

## Problem Set 9 (Solution Ex. A.2 and B)

### ECON 4310, Fall 2015

1. Do **not write with pencil**, please use a ball-pen instead.
2. Please answer in **English**. Solutions without traceable outlines, as well as those with unreadable outlines **do not earn points**.
3. Please start a **new page** for **every** short question and for every subquestion of the long questions.

Good Luck!

	Points	Max
Exercise A		XX
Exercise B		XX
⋮		⋮
Σ		180

Grade: \_\_\_\_\_

## Exercise A: Short Questions (XX Points)

Answer each of the following short questions on a separate answer sheet by stating as a first answer True/False and then give a short but instructive explanation. You can write, calculate, or draw to reason your answer. You only get points if you have stated the correct True/False *and* provided a correct explanation to the question. We will not assign negative points for incorrect answers.

### (XX Points) Exercise A.1: Precautionary savings

Consider the simple two-period real business cycle model discussed in the seminar and in the lecture. With an asset supply of zero,  $w_1 = E[w(s_2)]$ , and an optimal consumption profile,  $c_1 = w_1$ ,  $c_2(s_2) = w(s_2)$ , the stochastic consumption Euler equation in this model is given by

$$\beta(1 + r_2) = \frac{u'(c_1)}{E[u'(c_2(s_2))]} = \frac{u'(E[w(s_2)])}{E[u'(w(s_2))]}.$$

The stochastic process for the wage in the second period,  $w(s_2)$ , takes the form

$$w(s_2) = \begin{cases} w(s_G) = 1 + \sigma/2, & \text{with prob. } 1/2, \\ w(s_B) = 1 - \sigma/2, & \text{with prob. } 1/2, \end{cases}$$

where  $\sigma \in (0, 2)$  parametrizes the risk in this economy. Assume that the utility function is of the following form

$$u(c) = c - \frac{1}{4}c^2, \quad \forall c \in [0, 2].$$

Does this utility function,  $u(c)$ , imply precautionary savings?

**Your Answer:**

Yes:

No:

**(XX Points) Exercise A.2: Asset pricing**

---

Let  $m_{t+1}$  and  $x_{t+1}$  be two random scalars. Then

$$E_t[m_{t+1}x_{t+1}] = E_t[m_{t+1}]E_t[x_{t+1}] + 2Cov_t[m_{t+1}, x_{t+1}],$$

where

$$Cov_t(m_{t+1}, x_{t+1}) \equiv E_t [(m_{t+1} - E_t[m_{t+1}]) (x_{t+1} - E_t[x_{t+1}])].$$

**Your Answer:**

---

True:

False:

**Explanation:**

---

False. Since the covariance is defined as

$$Cov_t(m_{t+1}, x_{t+1}) \equiv E_t [(m_{t+1} - E_t[m_{t+1}]) (x_{t+1} - E_t[x_{t+1}])],$$

we can expand the terms within the expectational operator to yield

$$\begin{aligned} Cov_t(m_{t+1}, x_{t+1}) &= E_t [m_{t+1}x_{t+1} - m_{t+1}E_t[x_{t+1}] - x_{t+1}E_t[m_{t+1}] + E_t[m_{t+1}]E_t[x_{t+1}]] \\ &= E_t[m_{t+1}x_{t+1}] - E_t[m_{t+1}]E_t[x_{t+1}] - E_t[x_{t+1}]E_t[m_{t+1}] + E_t[m_{t+1}]E_t[x_{t+1}] \\ &= E_t[m_{t+1}x_{t+1}] - E_t[m_{t+1}]E_t[x_{t+1}]. \end{aligned}$$

This can be reformulated as

$$E_t[m_{t+1}x_{t+1}] = E_t[m_{t+1}]E_t[x_{t+1}] + Cov_t[m_{t+1}, x_{t+1}],$$

thus the above statement is false.

