# Problem Set 2: Ramsey's Growth Model (Solution Ex. 2.1 (f) and (g))

## Exercise 2.1: An infinite horizon problem with perfect foresight

In this exercise we will study at a discrete-time version of Ramsey's growth model. The economy is closed and we consider a representative agent with the following preferences over consumption

$$U = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t u(c_t), \tag{1}$$

where  $c_t$  denotes period t consumption and  $\beta \in (0,1)$  is the subjective discount factor. The momentary utility function is of the form

$$u(c_t) = \frac{c_t^{1-\theta} - 1}{1-\theta},$$

with  $\theta > 1$ . Every period the agent earns a wage  $w_t$  (the labor supply is exogenously set to 1 unit), an interest  $r_t a_t$  from her assets holdings and she is subject to the lump-sum tax  $\tau_t$ . In equilibrium, the agent will choose the sequence consumption and asset holdings  $\{c_t, a_{t+1}\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$  to maximize U subject to the period-by-period budget constraint

$$c_t + a_{t+1} = w_t + (1 + r_t)a_t - \tau_t, (2)$$

for a given  $a_0$ . The agent is atomic and her decisions do not influence aggregate variables, thus she takes the sequence of taxes, wage rates and interest rates as given.

- (a) Formulate the Lagrangian of the agent's decision problem (it is common to use  $\lambda_t$  as the Lagrange multiplier on the period t budget constraint). Derive the first-order conditions for the optimal choice of  $c_t$  and  $a_{t+1}$ , combine these to derive the consumption Euler equation, and give an (micro theory) interpretation of this equation.
- (b) Use the Euler equation to show that the functional form of  $u(c_t)$  implies a constant elasticity of intertemporal substitution (EIS) between current and future consumption, where

$$EIS \equiv \frac{\partial \log(c_{t+1}/c_t)}{\partial \log(1+r_{t+1})}.$$

Give an (consumption growth) interpretation of the EIS.

The representative firm demands physical capital  $k_t$  and labor  $n_t$  to produce output  $y_t$  with the Cobb-Douglas technology

$$y_t = k_t^{\alpha} n_t^{1-\alpha}. \tag{3}$$

The firm is atomic and acts as a price-taking profit maximizer. Capital can be rented at the rental rate  $R_t = r_t + \delta$  (note that the depreciation rate  $\delta$  is the difference between the rental rate and the interest rate) while labor costs  $w_t$ .

(c) Find the first-order conditions for the firm's optimization problem.

The government can raise lump-sum taxes  $\tau_t$  and rolls over debt in the form of oneperiod bonds,  $D_{t+1}$ , to finance government expenditure,  $G_t$ . As it pays an interest rate  $r_t$ on the outstanding debt,  $D_t$ , the government faces a period-by-period budget constraint

$$G_t = \tau_t + D_{t+1} - (1 + r_t)D_t. \tag{4}$$

Moreover, assume that the time path of government debt is such that it is growing at a lower rate than the interest rate

$$\lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{D_{T+1}}{\prod_{s=0}^{T} (1 + r_s)} = 0.$$

In other words, it is not feasible for the government to finance the outstanding debt (plus interest payments) by issuing ever more debt as time goes by.

(d) Use the government's budget constraint in Equation (4) and substitute for  $D_t$  iteratively (t = 1, 2, 3, ...) to derive the government's intertemporal budget constraint in net present value (NPV) terms

$$D_0 = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \frac{\tau_t - G_t}{\prod_{s=0}^t (1 + r_s)}.$$
 (5)

Give an interpretation of Equation (5).

(e) Repeating the same procedure for the representative agent's budget constraint in Equation (2) yields the intertemporal private budget constraint in NPV terms

$$a_0 = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \frac{c_t + \tau_t - w_t}{\prod_{s=0}^t (1 + r_s)} + \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{a_{T+1}}{\prod_{s=0}^T (1 + r_s)}$$

What would be the (trivial) solution to the agent's maximization problem if the no-Ponzi condition

$$\lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{a_{T+1}}{\prod_{s=0}^{T} (1+r_s)} = 0 \tag{6}$$

was not imposed and assuming that  $r_s = r < \infty$ ?

