

# IN3070/4070 – Logic – Autumn 2019

Lecture : Slide set for the Exam

Martin Giese

16th December 2019



DEPARTMENT OF  
INFORMATICS



UNIVERSITY OF  
OSLO

This slide set contains a selection of

- ▶ Syntax
- ▶ Semantics
- ▶ Calculi

for many of the logics discussed in the lecture. These slides will be available in Inspira during the exam. There is *no* guarantee that all of these will be needed or useful for the exam.

# Propositional Logic

# Syntax — Formulae

Formulae are made up of **atomic formulae** and the **logical connectives**  $\neg$  (negation),  $\wedge$  (conjunction),  $\vee$  (disjunction),  $\rightarrow$  (implication).

## Definition 1.1 (Atomic Formulae).

Let  $\mathcal{P} = \{p_1, p_2, \dots\}$  be a countable set of symbols called **atomic formulae** (or **atoms**), denoted by lower case letters  $p, q, r, \dots$

## Definition 1.2 (Propositional Formulae).

The **propositional formulae**, denoted  $A, B, C, F, G, H$ , are inductively defined as follows:

1. Every atom  $A \in \mathcal{P}$  is a formula.
2. If  $A$  and  $B$  are formulae, then  $(\neg A)$ ,  $(A \wedge B)$ ,  $(A \vee B)$  and  $(A \rightarrow B)$  are formulae.

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the set of all (legal) formulae.

## Semantics — Truth Value

## Definition 1.3 (Interpretation).

Let  $A$  be a formula and  $\mathcal{P}_A$  the set of atoms in  $A$ .

An *interpretation* for  $A$  is a total function  $\mathcal{I}_A : \mathcal{P}_A \rightarrow \{T, F\}$  that assigns one of the truth values  $T$  or  $F$  to every atom in  $\mathcal{P}_A$ .

## Definition 1.4 (Truth Value).

Let  $\mathcal{I}_A$  be an interpretation for  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ . The *truth value*  $v_{\mathcal{I}_A}(A)$  (shortly  $v(A)$ ) of  $A$  under  $\mathcal{I}_A$  is defined inductively as follows. For an atomic formula  $A$ ,  $v_{\mathcal{I}_A}(A) = \mathcal{I}_A(A)$ . For composite formulae:

$A$	$v(A_1)$	$v(A_2)$	$v(A)$
$\neg A_1$	$T$		$F$
$\neg A_1$	$F$		$T$
$A_1 \vee A_2$	$F$	$F$	$F$
$A_1 \vee A_2$	otherwise		$T$

$A$	$v(A_1)$	$v(A_2)$	$v(A)$
$A_1 \wedge A_2$	$T$	$T$	$T$
$A_1 \wedge A_2$	otherwise		$F$
$A_1 \rightarrow A_2$	$T$	$F$	$F$
$A_1 \rightarrow A_2$	otherwise		$T$

## LK – Axiom and Propositional Rules

## ▶ axiom

$$\frac{}{\Gamma, A \Rightarrow A, \Delta} \text{ axiom}$$

▶ rules for  $\wedge$  (conjunction)

$$\frac{\Gamma, A, B \Rightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma, A \wedge B \Rightarrow \Delta} \wedge\text{-left} \quad \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A, \Delta \quad \Gamma \Rightarrow B, \Delta}{\Gamma \Rightarrow A \wedge B, \Delta} \wedge\text{-right}$$

▶ rules for  $\vee$  (disjunction)

$$\frac{\Gamma, A \Rightarrow \Delta \quad \Gamma, B \Rightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma, A \vee B \Rightarrow \Delta} \vee\text{-left} \quad \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A, B, \Delta}{\Gamma \Rightarrow A \vee B, \Delta} \vee\text{-right}$$

▶ rules for  $\rightarrow$  (implication)

$$\frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A, \Delta \quad \Gamma, B \Rightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma, A \rightarrow B \Rightarrow \Delta} \rightarrow\text{-left} \quad \frac{\Gamma, A \Rightarrow B, \Delta}{\Gamma \Rightarrow A \rightarrow B, \Delta} \rightarrow\text{-right}$$

