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## Facts and rules

- Remember: A declarative program admits two interpretations
- Declarative interpretation, What is being computed.
- Procedural interpretation, How computation takes place
- A Prolog program consists of a sequence of clauses clauses are facts $(\mathrm{H})$ or rules ( H :- $\mathrm{A}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{k}}$ ) person(anne, sofia, martin, 1960)

$$
\text { child(X,Y) :- person }(X, Z, Y, U)
$$

Declaratively, the rule $\mathrm{H}:-\mathrm{A}_{1}, \mathrm{~A}_{2}$ is read as: " H is implied by the conjunction $\mathrm{A}_{1}, \mathrm{~A}_{2}$,
Procedurally, the rule $H$ :- $A_{1}, A_{2}$ is interpreted as "To answer the query H , answer the conjunctive query $\mathrm{A}_{1}, \mathrm{~A}_{2}{ }^{\prime \prime}$

## Queries and unification

- We initiate a computation by posing a query (|?- $\mathrm{A}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~A}_{k}$ ) | ?- child(paul,Parent))
- For queries without variables we will get a yes/no answer.
- For queries with variables the result is the substitutions for (assignment of) the variables which will make the query true.
The process of matching a query with facts and rules is called unification. The result of the unification is a substitution.
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## Lists in Prolog

[] : the empty list
[a,b,c] : a list with three elements
[a|[b,c]] : another way of writing [a,b,c]
[a,b|[c]] : the same
[ $\mathrm{X} \mid \mathrm{Y}$ ] represents a list with first element X and tail Y
the member predicate:
member( $\mathrm{X},[\mathrm{X} \mid$ Rest $]$ ).
member(X, [H | Tail]):- member(X, Tail).

- the append predicate:
append([], Ys, Ys).
append([X|Xs], Ys, [X | Zs]) :- append(Xs, Ys, Zs).


## append

```
append([], Ys, Ys).
append([X | Xs], Ys, [X | Zs]) :- append(Xs, Ys, Zs).
/* 1 */
/* 2 */
|-? append([a,b],[c,d],Res)
append([X | Xs], Ys, [X | Zs]) :- append(Xs, Ys, Zs).
{X=a,Xs=[b],Ys=[c,d], Res=[a|Zs]}
append([b], [c,d], Zs)
append([X1 | Xs1], Ys1, [X1 | Zs1]) :- append(Xs1, Ys1, Zs1).
{X1=b, Xs1=[],Ys1=[c,d],Zs=[b|Zs1]}
append([], [c,d], Zs1)
append([], Ys2, Ys2)
        {Ys2=[c,d],Zs1=Ys2=[c,d]}
Res = [a|Zs]
    = [a|[b|Zs1]]
    = [a|[b|[c,d]]] = [a,b,c,d].
```


## Different views of a Prolog program

## For testing:

| ?- member(wed, [mon, wed, fri]). yes
| ?- append([a,b],[c,d],[a,b,c,d]) . yes
For computing:
| ?- member(X, [mon, wed, fri]).
$X=$ mon ? ; $X=$ wed ?: $X=$ fri ?; no
| ?- append([a,b],[c,d],Zs).
Zs = [a,b,c,d] ? ;
| ?- append(Xs, Ys, [a,b,c,d]).
$X s=[], Y s=[a, b, c, d]$ ? ;
$X s=[a], Y s=[b, c, d] ? ;$
$X s=[a, b], Y s=[c, d]$ ? ;

## Outline

## Repetition

- Facts, rules, queries and unification


## Today

- Lists in Prolog
- Different views of a Prolog program
- Arithmetic in Prolog
- Cut and negation


## Arithmetic in Prolog

Prolog programs presented so far were declarative: they admitted a dual reading as a formula

- Operations of arithmetic are functional, not relational
- Arithmetic compromises Prolog' s declarativeness
- Solved in constraint logic programming languages