(f) Assume that Equation (5) holds for a given stream  $\{\tau_t, G_t\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$ , and so does the no-Ponzi condition in (6). Consider an increase in government expenditures  $\Delta G_t$  that can be either financed by raising taxes,  $\tau_t$ , or government debt,  $D_{t+1}$ . Does the agent respond differently to a tax-financed relative to a debt financed increase in government expenditures, if she anticipates the government's intertemporal budget constraint? How does your result relate to the Ricardian equivalence proposition?

#### **Solution:**

Write the government's intertemporal budget constraint as

$$\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \frac{\tau_t}{\prod_{s=0}^t (1+r_s)} = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \frac{G_t}{\prod_{s=0}^t (1+r_s)} + D_0,$$

and use it to express the intertemporal private budget constraint as

$$a_0 = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \frac{c_t + \tau_t - w_t}{\prod_{s=0}^t (1+r_s)} = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \frac{c_t + G_t - w_t}{\prod_{s=0}^t (1+r_s)} + D_0.$$

Note that the initial outstanding debt  $D_0$  is given and cannot be used by the government to finance the increase in government expenditures.

From the second equality we can see that - once the agent internalizes the intertemporal government budget constraint - it is irrelevant whether  $G_t$  is financed with taxes or government debt, as the agent anticipates that issuing government debt today is an NPV equivalent tax liability for tomorrow.

In the economic literature, the proposition that the method of financing government expenditures does not affect private agent's behavior is referred to as the *Ricardian equivalence proposition*. A direct consequence of this proposition is for example that debt-financed tax cuts cannot be used to stimulate consumers' demand for consumption.

Remember that the model under consideration is a closed economy and has three markets: the market for labor, the market for consumption goods, and the capital market.

(g) State the three market clearing conditions. Then, solve for the competitive equilibrium variables  $\{c_{t+1}, a_{t+1}, k_t, n_t, r_t, w_t, y_t\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$  and the sequence of debt  $\{D_{t+1}\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$  as a function of initial consumption  $c_0$ , initial assets  $a_0$ , initial debt  $D_0$ , and the sequence of exogenous government policy  $\{G_t, \tau_t\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$  using the first-order conditions, budget constraints and market clearing conditions.

#### **Solution:**

Market clearing for labor requires that all supplied labor is hired (the representative agents supplies one unit of labor)

$$n_t = 1$$
,

market clearing in the capital market requires that the agent holds the outstanding government debt and the physical capital in the form of assets

$$a_t = k_t + D_t$$
.

By Walras' law we know that market clearing in two markets implies market clearing in the remaining goods market. To see this add the private and government budget constraints in Equation (2) and (4)

$$c_t + G_t + a_{t+1} - D_{t+1} = w_t + (R_t - \delta)(a_t - D_t) + (a_t - D_t) + \tau_t - \tau_t$$

which is equivalent to

$$c_t + G_t + k_{t+1} = w_t + R_t k_t + (1 - \delta)k_t.$$

Then use the marginal pricing of firms setting  $n_t = 1$  to yield the goods market clearing condition

$$c_t + G_t + k_{t+1} - (1 - \delta)k_t = (1 - \alpha)k_t^{\alpha} + \alpha k_t^{\alpha - 1}k_t$$
  
=  $k_t^{\alpha} = y_t$ ,

i.e., the local production  $y_t$  is either consumed (private + public) or invested in physical capital.

