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The language of examination for this course is English: students may answer in English ONLY, answers in any other language than English will be given a F (F for fail).

SHORT ANSWER

Answer EVERY question in this short-answer section (answer all four questions). Each question is worth 10% of your total examination grade (the entire short-answer section is, therefore, worth 40% of your total examination grade). As a general guideline, each answer should be no more than one page. Maximum: two pages.

1. Human rights law recognizes that states may legitimately limit the freedom of expression. Which criteria do regional and universal instruments set up?
2. What are admissibility criteria?
3. What is “free, prior and informed consent”?
4. What are the differences and similarities between State obligations under the two international Covenants on Civil and Political Rights and on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights?

ESSAY QUESTION

Answer ONE of the questions in this essay section (worth 60% of your total examination grade). Each question has equal weight toward the exam grade. As a general guideline, remember that it is quality not quantity that is graded. Answers for MA level exams must take into account relevant international “case-law”.

Question I:

The prohibition of torture is a fundamental human right. Describe and analyse the development of the content of the right, and the institutional aspects of its development, taking into account both universal and regional levels and give your opinion on the adequacy of these developments.
Question II:

The protection of the rights of minorities has a long history in international law. Describe and analyze the development of and the differences in the protection of minority and indigenous rights in international law, taking into account both the developments of the content and the institutional aspects of the rights. Students taking MA level exam should also address the links to the right to development.

Question III:

China has ratified more than 20 human rights treaties but does generally not accept any individual complaints procedures under these treaties. Taking the Chinese example into account, describe the institution and procedures of the Human Rights Council and make an evaluation of its effectiveness in “enforcing” the respect for human rights compared to the UN treaty bodies.

*****

Sensuren faller fredag 20 desember klokken 15.00. Kontroller på StudWeb eller ta kontakt med Infosenteret på 22 85 95 00.


The result of the exams will be announced on Friday 20 December, at 15.00 hours. You can check the results in the StudWeb, or by contacting the Information Centre, phone 22 85 95 00.

After the exam results are announced, candidates have the right to be informed of the grounds for the result as long as a request is made within one week of the announcement. The names and contact information of the examiners can be found on the Faculty’s website, or by contacting the Information Centre. The deadline for appeal is three weeks after the announcement of the results
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