## <sup>i</sup> Information

In the spring semester 2022, the exam will be a 24 hour home exam, maximum 2000 words.

Footnotes should be included in the word count of the main text. Not included in this count: front page (with name and title etc.), summary, table of contents and references (bibliography). (If relevant for the paper).

Assignments/papers with text exceeding the word limit will not be accepted.

## <sup>1</sup> JUR1260 Exam S22

Answer all of the following five questions. Expressed as percentages of the final grade, the answers to questions 2 to 5 will each count approximately 22% of the final grade, while the answer to question 1 will count approximately 12%.

1. Adam loses his mobile phone and thinks he probably lost it at the supermarket in his neighbourhood. He places a paper notice on a billboard at the supermarket entrance which reads: "Mobile phone lost. Samsung Galaxy S22 (grey with long scratch on back). Reward of £50 for its return". The notice also has Adam's contact details. Miriam finds the phone shortly after Adam loses it. A day later, she reads the notice and returns the phone to Adam, who then gives her £10 for her effort. Miriam protests that this amount is insufficient. Adam, irritated, tells her that she is not legally entitled to any money for returning the phone to him.

Is Adam's last claim correct? Give reasons for your answer.

2. Harald agrees to rent out his apartment in Manchester to Erik, who is from South Africa and on a four year contract to work for a UK company. The rental agreement is to run for four years starting on 1<sup>st</sup> March 2021, and it stipulates a monthly rent of £1500. In December 2021, Erik loses his job due to the economic downturn induced by the Covid-19 pandemic. Harald agrees to reduce the rental amount by 30% until Erik's financial situation improves. In February 2022, Erik wins a large amount of money from the UK national lottery scheme but does not inform Harald of this windfall. In May 2022, Harald finds out about Erik's win. He demands that Erik pay full rent for the remainder of the rental period and repay the outstanding arrears.

What is Erik's legal position and what legal remedies, if any, does he have? Give reasons for your advice.

3. Solveig, who lives and works in Birmingham, wants to sell her Ducati motorcycle. Early on a Friday afternoon, she posts an advertisement for the motorcycle on a noticeboard at her workplace. The noticeboard is for workplace employees to post notices for the exchange and sale of used consumer goods. The advertisement states: "Ducati for sale. Excellent condition. One owner only. £10,000 or nearest offer will be accepted. Telephone (+44) 98692362." Shortly after posting the advertisement, she leaves for Oslo to visit her elderly parents over the weekend and does not return to England until the Monday evening. At 5:30 p.m. on the Friday evening, Brian who is working late sees the advertisement and rings Solveig to make an offer of £8,000 for the motorcycle. Solveig, who is then about to board an airplane for Oslo, answers: "Thanks, your offer is very tempting". Brian then says to her: "Unless you ring me back by 5pm tomorrow, let's assume you've accepted my deal". Solveig answers: "Fair enough".

Solveig does not respond to Brian for the rest of the weekend as she is preoccupied helping her parents with urgent practical matters. When she returns to her house in Birmingham on the Monday, she finds that the man with whom she shares her house—Patrick—has polished the Ducati and repaired some scratches in its paintwork. Solveig is pleased with the sight of the shiny Ducati and regrets that she had intended to sell it. She tells Patrick that she'll pay him £50 for his polishing and repair efforts. The next day, Brian rings Solveig under the assumption that he has a legal right to the Ducati. She tells him she is not selling the motorcycle, and he responds angrily. Shortly thereafter Solveig and Patrick have a quarrel and Solveig tells him: "you can forget about the £50 I promised you".

4. Howard Drake is the Director of Construction Dynamics (CD), a company in the business of sourcing and supplying special types of hardwood timber. He enters into negotiations with Build Big (BB) with a view to CD selling BB a large amount of timber. BB sends Howard a letter in the following terms: "We enclose our detailed order and require your written confirmation of acceptance of the order". The attached detailed order specifies the type, amount and price of the timber, how payment is to be made, and how shipment is to be made. Howard replies in a letter as follows: "As you have made the order direct to me as opposed to my company, I am unable to confirm on my usual printed form which would have standard force majeure and war clauses, but I assume that we agree that the usual conditions of acceptance apply. I am pleased to inform you that my suppliers state they will be able to ship at least 15% of the timber by the end of the month and might even be able to ship more than this, and they will update me on their capacity within a few days. I look forward to this as the first of numerous transactions together to our mutual advantage. Yours sincerely, Howard Drake." CD subsequently fails to supply the timber and BB sues CD for breach of contract. Howard argues that a contract never came into existence because the agreement was too vague and uncertain.

Is Howard's argument valid under English law of contract? Give reasons for your answer.

5. In two landmark cases handed down in 2015, the UK Supreme Court reformulated the test for validity of liquidated damages clauses. What is the basic thrust of that reformulation and do you think it is a sensible development?

<b>土</b>
Upload your file here. Maximum one file.
The following file types are allowed: .pdf Maximum file size is 2 GB
Select file to upload

Maximum marks: 0