---

**(XX Points) Exercise A.X: Some more short questions follow ...**

---

## Exercise B: Long Question (XX Points)

### Consumption-based asset pricing

Consider a representative household of an economy that maximizes expected lifetime utility

$$U(c_t, c_{t+1}(s_{t+1})) = \frac{c_t^{1-\theta}}{1-\theta} + \beta E_t \left[ \frac{c_{t+1}(s_{t+1})^{1-\theta}}{1-\theta} \right], \quad 0 < \beta < 1,$$

by choosing consumption  $c_t$  and  $c_{t+1}$  in both periods. The agent is endowed with the income  $e_t$  and  $e_{t+1}$  in the corresponding periods (let  $e_{t+1}$  be stochastic), and can shift resources across periods by borrowing or lending in a risk free bond,  $b_{t+1}$ , and in a risky asset,  $a_{t+1}$ . The risk free bond pays 1 unit of consumption for sure in the future period and has a price  $q_t$  in terms of today's consumption, while the risky asset's payoff is the realization of a random variable  $x_{t+1} \equiv p_{t+1} + d_{t+1}$  and is of price  $p_t$  in terms of today's consumption. The agent initially owns neither assets nor bonds. Expectations are with respect to the future state,  $s_{t+1} = (e_{t+1}, x_{t+1}) \in S$ , where the set  $S$  contains the possible realizations of the state,  $s_{t+1}$ .

- (a) (XX Points) Derive the agent's budget constraints for both periods (hint: the second period constraint is state-by-state).

#### Solution:

The agent's budget constraints read

$$\begin{aligned} c_t &= e_t - q_t b_{t+1} - p_t a_{t+1} \\ c_{t+1}(s_{t+1}) &= e_{t+1} + b_{t+1} + x_{t+1} a_{t+1}, \quad \forall s_{t+1} \in S. \end{aligned}$$

- (b) (XX Points) Reduce consumption in the utility function using the agent's budget constraints and derive the optimality conditions with respect to bond holdings,  $b_{t+1}$ , and asset holdings,  $a_{t+1}$ , taking as given prices and returns. (hint: the expectation operator  $E_t$  is linear (think of it as a probability weighted sum), so you can just go ahead and take derivatives inside the expectation operator).

#### Solution:

After reducing consumption, lifetime utility reads

$$U(b_{t+1}, a_{t+1}) = \frac{(e_t - q_t b_{t+1} - p_t a_{t+1})^{1-\theta}}{1-\theta} + \beta E_t \left[ \frac{(e_{t+1} + b_{t+1} + x_{t+1} a_{t+1})^{1-\theta}}{1-\theta} \right],$$

and the associated first-order optimality conditions are given by

$$-c_t^{-\theta} q_t + \beta E_t \left[ c_{t+1}(s_{t+1})^{-\theta} \right] = 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad q_t = E_t \left[ \beta \left( \frac{c_{t+1}(s_{t+1})}{c_t} \right)^{-\theta} \right] \quad (1)$$

$$-c_t^{-\theta} p_t + \beta E_t \left[ c_{t+1}(s_{t+1})^{-\theta} x_{t+1} \right] = 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad p_t = E_t \left[ \beta \left( \frac{c_{t+1}(s_{t+1})}{c_t} \right)^{-\theta} x_{t+1} \right]. \quad (2)$$

- 
- (c) (XX Points) Use the optimality conditions to show that the price of the risky asset is a function of the price of the risk free bond,  $q_t$ , and the covariance of the stochastic discount factor,  $m_{t+1}$ , with the risky return on the asset,

$$p_t = \beta E_t[m_{t+1}]E_t[x_{t+1}] + \beta \text{Cov}_t(m_{t+1}, x_{t+1}), \quad m_{t+1} \equiv \left( \frac{c_{t+1}}{c_t} \right)^{-\theta}.$$

What factors drive the agent's valuation of the asset?

**Solution:**

---

The optimality condition in Equation (2) can be written as

$$p_t = \beta E_t[m_{t+1}]E_t[x_{t+1}] + \beta \text{Cov}_t(m_{t+1}, x_{t+1}).$$

Moreover, we know from the optimality condition in Equation (1) that

$$q_t = \beta E_t[m_{t+1}],$$

which gives us the final result that the assets price is given by

$$p_t = q_t E_t[x_{t+1}] + \beta \text{Cov}_t(m_{t+1}, x_{t+1}).$$

Thus, the agent's valuation of assets is high, if

- (1) the bond price is high (risk free interest is low),
  - (2) the asset's expected payoff is high,
  - (3) the payoff is positively correlated to the stochastic discount factor (high payoffs when the marginal utility of consumption in the future period is high (when the endowment  $e_{t+1}$  is low), as this provides insurance against future states with low consumption).
-

**Exercise X:**  
**Some More Long Questions ... (XX Points)**