

$n (= i)$	$p_n =$	Comments
1	N	All N places are available
2	$\frac{N \cdot (N - 1)}{2}$	N places for the first, only $N - 1$ places for the second vacancy. Exchanging both vacancies does not change the situation - we have to divide by 2
3	$\frac{N \cdot (N - 1) \cdot (N - 2)}{2 \cdot 3}$	Exchanging vacancies does not change the microstate, we have to divide by the number of all possible exchanges $= 6 = 2 \cdot 3$.
<p>Make sure you understand the exchange argument: Here is the detailed reasoning: For vacancy No. 1 on place 1, you have <i>two possibilities</i>: No. 2 on place 2, No. 3 on place 3 <i>or</i> No 2 on place 3 and No. 3 on place 2. You can do the same thing for No. 2 on place 2 (exchange No. 1 and No. 3) and for No. 3 on place 3., so you have 2 options 3 times = 6 indistinguishable arrangements.</p>		
...	...	and so on
n	$\frac{N \cdot (N - 1) \cdot (N - 2) \cdot \dots \cdot (N - (n + 1))}{2 \cdot 3 \cdot \dots \cdot n}$	The obvious law for n vacancies. $\{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot \dots \cdot n\}$ of course is simply $n!$
n	$\frac{\{N \cdot (N - 1) \cdot (N - 2) \cdot \dots \cdot (N - (n + 1))\} \cdot \{(N - n)!\}}{n! \cdot \{(N - n)!\}}$	Extend the fraction by $(N - n)!$
n	$\frac{N!}{n! \cdot (N - n)!}$	This is a standard expression in combinatorics and called the binomial coefficient .

Here are a few hints and problems in dealing with faculties and approximations.



Having $n \ll N$, i.e. $n/(N - n) \approx n/N = c_v$ = concentration of vacancies does *not* allow us to approximate $\mathbf{d/dn}\{(N - n) \cdot \ln(N - n)\}$ by simply doing $\mathbf{d/dn}\{N \cdot \ln N\} = 0$.



This is so because $\mathbf{d/dn}$ gives the *change* of $N - n$ with n and that not only *might* be large even if $n \ll N$, but *will* be large because N is essentially constant and the only change comes from n .



The derivative of $u(x) \cdot v(x)$ is: $\mathbf{d/dx}(u \cdot v) = \mathbf{du/dx} \cdot v(x) + \mathbf{dv/dx} \cdot u(x)$.



The derivative of $\ln x$ is: $\mathbf{d/dx}(\ln x) = 1/x$



Easy mistake: Don't forget the *inner derivative*, it produces an important *minus* sign: