

Intertemporal macroeconomics

Econ 4310 Lecture 4. Part 1

Asbjørn Rødseth

University of Oslo

7th September 2009

Ramsey-model with underlying natural growth

$$\max U_0 = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t u(c_t A_t) L_t \quad (1)$$

$$\text{given } c_t = k_t + f(k_t) - (1+n)(1+g)k_{t+1}, \quad k_0 = \bar{k}_0, \quad (2)$$

$$A_t = A_0(1+g)^t, \quad L_t = L_0(1+n)^t$$

$$\text{and } k_t \geq 0, \quad c_t \geq 0$$

$$0 < \beta = 1/(1+\rho) < 1$$

Maximization is with respect to c_t and k_{t+1} for $t = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

The value function

Definition:

$$V(k_t, A_t, L_t) = \max \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \beta^j u(c_j A_j) L_j \quad (3)$$

Bellman equation:

$$V(k_t, A_t, L_t) = \max_{c_t, k_{t+1}} [u(c_t A_t) L_t + \beta V(k_{t+1}, A_{t+1}, L_{t+1})] \quad (4)$$

Maximization is subject to constraint (2) etc. Insertion yields:

$$\begin{aligned} & V(k_t, A_t, L_t) = \\ \max_{k_{t+1}} & [u([k_t + f(k_t) - (1+n)(1+g)k_{t+1}]A_t) L_t + \beta V(k_{t+1}, A_{t+1}, L_{t+1})] \end{aligned}$$

Deriving first order conditions

$$\max_{k_{t+1}} [u([k_t + f(k_t) - (1+n)(1+g)k_{t+1}]A_t)L_t + \beta V(k_{t+1}, A_{t+1}, L_{t+1})]$$

Differentiation yields

$$u'(c_t A_t)[-(1+n)(1+g)]A_t L_t + \beta V'_1(k_{t+1}, A_{t+1}, L_{t+1}) = 0$$

Since $V'_1(k_{t+1}, A_{t+1}, L_{t+1})$ is the result of maximization,

$$V'_1(k_{t+1}, A_{t+1}, L_{t+1}) = u'(c_{t+1} A_{t+1})A_{t+1}L_{t+1}(1 + f'(k_{t+1}))$$

Combining the two above yields

$$u'(c_t A_t)[-(1+n)(1+g)]A_t L_t + \beta u'(c_{t+1} A_{t+1})A_{t+1}L_{t+1}(1 + f'(k_{t+1})) = 0$$

which simplifies to

$$u'(c_t A_t) = \beta u'(c_{t+1} A_{t+1})(1 + f'(k_{t+1})) \quad (5)$$

CES-preferences

$$u(x) = (1/(1 - \theta))x^{1-\theta}, \sigma = 1/\theta > 0$$

First order condition:

$$(c_t A_t)^{-\theta} = (c_{t+1} A_{t+1})^{-\theta} \beta (1 + f'(k_{t+1}))$$

$$\frac{(c_t A_t)^{-\theta}}{(c_{t+1} A_{t+1})^{-\theta}} = \beta (1 + f'(k_{t+1})) \quad (6)$$

Growth rate for consumption per capita:

$$\frac{c_{t+1} A_{t+1}}{c_t A_t} = [\beta (1 + f'(k_{t+1}))]^\sigma$$

Conditions for balanced growth

Growth in consumption per efficiency unit of labor:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{c_{t+1}}{c_t} &= \frac{1}{1+g} [\beta(1+f'(k_{t+1}))]^\sigma \\ &= (1+g)^{-1}(1+\rho)^{-\sigma} [(1+f'(k_{t+1}))]^\sigma\end{aligned}\quad (7)$$

Balanced growth ($k_{t+1} = k_t = k^*$, $c_{t+1} = c_t = c^*$) requires:

$$1 = (1+g)^{-1}(1+\rho)^{-\sigma} [(1+f'(k^*))]^\sigma \quad (8)$$

$$c^* = f(k^*) - (n+g+ng)k^* \quad (9)$$

Loglinearizing steady state condition (8)

$$(1 + g)^{-1}(1 + \rho)^{-\sigma}[(1 + f'(k^*))]^{\sigma} = 1$$

$$-\ln(1 + g) - \sigma \ln(1 + \rho) + \sigma \ln(1 + f'(k^*)) = \ln 1 = 0$$

$$-g - \sigma\rho + \sigma f'(k^*) \approx 0$$

$$f'(k^*) \approx \rho + \frac{1}{\sigma}g \quad (10)$$

Short period, g , ρ and $f'(k)$ small numbers, $\ln(1 + x) \approx x$

Observations on the steady state

$$f'(k^*) \approx \rho + \frac{1}{\sigma}g$$

- ▷ k^* is independent of n
- ▷ k^* depends negatively on ρ
- ▷ k^* depends negatively on g and more so the lower is σ
- ▷ k^* depends positively on σ when $g > 0$

High g implies high real interest rate.

Comparison of steady states

$$\text{Golden rule } f'(k^{**}) \approx n + g$$

$$\text{Ramsey } f'(k^*) \approx \rho + \frac{1}{\sigma}g$$

$$k^* < k^{**} \iff f'(k^*) > f'(k^{**}) \iff \rho + \frac{1}{\sigma}g > n + g$$

$$k^* < k^{**} \iff \rho > (1 - \theta)g + n$$

How come that it is not satisfied always? $k^* > k^{**}$ is impossible on an optimal path.

Value of objective function infinite when $\rho < (1 - \theta)g + n$

$$\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \frac{1}{\theta} [c^* A_0 (1 + g)^t]^{1-\theta} L_0 (1 + n)^t = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \text{Const.} (\beta (1 + g)^{1-\theta} (1 + n))^t$$

Diverges if

$$\begin{aligned} &= (1 + \rho)^{-1} (1 + g)^{1-\theta} (1 + n) > 1 \\ &-\ln(1 + \rho) + (1 - \theta) \ln(1 + g) + \ln(1 + n) > 0 \\ &\rho < (1 - \theta)g + n \end{aligned}$$

Same as condition for $k^* > k^{**}$. Optimization not meaningful when $\rho < (1 - \theta)g + n$.