

## **Evaluation guidelines KOS4050 spring term 2022**

This year's exam was a term paper shaped as a comparative discussion. Making their point of departure in a self-chosen topic that illuminates the entanglement between religion and politics in the students' own geographical area of expertise, the students were asked to compare this topic explicitly with a roughly commensurable topic in at least one of the other geographical areas covered by KOS4050.

Length: 3500 words including footnotes and bibliography, i.e. approx. 10 pages with 1.5 line spacing and normal margins.

Main evaluation criteria:

- Sufficient commensurability and justification thereof
- The comparative "hinge" is explicitly discussed with help of the course literature
- Dialogue with relevant scholarship when unfolding the cases
- Independent, critical treatment of the academic literature used (in contrast to passive referencing)
- Relevant case contextualization
- Competent application and/or problematization of analytical concepts, frameworks and arguments
- Argumentative structure, coherence and flow
- Complete citations and references as well as a consistent referencing style
- Adherence to formatting and length criteria.