes (assuming as  $\gamma_{10} \neq 0$ )

(b) Explain why IV estimation gives consistent estimators. Answer: IV is the same as replacing  $X_t$  in (6) by the OLS predicted variables  $\hat{X}_t$ , based on the reduced form, and estimating by OLS. The resulting estimator is called 2SLS. The correlation is "broken" as  $\hat{X}_t$  is uncorrelated with  $\epsilon_t$ . 2SLS works in the same

We now change the assumption about stationary of  $W_t$  and  $Z_t$ , and assume instead that both  $Z_t$  and  $W_t$  are I(1) variables.

3. Explain why  $Y_t$  and  $X_t$  are in general I(1) variables when  $Z_t$  and  $W_t$  are I(1).

Answer: This follows from the reduced form, and from the assumption that  $W_t$  and  $Z_t$  (or at least one of them) has non-zero coefficients in the reduced form. Logically,  $Y_t$  and  $X_t$  are then also I(1).

4. We next consider system under the assumptions:  $\beta_{10} = \gamma_{10} = \gamma_{11} = \gamma_{20} = 0$  and  $\alpha = 1$  (but  $Cov(\epsilon_{1t}, \epsilon_{2t}) \neq 0$  as before). Explain how you use the results in Table 1 to test the null hypothesis of no cointegration.

Answer: With reference to the critical values in the Ericsson and MacKinnon paper, we conclude that the  $H_0$  is rejected at the 5 % frequency. The battery of misspecification test gives reason to believe the that assumptions of the test are reasonabley realistic for the DGP. Based in the information given,  $X_t$  is weakly exogenous with respect to the cointegration parameters.

It is also possible to add: Under the null of no-cointgation, negative t-values of the estimated ECM coefficients are much more probable than in case with stationary variables. This means that the use of critical values from the normal or t-distribution is hazardous and is the source of the spurious regression problem. By using DF-type disgributions that account for the number of I(1) variables in the model, we are able to make formally correct inference, holding the Type-1 error probabilities close to the chosen significance level.

5. Finally, consider the model (6) and (7) under the assumptions:  $\beta_{20} = \gamma_{20} = 0$ . Explain briefly what properties the reduced form must have for the two endogenous variables to be I(1) in this case. How many cointegration relationships can we have, at most, in this case?

Answer: The RF must have at least one unit root, but it can also have two. We can have at most one ci-relationship, in which case there is only one unit-root in the reduced form.

Modelling DY by OLS

```
The estimation sample is: 3 - 101
```

Constant DX Y_1 X_1 Z	-0.558797 0.388778		-1.39 3.10 -6.29 6.05	0.0000
sigma R^2 Adj.R^2 no. of observatio mean(DY)	0.669718	F(4,94) = log-likel no. of pa	ihood	-116.062
AR 1-2 test: ARCH 1-1 test: Normality test: Hetero test: Hetero-X test:	F(1,97) = Chi^2(2) = F(8,90) =	1.7649 [ 0.39606 [ 0.43364 [ 0.73809 [ 0.69692 [	0.5306] 0.8051] 0.6576]	

Table 1: ECM type model for  $Y_t$  ...Difference is symbolized by D, i.e,  $DY = \Delta Y_t$  and  $DX = \Delta X_t$