Given initial consumption  $c_0$  we can now compute the competitive equilibrium variables in an iterative manner. Set t=0, then we can compute all remaining period 0 variables as

$$k_0 = a_0 - D_0$$

$$n_0 = 1$$

$$r_0 = \alpha (a_0 - D_0)^{\alpha - 1} - \delta$$

$$w_0 = (1 - \alpha)(a_0 - D_0)^{\alpha}$$

$$y_0 = (a_0 - D_0)^{\alpha}$$

and the forward variables as

$$a_1 = w_0 + (1 + r_0)a_0 - \tau_0 - c_0$$

$$D_1 = g_0 - \tau_0 + (1 + r_0)D_0$$

$$r_1 = \alpha(a_1 - D_1)^{\alpha - 1} - \delta$$

$$c_1 = [\beta(1 + r_1)]^{1/\theta} c_0,$$

where we have used the private budget constraint, the government budget constraint and the consumption Euler equation to compute the latter variables. Apply the same algorithm iteratively for  $t = 1, 2, ..., \infty$  to compute the whole sequence of equilibrium variables. The equilibrium value of  $c_0$  will then be the level that makes the intertemporal private budget constraint

$$a_{0} = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \frac{c_{t} + \tau_{t} - w_{t}}{\prod_{s=0}^{t} (1 + r_{s})} \left[ + \underbrace{\lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{a_{T+1}}{\prod_{s=0}^{T} (1 + r_{s})}}_{=0} \right]$$

holds (or equivalently the no-Ponzi game condition holds). Which is exactly the  $c_0$  that starts the dynamic system on the stable saddle path.

The first welfare theorem applies to this economy such that the competitive equilibrium is efficient in the Pareto sense. Thus, we know that the solution to the social planner's problem (which characterizes the Pareto efficient allocation) is equivalent to the competitive market equilibrium. According to the social planner's solution, the same consumption Euler equation and resource constraint (goods market clearing) along with the

so-called transversality condition (which stands in for the no-Ponzi condition)

$$\frac{c_{t+1}}{c_t} = \left[\beta(1+r_{t+1})\right]^{1/\theta} = \left[\beta(1+\alpha k_{t+1}^{\alpha-1} - \delta)\right]^{1/\theta}$$

$$k_{t+1} - k_t = k_t^{\alpha} - \delta k_t - c_t - G_t$$

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \beta^t c_t^{-\theta} k_{t+1} = 0$$

determine the optimal solution of the dynamic system. Let us assume that  $G_t = G$ , then we can define two correspondances. One which characterizes all possible combinations of  $(c_t, k_t)$  when consumption is constant,

$$C_1(k) \equiv \left\{ c \in [0, \infty) : c_{t+1}/c_t = \left[ \beta(1 + \alpha k^{\alpha - 1} - \delta) \right]^{1/\theta}, c_{t+1} = c_t = c \right\},$$

and one which captures all combinations if the physical capital stock is constant,

$$C_2(k) \equiv \{c \in [0, \infty) : c = k_t^a - (k_{t+1} - (1 - \delta)k_t) - G, k_{t+1} = k_t = k\}.$$

- (i) Draw the two correspondances,  $C_1(k)$  and  $C_2(k)$ , in a diagram with k on the horizontal axis and c on the vertical axis, the so called phase diagram.
- (j) Comment on the unique point in the phase diagram where the two correspondances intersect.
- (k) Using the phase diagram, illustrate in what direction  $(c_t, k_t)$  will move (in all areas of the (c, k)-space).
- (l) Sketch (we do not know the precise shape at this stage) the saddle path leading to the steady state. Explain why any initial consumption off the saddle path cannot be an equilibrium.
- (m) Consider the steady state consumption level as a function of physical capital

$$c = k^{\alpha} - \delta k - G.$$

The Golden Rule capital stock is defined as the physical capital stock that maximizes steady-state consumption. Compare the steady state real interest rate of the Ramsey model with the real interest rate that would prevail under the Golden Rule. Is the steady-state physical capital stock in the Ramsey model lower or higher than under the golden rule? Why is that so?

### Additional Exercises (available on the course website):

- Exam 2015: B.
- Retake Exam 2015: A.4.