▶ rules for  $\neg$  (negation)

$$\frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A, \Delta}{\Gamma, \neg A \Rightarrow \Delta} \neg\text{-left} \quad \frac{\Gamma, A \Rightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \neg A, \Delta} \neg\text{-right}$$

# First-order Logic

# Syntax — Terms

**Terms** are built up of constant (symbols), variable (symbols), and function (symbols).

## Definition 2.1 (Terms).

Let  $\mathcal{A} = \{a, b, \dots\}$  be a countable set of *constant symbols*,  
 $\mathcal{V} = \{x, y, z, \dots\}$  be a countable set of *variable symbols*, and  
 $\mathcal{F} = \{f, g, h, \dots\}$  be a countable set of *function symbols*.

*Terms*, denoted  $t, u, v$ , are inductively defined as follows:

1. Every variable  $x \in \mathcal{V}$  is a term.
2. Every constant  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  is a term.
3. If  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  is an  $n$ -ary function (symbol)  $n > 0$  and  $t_1, \dots, t_n$  are terms, then  $f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$  is a term.

**Example:**  $a, x, f(a, x), f(g(x), b)$ , and  $g(f(a, g(y)))$  are terms.



## Syntax — First-Order Formulae

Formulae are built up of **atomic formulae** and the **logical connectives**  $\neg$ ,  $\wedge$ ,  $\vee$ ,  $\rightarrow$ , and  $\forall$  (universal quantifier),  $\exists$  (existential quantifier).

### Definition 2.2 (Atomic Formulae).

Let  $\mathcal{P} = \{p, q, r, \dots\}$  be a countable set of **predicate symbols**. If  $p \in \mathcal{P}$  is an  $n$ -ary predicate (symbol)  $n \geq 0$  and  $t_1, \dots, t_n$  are terms, then  $p(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ ,  $\top$ , and  $\perp$  are **atomic formulae** (or **atoms**).

### Definition 2.3 ((First-Order) Formulae).

*(First-order) formulae*, denoted  $A, B, C, F, G, H$ , are inductively defined as follows:

1. Every atomic formula  $p$  is a formula.
2. If  $A$  and  $B$  are formulae and  $x \in \mathcal{V}$ , then  $(\neg A)$ ,  $(A \wedge B)$ ,  $(A \vee B)$ ,  $(A \rightarrow B)$ ,  $\forall x A$ , and  $\exists x A$  are formulae.

# Semantics — Interpretation

An **interpretation** assigns concrete objects, functions and relations to constant symbols, function symbols, and predicate symbols.

## Definition 2.4 (Interpretation/Structure).

An **interpretation** (or **structure**)  $\mathcal{I} = (D, \iota)$  consists of the following elements:

1. **Domain**  $D$  is a non-empty set
2. **Interpretation of constant symbols** assigns each constant  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  an element  $a^\iota \in D$
3. **Interpretation of function symbols** assigns each  $n$ -ary function symbol  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  with  $n > 0$  a function  $f^\iota : D^n \rightarrow D$
4. **Interpretation of propositional variables** assigns each 0-ary predicate symbol  $p \in \mathcal{P}$  a truth value  $p^\iota \in \{T, F\}$
5. **Interpretation of predicate symbols** assigns each  $n$ -ary predicate symbol  $p \in \mathcal{P}$  with  $n > 0$  a relation  $p^\iota \subseteq D^n$

# Semantics — Variable Assignments, Value of Terms

The interpretation doesn't tell what to do about variables.  
We need something additional.

## Definition 2.5 (Variable Assignment).

Given the set of variables  $\mathcal{V}$ , and an interpretation  $\mathcal{I} = (D, \iota)$ , a variable assignment  $\alpha$  for  $\mathcal{I}$  is a function  $\alpha : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow D$ .

Ben-Ari (7.18) writes this  $\sigma_{\mathcal{I}_A}$

## Definition 2.6 (Term Value).

Let  $\mathcal{I} = (D, \iota)$  be an interpretation, and  $\alpha$  an variable assignment for  $\mathcal{I}$ . The **term value**  $v_{\mathcal{I}}(\alpha, t)$  of a term  $t \in \mathcal{T}$  under  $\mathcal{I}$  and  $\alpha$  is inductively defined:

1.  $v_{\mathcal{I}}(\alpha, x) = \alpha(x)$  for a variable  $x \in \mathcal{V}$
2.  $v_{\mathcal{I}}(\alpha, a) = a^{\iota}$  for a constant symbol  $a \in \mathcal{A}$
3.  $v_{\mathcal{I}}(\alpha, f(t_1, \dots, t_n)) = f^{\iota}(v_{\mathcal{I}}(\alpha, t_1), \dots, v_{\mathcal{I}}(\alpha, t_n))$  for an  $n$ -ary  $f \in \mathcal{F}$

## Semantics — Modification of an assignment

**Definition 2.7 (Modification of a variable assignment).**

Given an interpretation  $\mathcal{I} = (D, \iota)$  and a variable assignment  $\alpha$  for  $\mathcal{I}$ .  
 Given also a variable  $y \in \mathcal{V}$  and a domain element  $d \in D$ .  
 The modified variable assignment  $\alpha\{y \leftarrow d\}$  is defined as

$$\alpha\{y \leftarrow d\}(x) = \begin{cases} d & \text{if } x = y \\ \alpha(x) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- ▶  $\mathcal{I} = (\mathbb{N}, \iota)$
- ▶  $\mathcal{V} = \{x, y\}$
- ▶  $\alpha(x) = 3 \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\alpha(y) = 5 \in \mathbb{N}$  is an assignment for  $\mathcal{I}$
- ▶  $\alpha\{y \leftarrow 7\}(x) = 3$  and  $\alpha\{y \leftarrow 7\}(y) = 7$

## Semantics — Truth Value

## Definition 2.8 (Truth Value).

Let  $\mathcal{I} = (D, \iota)$  be an interpretation and  $\alpha$  an assignment for  $\mathcal{I}$ . The **truth value**  $v_{\mathcal{I}}(\alpha, A) \in \{T, F\}$  of a formula  $A$  under  $\mathcal{I}$  and  $\alpha$  is defined inductively as follows:

1.  $v_{\mathcal{I}}(\alpha, p) = T$  for 0-ary  $p \in \mathcal{P}$  iff  $p^{\iota} = T$ , otherwise  $v_{\mathcal{I}}(\alpha, p) = F$
2.  $v_{\mathcal{I}}(\alpha, p(t_1, \dots, t_n)) = T$  for  $p \in \mathcal{P}$ ,  $n > 0$ , iff  $(v_{\mathcal{I}}(\alpha, t_1), \dots, v_{\mathcal{I}}(\alpha, t_n)) \in p^{\iota}$ , otherwise  $v_{\mathcal{I}}(\alpha, p(t_1, \dots, t_n)) = F$
3.  $v_{\mathcal{I}}(\alpha, \neg A) = T$  iff  $v_{\mathcal{I}}(\alpha, A) = F$ , otherwise  $v_{\mathcal{I}}(\alpha, \neg A) = F$
4.  $v_{\mathcal{I}}(\alpha, A \wedge B) = T$  iff  $v_{\mathcal{I}}(\alpha, A) = T$  and  $v_{\mathcal{I}}(\alpha, B) = T$ , otherwise  $v_{\mathcal{I}}(\alpha, A \wedge B) = F$
5.  $v_{\mathcal{I}}(\alpha, A \vee B) = T$  iff  $v_{\mathcal{I}}(\alpha, A) = T$  or  $v_{\mathcal{I}}(\alpha, B) = T$ , otherwise  $v_{\mathcal{I}}(\alpha, A \vee B) = F$
6.  $v_{\mathcal{I}}(\alpha, A \rightarrow B) = T$  iff  $v_{\mathcal{I}}(\alpha, A) = F$  or  $v_{\mathcal{I}}(\alpha, B) = T$ , otherwise  $v_{\mathcal{I}}(\alpha, A \rightarrow B) = F$
7.  $v_{\mathcal{I}}(\alpha, \forall x A) = T$  iff  $v_{\mathcal{I}}(\alpha \{x \leftarrow d\}, A) = T$  for all  $d \in D$ , otherwise  $v_{\mathcal{I}}(\alpha, \forall x A) = F$
8.  $v_{\mathcal{I}}(\alpha, \exists x A) = T$  iff  $v_{\mathcal{I}}(\alpha \{x \leftarrow d\}, A) = T$  for some  $d \in D$ , otherwise  $v_{\mathcal{I}}(\alpha, \exists x A) = F$
9.  $v_{\mathcal{I}}(\alpha, \top) = T$  and  $v_{\mathcal{I}}(\alpha, \perp) = F$

