Environmental Economics – Lecture 2 Emission control: Targets

Florian K. Diekert January 22, 2014

Perman et al (2011) ch 5



Review last lecture

- 1. Overview and Organisation
- 2. Environment \leftrightarrow Economy
- 3. Efficient and optimal allocation of goods
- 4. Public goods and the Free-rider problem
- 5. Externalities and the Coase theorem



Key concepts last lecture

- Markets allocate goods efficiently under ideal conditions but need not be optimal from a social point of view
- ▶ Efficiency for private goods: $MRUS^A = MRUS^B = MRT$
- Public goods are goods that are both non-excludable and non-rivalrous
- Efficiency for public goods: $MRUS^A + MRUS^B = MRT$
- Public good implies presence of externality
- Externality does not imply existence of public good
- Uncorrected externalities lead to inefficiencies



Preview this lecture

- 1. The efficient level of emissions
- 2. Benefits and damages from emissions
- 3. Different types of pollution problems



The efficient level of emissions

Trade-off between benefit and damages from emission.

Standard solution: M^* defined by B'(M) = D'(M)



Trade-off between benefit and damages from emission.

Standard solution: M^* defined by B'(M) = D'(M)

- Marginal benefits from emission are decreasing (linked to marginal utility of consumption)
- Marginal damage from emission is increasing (gradually reduced ecosystem services or increased valuation of unspoiled nature)



- Consumers have preferences for a private good y and a public good E (environmental quality).
- ► Firms competitively produce the private good *y*. Production causes emissions *M* that reduce *E*.
- Firms can exercise (costly) effort to reduce emissions:
 - End-of-the-pipe cleaning
 - Changed technology, cleaner inputs, increased diligence
 - Reduced production





Figure: The solution to pollution is dilution?



[Notation: Aggregate emissions M are sum of emissions m_j from all firms j = 1, 2, ...]

- ► For each firm j, suppose that inputs can be separated into those that are used for producing y and those that are used for reducing m.
- Production and emissions linked by a function $y_j = f(m_j)$.
- As if emissions are an input to production:
 - ► For a given *y*, *m* can only be reduced at the cost of increasing other inputs
 - If all other inputs are fixed, y can only be increased by increasing m.
- ▶ Let \hat{m}_j be j's emissions when no effort to reduce emissions. Furthermore: $f(m_j) \ge 0, f'(m_j) \ge 0, f''(m) \le 0$ and $f(0) = 0, f'(\hat{m}) = 0$.

Benefits from emissions and costs of abatement

Firm's benefits from emission are the avoided costs of abatement.

- ► Abatement is the emission reduction compared to the baseline scenario: a_j = m̂_j m_j
- ► Abatement cost loss due to reduced output (keeping the other inputs fixed): c_j(a_j) = f(m̂_j) f(m_j)
- Marg. abatement cost equals marg. productivity of emissions:

$$\frac{\partial c_j(a_j)}{\partial a_j} = \frac{\partial [f(\hat{m}_j) - f(m_j)]}{\partial m_j} \frac{\partial m_j}{\partial a_j} = -f'(m_j)(-1) = f'(m_j)$$

c is increasing and convex, defined on [0, m̂_j] with c(0) = 0 and c(m̂_j) = f(m̂_j).



The firm's objective is to maximize profits:

$$\pi(m_j) = f(m_j) - b_j - \tau m_j$$

where:

- the price of the (numeraire) good is normalized to 1
- b are the (fixed) costs of the other inputs to production
- au is the price per unit of emission that the firm has to pay

Without regulation, au=0 and $m_j^*=rg\max\pi=\hat{m}_j$



Obtain a measure of damages from emissions through consumer's preferences for E, measured in unit of the consumption good y.



Obtain a measure of damages from emissions through consumer's preferences for E, measured in unit of the consumption good y.

• Let *E* and *M* be connected via some function *z* such that $E = E_0 - z(M)$ (where *z* is increasing and convex)



Obtain a measure of damages from emissions through consumer's preferences for E, measured in unit of the consumption good y.

- ► Let *E* and *M* be connected via some function *z* such that $E = E_0 z(M)$ (where *z* is increasing and convex)
- ▶ How much would consumer pay for marg improvement of *E*?
 - Differentiate $U_i = u(y_i, E)$ keeping U_i fixed:

$$dU_i = \frac{\partial u}{\partial y_i} dy_i + \frac{\partial u}{\partial E} dE = 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad -dy_i = \frac{u'_E}{u'_{y_i}} dE$$



Obtain a measure of damages from emissions through consumer's preferences for E, measured in unit of the consumption good y.

- ► Let *E* and *M* be connected via some function *z* such that $E = E_0 z(M)$ (where *z* is increasing and convex)
- ▶ How much would consumer pay for marg improvement of *E*?
 - Differentiate $U_i = u(y_i, E)$ keeping U_i fixed:

$$dU_i = \frac{\partial u}{\partial y_i} dy_i + \frac{\partial u}{\partial E} dE = 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad -dy_i = \frac{u'_E}{u'_{y_i}} dE$$

- ► However, interested in emissions M: substitute dE = -z'(M)dM
- Let dM = 1 so that our measure for $MWTP_i$ is $z'(M)\frac{u'_E}{u'_C}$



From individual MWTP to aggregate D(M):

- Is measurement possible? (discussed in Lecture 11)
- Is aggregation possible?
 (*MWTP_i* > *MWTP_j* could be caused by differences in the valuation of y)
- Here focus on efficiency and suppose zero income / distribution effects.

$$\blacktriangleright D'(M) = z'(M) \sum_{i} \frac{u'_E}{u'_{y_i}}$$



The efficient level of emissions

A closer look

We know that efficiency requires B'(M) = D'(M):

$$B'(M) = \frac{\partial \sum_{j} f(m_{j})}{\partial m_{j}} = f'(m_{j})$$
$$D'(M) = z'(M) \sum_{i} \frac{u'_{E}}{u'_{y_{i}}}$$

The market solution is $f'(m_j) = \tau$

• Task of regulation:
$$\tau = z'(M) \sum_{i} \frac{u'_{E}}{u'_{y_{i}}}$$



Discussion: Where to put a waste treatment plant?





- In the model so far, environmental quality was impacted directly by emissions and there was no time dimension: The "static flow pollution" model
- Often, emissions accumulate to form a stock of some stock A of a harmful substance. Damages are then a function of A.
 - (a) If the stock dissolves quickly, no need to take time into account: "short-lived stock pollutant"
 - (b) If the stock dissolves slowly: explicit dynamic modeling necessary: "long-lived stock pollutant" (Lecture 6)
- Even in case (a), the distinction between stock and flow may matter when:
 - space matters
 - there are non-convexities in the damage function



Short-lived stock pollutants: Are emissions "uniformly mixing"?

- If yes, model stock as A = kM
- If not, damages depend on relative position of the *I* "sources" and *J* "receptors". Model stock as A_{I×1} = T_{I×J}M_{J×1}
- Objective is now: max $NB = \sum_i B_i(m_i) \sum_j D_j(A_j)$



Short-lived stock pollutants: Are emissions "uniformly mixing"?

- If yes, model stock as A = kM
- If not, damages depend on relative position of the *I* "sources" and *J* "receptors". Model stock as A_{I×1} = T_{I×J}M_{J×1}
- Objective is now: max $NB = \sum_i B_i(m_i) \sum_j D_j(A_j)$
- Substitute: max $NB = \sum_i B_i(m_i) \sum_j D_j (\sum_i t_{ji} m_i)$



Short-lived stock pollutants: Are emissions "uniformly mixing"?

- If yes, model stock as A = kM
- If not, damages depend on relative position of the *I* "sources" and *J* "receptors". Model stock as A_{I×1} = T_{I×J}M_{J×1}
- Objective is now: max $NB = \sum_i B_i(m_i) \sum_j D_j(A_j)$
- Substitute: max $NB = \sum_i B_i(m_i) \sum_j D_j (\sum_i t_{ji}m_i)$
- Efficiency condition is: $B'_i(m_i) = \sum_j D'_j(A_j)t_{ji}$



Key concepts this lecture

- The emission target should be set such that the aggregate marginal benefit from emission equals the aggregate marginal damage from emission.
- Equivalently, the marginal abatement costs should equal the total willingness to pay for a marginal improvement of environmental quality
- Pollution can be classified as flow- or stock pollution. The latter can be short-lived or long-lived, uniformly mixing or non-uniformly mixing.



Emission control: Instruments Perman et al (2011) ch 6

- Criteria for choosing emission control instruments
- Voluntary approaches
- Command-and-control measures
- Incentive-based instruments

