Hvordan skaper ansvarliggjøring legitimitet?

ANDREAS ERIKSEN

POSTDOKTOR SPS, OSLOMET/FORSKER ARENA, UIO

Mistillitsperspektivet

- Institusjonalisert antagonisme
- Finne feil
- Sanksjonere

Tillitsperspektivet

- Frirom for autonome vurderinger
- Kritikk av mistillitens konsekvenser
- Bytte ut ekstern kontroll med interne normer

Høringer: ESMA hos ECON

- Gjensidighet: partene hører hverandres synspunkter
- Framtidsperspektiv: videreutvikle mandatet
- Metasamtale: politikk/ekspertise-relasjonen

Politikere om samarbeidsrelasjon

- "we want your agencies to fulfil your remit to the full and we want you to have the resources that you need. And the last thing we want is for you to be scapegoats when things go wrong"
- "How are we going to be treated as co-legislators in dialogue rather than as any other stakeholder …?"

Eriksen & Katsaitis: Accountability through mutual attunement: How can hearings connect the elected and the unelected?
Public Policy and Administration

Ekspertorganer om uinteressert politisk motpart:

- «It's very good to be accountable but if you have to explain each time to everyone what you are doing, what is your task, what will be your future, it's a bit tiring. The Parliament, the Commission, everyone, they want a lot of reports but what do they do with these reports?»
- «I never get any feedback. This is also a bit frustrating. No feedback whatsoever. I would also be pleased to have sometimes criticism why not, or congratulations on the work done, because it was not so easy »

Busuioc, *European agencies: Law and practices of accountabiltiy*

Respektperspektivet

- Fokus på *holdninger,* ikke *type* instrument
- Varsomhet for illegitim politisering
- Skape samspill og felles perspektiv

Hvilken type politiske aktører er ekspertorganer?

- Respektlogikken: Helhetlig mandatforståelse
- Omdømmelogikk: Strategisk profilering

- Hva betyr dette for den politiske arbeidsdelingen?