## Ground LK – Rules for Universal and Existential Quantifier

► rules for  $\forall$  (universal quantifier)

$$\frac{\Gamma, A[x \setminus t], \forall x A \Rightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma, \forall x A \Rightarrow \Delta} \forall\text{-left} \quad \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A[x \setminus a], \Delta}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \forall x A, \Delta} \forall\text{-right}$$

- $t$  is an arbitrary closed term
- **Eigenvariable condition** for the rule  $\forall$ -right:  $a$  must not occur in the conclusion, i.e. in  $\Gamma$ ,  $\Delta$ , or  $A$
- the formula  $\forall x A$  is preserved in the premise of the rule  $\forall$ -left

► rules for  $\exists$  (existential quantifier)

$$\frac{\Gamma, A[x \setminus a] \Rightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma, \exists x A \Rightarrow \Delta} \exists\text{-left} \quad \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \exists x A, A[x \setminus t], \Delta}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \exists x A, \Delta} \exists\text{-right}$$

- $t$  is an arbitrary closed term
- **Eigenvariable condition** for the rule  $\exists$ -left:  $a$  must not occur in the conclusion, i.e. in  $\Gamma$ ,  $\Delta$ , or  $A$
- the formula  $\exists x A$  is preserved in the premise of the rule  $\exists$ -right

Free-variable LK:  $\gamma$ -rules**Definition 2.9 ( $\gamma$ -rules in free-variable LK).**

The  $\gamma$ -rules in free variable LK are:

$$\frac{\Gamma, \forall x A, A[x \setminus U] \Rightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma, \forall x A \Rightarrow \Delta} \forall\text{-left} \qquad \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \exists x A, A[x \setminus U]}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \exists x A} \exists\text{-right}$$

$U$  is a *new* free variable

- By *new*, we mean that it does not previously occur in the derivation.

Free-variable LK:  $\delta$ -rules**Definition 2.10** ( $\delta$ -rules in free-variable LK).

The  $\delta$ -rules in free-variable LK are:

$$\frac{\Gamma, A[x \setminus f(\vec{U})] \Rightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma, \exists x A \Rightarrow \Delta} \exists\text{-left} \qquad \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, A[x \setminus f(\vec{U})]}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \forall x A} \forall\text{-right}$$

$f$  is a *new* Skolem function

$\vec{U} = U_1, \dots, U_n$  are the free variables occurring in  $\exists x A$ .

- By *new*, we mean that  $f$  does not previously occur in the derivation.



# The First-Order Resolution Calculus

The resolution rule is generalized by performing unification as part of the rule and an additional factorization rule is added.

## Definition 2.11 (First-Order Resolution Calculus).

$$\frac{}{C_1, \dots, \{\}, \dots, C_n} \textit{ axiom}$$

$$\frac{C_1, \dots, C_i \cup \{L_1\}, \dots, C_j \cup \{L_2\}, \dots, C_n, \sigma(C_i \cup C_j)}{C_1, \dots, C_i \cup \{L_1\}, \dots, C_j \cup \{L_2\}, \dots, C_n} \textit{ resolution}$$

with  $\sigma$  a m.g.u. of  $L_1$  and  $\bar{L}_2$ .

$$\frac{C_1, \dots, C_i \cup \{L_1, \dots, L_m\}, \dots, C_n, \sigma(C_i \cup \{L_1\})}{C_1, \dots, C_i \cup \{L_1, \dots, L_m\}, \dots, C_n} \textit{ factorization}$$

with  $\sigma$  a m.g.u. of  $L_1 \dots L_m$ .

- ▶ a **resolution proof** for a set of clauses  $S$  is a derivation of  $S$  in the resolution calculus; the **substitution**  $\sigma$  is local for every rule application; variables in every clause  $C$  can be **renamed**

# Modal Logic

# Kripke Frames

## Definition 3.1 (Kripke Frame).

A *(Kripke) frame*  $F = (W, R)$  consists of

- ▶ a non-empty set of *worlds*  $W$
- ▶ a binary *accessibility relation*  $R \subseteq W \times W$  on the worlds in  $W$

## Definition 3.2 (Reminder: Propositional Interpretation).

A *propositional interpretation* is a function  $\mathcal{I} : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \{T, F\}$  that assigns a truth value to every propositional variable.

## Definition 3.3 (Modal Interpretation).

A *modal interpretation (Kripke model)*  $\mathcal{I}_M := (F, \{\mathcal{I}(w)\}_{w \in W})$  consists of

- ▶ a *Kripke frame*  $F = (W, R)$
- ▶ one *propositional interpretation*  $\mathcal{I}(w)$  for each  $w \in W$

# Modal Truth Value

## Definition 3.4 (Modal Truth Value).

Let  $\mathcal{I}_M = ((W, R), \{\mathcal{I}(w)\}_{w \in W})$  be a Kripke structure. The *modal truth value*  $v_{\mathcal{I}_M}(w, A)$  of a formula  $A$  in the world  $w$  in the structure  $\mathcal{I}_M$  is **T** (*true*) if “ $w$  forces  $A$  under  $\mathcal{I}_M$ ”, denoted  $w \Vdash A$ , and **F** (*false*), otherwise.

The *forcing relation*  $w \Vdash A$  is defined inductively as follows:

- ▶  $w \Vdash p$  for  $p \in \mathcal{P}$  iff  $\mathcal{I}(w)(p) = T$
- ▶  $w \Vdash \neg A$  iff not  $w \Vdash A$
- ▶  $w \Vdash A \wedge B$  iff  $w \Vdash A$  and  $w \Vdash B$
- ▶  $w \Vdash A \vee B$  iff  $w \Vdash A$  or  $w \Vdash B$
- ▶  $w \Vdash A \rightarrow B$  iff not  $w \Vdash A$  or  $w \Vdash B$
- ▶  $w \Vdash \diamond A$  iff  $v \Vdash A$  for some  $v \in W$  with  $(w, v) \in R$
- ▶  $w \Vdash \square A$  iff  $v \Vdash A$  for all  $v \in W$  with  $(w, v) \in R$

# Satisfiability and Validity

In modal logic a formula  $F$  is **valid**, if it evaluates to *true* in **all worlds** of **all Kripke structures**.

## Definition 3.5 (Satisfiable, Model, Unsatisfiable, Valid, Invalid).

Let  $A$  be a formula. and  $\mathcal{I}_M$  be a Kripke structure.

- ▶  $\mathcal{I}_M$  is a **model in modal logic** for  $A$ , denoted  $\mathcal{I}_M \models A$ , iff  $v_{\mathcal{I}_M}(w, A) = T$  for all  $w \in W$ .
- ▶  $A$  is **satisfiable in modal logic** iff  $\mathcal{I}_M \models A$  for some Kripke structure  $\mathcal{I}_M$ .
- ▶  $A$  is **unsatisfiable in modal logic** iff  $A$  is **not** satisfiable.
- ▶  $A$  is **valid**, denoted  $\models A$ , iff  $\mathcal{I}_M \models A$  for all modal interpretations  $\mathcal{I}_M$ .
- ▶  $A$  is **invalid/falsifiable in modal logic** iff  $A$  is **not** valid.

## More Modal Logics

modal logic	condition on $R$	axioms
<b>K</b>	(no condition)	–
<b>K4</b>	transitive	$\Box A \rightarrow \Box \Box A$
<b>D</b>	serial	$\Box A \rightarrow \Diamond A$
<b>D4</b>	serial, transitive	$\Box A \rightarrow \Diamond A, \Box A \rightarrow \Box \Box A$
<b>T</b>	reflexive	$\Box A \rightarrow A$
<b>S4</b>	reflexive, transitive	$\Box A \rightarrow A, \Box A \rightarrow \Box \Box A$
<b>S5</b>	equivalence (reflexive, euclidean)	$\Box A \rightarrow A, \Diamond A \rightarrow \Box \Diamond A$

(A relation  $R \subseteq W \times W$  is *serial* iff for all  $w_1 \in W$  there is some  $w_2 \in W$  with  $(w_1, w_2) \in R$ ; a relation  $R \subseteq W \times W$  is *euclidean* iff for all  $w_1, w_2, w_3 \in W$  the following holds: if  $(w_1, w_2) \in R$  and  $(w_1, w_3) \in R$  then  $(w_2, w_3) \in R$ .)

Lemma: if a relation is reflexive and euclidean, it is also symmetric and transitive, i.e. an equivalence relation.

# A Sequent Calculus for $\mathbf{K}$

- ▶ Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a set of **labels**
- ▶ A **labeled formula** is a pair  $u : A$  where  $u \in \mathcal{L}$  and  $A$  a formula.
- ▶ An **accessibility formula** has the shape  $uRv$  for two labels  $u, v \in \mathcal{L}$ .
- ▶ Use **labeled sequents**, containing labeled formulae and accessibility formulae
- ▶ Propositional rules for labeled formulas: just copy labels, e.g.

$$\frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow u : A, \Delta \quad \Gamma \Rightarrow u : B, \Delta}{\Gamma \Rightarrow u : A \wedge B, \Delta} \wedge\text{-right}$$

- ▶ The  $\diamond$ -left rule creates a new label:

$$\frac{\Gamma, uRv, v : A \Rightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma, u : \diamond A \Rightarrow \Delta} \diamond\text{-left} \quad \text{for a fresh label } v$$

- ▶ The  $\Box$ -left rule transfers info to other labels:

$$\frac{\Gamma, uRv, v : A, u : \Box A \Rightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma, uRv, u : \Box A \Rightarrow \Delta} \Box\text{-left}$$

- ▶ Axioms require same labels:  $u : A, \Gamma \Rightarrow u : A, \Gamma$

# Rules for the Succedent

- ▶ The  $\Box$ -right rule creates a new label:

$$\frac{\Gamma, uRv \Rightarrow v : A, \Delta}{\Gamma \Rightarrow u : \Box A, \Delta} \Box\text{-right} \quad \text{for a fresh label } v$$

- ▶ The  $\Diamond$ -right rule transfers info to other labels:

$$\frac{\Gamma, uRv \Rightarrow v : A, u : \Diamond A, \Delta}{\Gamma, uRv \Rightarrow u : \Diamond A, \Delta} \Diamond\text{-right}$$



# Intuitionistic Logic

# Kripke Semantics

- ▶ is a **formal semantics** created in the late 1950s and early 1960s by **Saul Kripke** and **André Joyal**; was first used for **modal** logics, later adapted to **intuitionistic** logic and other non-classical logics

## Definition 4.1 (Kripke Frame).

A **(Kripke) frame**  $F = (W, R)$  consists of a

- ▶ a non-empty set of **worlds**  $W$
- ▶ a binary **accessibility relation**  $R \subseteq W \times W$  on the worlds in  $W$

## Definition 4.2 (Intuitionistic Frame).

An **intuitionistic frame**  $F_J = (W, R)$  is a Kripke frame  $(W, R)$  with a reflexive and transitive accessibility relation  $R$ .

