Group projects are evaluated based on your final application, and your presentation of it. The application is evaluated on 1) functionality, 2) technical implementation, and 3) user interfaces. In your presentation, we will also evaluate your reflections upon these aspects and the process of design and development. In the presentation, you will also be asked to evaluate and grade your own work based on these criteria.

Reflections on your application and the design and development process	- Ability to articulate and reflect on positive and negative aspects of your own solution - Ability to elaborate on functional, implementation, and design aspects of your solution - Ability to explain why choices related to these aspects were made - Ability to elaborate and reflect on the project process (coordination, time management, planning, etc.) - Ability to elaborate and reflect on the use of methods for organizing the project work (e.g., from the method toolkit or other resources)
Functionality	- Fully working implementations of the fundamental requirements - Have implemented additional functionality (each assessed based on extensiveness, completeness, and plausible usefulness given the case description) - Additional functionality implemented seems appropriate (useful) given the case description
Technical implementation	- Git has been used to coordinate the development throughout the project - The app platform has been utilized - Use of DHIS2 data model to store data and configurations (as opposed to hard-coding this into the app) - Efficient use of APIs (e.g., server-side filtering, client-side caching) - Modularity and reusable components - Utilizing React capabilities - Readable and consistent naming conventions for functions, components, etc.
User interfaces	- Use of the DHIS2 UI library - Use of the DHIS2 UI style guide when UI library lacks components - Overall ‘look and feel’ appears user-friendly and robust - Appropriate and user-friendly terminology and error messages - Meaningful and intuitive navigation - Meaningful page layouts - UI consistency

	A - Excellent	**C - Good**	**E - Sufficient**
UiO grade definition	An excellent performance, clearly outstanding.	A good performance in most areas.	A performance that meets the minimum criteria, but no more.
Reflections on your application and the design and development process	The group is able to reflect very well on strengths, weaknesses, why decisions were made, and the design and development process.	The group is able to reflect well on strengths, weaknesses, why decisions were made, and the design and development process.	The group shows limited ability to reflect on strengths, weaknesses, why decisions were made, and the design and development process.
Functionality	Fully working implementations of the fundamental requirements and additional functionality that are very extensive, fully working, and potentially useful.	Fully working implementations of the fundamental requirements and some additional functionality (evaluated on their completeness, extensiveness, and usefulness).	Basic implementation of the fundamental requirements, but little more.
Technical implementation	The solution evaluates to be very good on all implementation aspects listed above.	The solution evaluates above reasonably well on all implementation aspects listed above.	The solution evaluates to less than satisfactory on several of the implementation aspects listed above.
User interfaces	The solution evaluates to be very good on all user interface aspects listed above.	The solution evaluates above reasonably well on all user interface aspects listed above.	The solution evaluates to less than satisfactory on several of the user interface aspects listed above.

For the final presentation, your group should present this form and discuss where you will place your own project from A to E on functionality, technical implementation, and user interfaces.