( $R \subseteq W \times W$  is **reflexive** iff  $(w_1, w_1) \in R$  for all  $w_1 \in W$ ;  $R$  is **transitive** iff for all  $w_1, w_2, w_3 \in W$ : if  $(w_1, w_2) \in R$  and  $(w_2, w_3) \in R$  then  $(w_1, w_3) \in R$ )

# Intuitionistic Interpretation

## Definition 4.3 (Intuitionistic Interpretation).

An *intuitionistic interpretation* (*J-structure*)  $\mathcal{I}_J := (F_J, \{\mathcal{I}_C(w)\}_{w \in W})$  consists of

- ▶ an *intuitionistic frame*  $F_J = (W, R)$
- ▶ a set of *class. interpretations*  $\{\mathcal{I}_C(w)\}_{w \in W}$  with  $\mathcal{I}_C(w) := (D^w, \iota^w)$  assigning a domain  $D^w$  and an interpretation  $\iota^w$  to every  $w \in W$

Furthermore, the following holds:

1. *cumulative domains*, i.e. for all  $w, v \in W$  with  $(w, v) \in R$ :  $D^w \subseteq D^v$
2. *interpretations only "increase"*, i.e. for all  $w, v \in W$  with  $(w, v) \in R$ :
  - a.  $a^{\iota^w} = a^{\iota^v}$  for every constant  $a$
  - b.  $f^{\iota^w} \subseteq f^{\iota^v}$  for every function  $f$
  - c.  $p^{\iota^w} = T$  implies  $p^{\iota^v} = T$  for every  $p \in \mathcal{P}^0$
  - d.  $p^{\iota^w} \subseteq p^{\iota^v}$  for every predicate  $p \in \mathcal{P}^n$  with  $n > 0$   
 ( $g \subseteq h$  holds for  $g$  and  $h$  iff  $g(x) = h(x)$  for all  $x$  of the domain of  $g$ )

# Intuitionistic Truth Value

## Definition 4.4 (Intuitionistic Truth Value).

Let  $\mathcal{I}_J = ((W, R), \{(D^w, \iota^w)\}_{w \in W})$  be a  $J$ -structure. The *intuitionistic truth value*  $v_{\mathcal{I}_J}(w, G)$  of a formula  $G$  in the world  $w$  under the structure  $\mathcal{I}_J$  is **T** (true) if “ $w$  forces  $G$  under  $\mathcal{I}_J$ ”, denoted  $w \Vdash G$ , and **F** (false), otherwise.  $v_{\mathcal{I}_J}(w, t)$  is the (classic) *evaluation* of the term  $t$  in world  $w$ .

The *forcing relation*  $w \Vdash G$  is defined as follows:

- ▶  $w \Vdash p$  for  $p \in \mathcal{P}^0$  iff  $p^{\iota^w} = T$
- ▶  $w \Vdash p(t_1, \dots, t_n)$  for  $p \in \mathcal{P}^n$ ,  $n > 0$ , iff  $(v_{\mathcal{I}_J}(w, t_1), \dots, v_{\mathcal{I}_J}(w, t_n)) \in P^{\iota^w}$
- ▶  $w \Vdash \neg A$  iff  $v \not\Vdash A$  for all  $v \in W$  with  $(w, v) \in R$
- ▶  $w \Vdash A \wedge B$  iff  $w \Vdash A$  and  $w \Vdash B$
- ▶  $w \Vdash A \vee B$  iff  $w \Vdash A$  or  $w \Vdash B$
- ▶  $w \Vdash A \rightarrow B$  iff  $v \Vdash A$  implies  $v \Vdash B$  for all  $v \in W$  with  $(w, v) \in R$
- ▶  $w \Vdash \exists x A$  iff  $w \Vdash A[x \setminus d]$  for some  $d \in D^w$
- ▶  $w \Vdash \forall x A$  iff  $v \Vdash A[x \setminus d]$  for all  $d \in D^v$  for all  $v \in W$  with  $(w, v) \in R$

# Satisfiability and Validity

In intuitionistic logic a formula  $F$  is **valid**, if it evaluates to *true* in **all worlds** and for all intuitionistic interpretations.

## Definition 4.5 (Satisfiable, Model, Unsatisfiable, Valid, Invalid).

Let  $F$  be a *closed* (first-order) formula.

- ▶ Let  $\mathcal{I}_J$  be an intuitionistic interpretation.  $\mathcal{I}_J$  is an **intuitionistic model** for a  $F$ , denoted  $\mathcal{I}_J \models F$ , iff  $v_{\mathcal{I}}(w, F) = T$  for all  $w \in W$ .
- ▶  $F$  is **intuitionistically satisfiable** iff  $\mathcal{I}_J \models F$  for some intuitionistic interpretation  $\mathcal{I}_J$ .
- ▶  $F$  is **intuitionistically unsatisfiable** iff  $F$  is *not* intuit. satisfiable.
- ▶  $F$  is **intuitionistically valid**, denoted  $\models F$ , iff  $\mathcal{I}_J \models F$  for all intuitionistic interpretations  $\mathcal{I}_J$ .
- ▶  $F$  is **intuitionistically invalid/falsifiable** iff  $F$  is *not* intuit. valid.

## LJ – Rules for Conjunction and Disjunction

► rules for  $\wedge$  (conjunction)

$$\frac{\Gamma, A, B \Rightarrow D}{\Gamma, A \wedge B \Rightarrow D} \wedge\text{-left} \quad \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A \quad \Gamma \Rightarrow B}{\Gamma \Rightarrow A \wedge B} \wedge\text{-right}$$

► rules for  $\vee$  (disjunction)

$$\frac{\Gamma, A \Rightarrow D \quad \Gamma, B \Rightarrow D}{\Gamma, A \vee B \Rightarrow D} \vee\text{-left}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A}{\Gamma \Rightarrow A \vee B} \vee\text{-right}_1 \quad \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow B}{\Gamma \Rightarrow A \vee B} \vee\text{-right}_2$$

## LJ – Rules for Implication and Negation, Axiom

► rules for  $\rightarrow$  (implication)

$$\frac{\Gamma, A \rightarrow B \Rightarrow A \quad \Gamma, B \Rightarrow D}{\Gamma, A \rightarrow B \Rightarrow D} \rightarrow\text{-left} \quad \frac{\Gamma, A \Rightarrow B}{\Gamma \Rightarrow A \rightarrow B} \rightarrow\text{-right}$$

► rules for  $\neg$  (negation)

$$\frac{\Gamma, \neg A \Rightarrow A}{\Gamma, \neg A \Rightarrow D} \neg\text{-left} \quad \frac{\Gamma, A \Rightarrow}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \neg A} \neg\text{-right}$$

## ► the axiom

$$\frac{}{\Gamma, A \Rightarrow A} \text{axiom}$$

## LJ – Rules for Universal and Existential Quantifier

▶ rules for  $\forall$  (universal quantifier)

$$\frac{\Gamma, A[x \setminus t], \forall x A \Rightarrow D}{\Gamma, \forall x A \Rightarrow D} \forall\text{-left} \quad \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A[x \setminus a]}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \forall x A} \forall\text{-right}$$

- ▶  $t$  is an arbitrary closed term
- ▶ **Eigenvariable condition** for the rule  $\forall$ -right:  $a$  must not occur in the conclusion, i.e. in  $\Gamma$  or  $A$
- ▶ the formula  $\forall x A$  is preserved in the premise of the rule  $\forall$ -left

▶ rules for  $\exists$  (existential quantifier)

$$\frac{\Gamma, A[x \setminus a] \Rightarrow D}{\Gamma, \exists x A \Rightarrow D} \exists\text{-left} \quad \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A[x \setminus t]}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \exists x A} \exists\text{-right}$$

- ▶  $t$  is an arbitrary closed term
- ▶ **Eigenvariable condition** for the rule  $\exists$ -left:  $a$  must not occur in the conclusion, i.e. in  $\Gamma$ ,  $D$ , or  $A$
- ▶ the formula  $\exists x A$  is **not** preserved in the premise of the rule  $\exists$ -right