## Arithmetic operators

Built-in data structures:

- Integers: 1,2,3,... (+, -, *, //)
- Floating points: 2.3, 3.4456, 5.4e-13,... (+, -, *, /)
$\Rightarrow$ Infix vs prefix notation*
- 45+35
- ' + ' $(45,35)$
$\Rightarrow$ It is possible to have user-defined operators with specified priority, associativity, etc


## Arithmetic comparison relations

Prolog allows comparison of ground arithmetic expressions (gae, i.e. expressions without variables). gaes have values
Built-in comparison relations: <, =<, =:= ("equal"), =\= ("different"), >= and >
Queries

```
- | ?- 6*3 =:=9*2.
    yes
- | ? \(-8>5+3\).
    no
- | ?- \(34>=X+4\).
    uncaught exception: error(instantiation_error,(>=)/2
```

Note difference between

- = (unifiability relation) $1+1=2$ gives no, $X=1$ gives $\mathrm{X}=1$
- == (syntactic equality) $1+1==2$ gives no , $\mathrm{X}==\mathrm{x}$ gives no
- $\backslash==$ (syntactic inequality) $1+1 \backslash==2$ gives yes.
- $=:=$ (value equality) $1+1=:=2$ gives yes
- $=\backslash=$ (value inequality) $1+1=\backslash=2$ gives no


## Example: ordered lists

ordered([]).
ordered([X]).
ordered ([X,Y|Ys]) :- $\mathrm{X}=<\mathrm{Y}$, ordered([Y|Ys]).

## Queries

- | ?- ordered([3,4,67,8]).
no
- | ?- ordered([3,4,67, 88]). yes
- | ? - ordered([3,4,X,88]).
\{INSTANTIATION ERROR: $4=<$ _30 - arg 2$\}$


## Evaluation of arithmetic expressions

We need to introduce a way to evaluate expressions

- | ?- $X=:=3+4 . \quad$ yields an error
- | ?- $X=3+4$.
$x=3+4$
Evaluation is done using "is"
- | ?- X is $3+4$.
$X=7$
- "is" is a builtin predicate which has been defined as an operator for simpler syntax, we could also write:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { | ?- is(X,3+4). } \\
& X=7
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example: Factorial

factorial( 0,1 ).
factorial( $N, F)$ :- $\mathrm{N}>0, \mathrm{~N} 1$ is $\mathrm{N}-1$, factorial(N1,F1),
F is $\mathrm{N} * \mathrm{~F} 1$.
Queries

- | ?- factorial( $5, X$ ).
$X=120$
Yes
The following query gives an error however:
- | ?- factorial $(X, 120)$. " $\times>0$ " is not allowed! uncaught exception: error(instantiation_error,(>)/2)


## Example: Length of lists

An intuitive definition but wrong length([],0). length([_| Ts], N+1) :- length(Ts,N).
Query

- | ?- length([3,5,56,7],X).
$X=0+1+1+1+1$
Yes
What' s the problem?
Expressions are not automatically evaluated in Prolog!


## Example: Length of lists

A good definition length([],0). length([_ | Ts], N) :- length(Ts,M), N is M+1.

Queries

- | ?- length([3,5,56,7],X).
$X=4$
Yes
- | ?- length(X,5).

X = [_ノ-ノ-।-। $]$
yes

## length(X,5)

```
length([],0).
length([_ | Ts], N) :- length(Ts,M), N is M+1.
:- length(X,5)
:- length(Ts,M), 5 is M+1
1. :- 5 is 0+1 Ts/[],M/0 FAIL
2. :- length(Ts1,M1), M is M1+1,5 is M+1 Ts/[_,Ts1]
2.1 :- M is 0+1,5 is M+1 Ts1/[], M1/0, Ts/[_,Ts1]
2.1 :- 5 is 1+1 Ts1/[], M1/0, Ts/[_,Ts1], M/1 FAIL
2.2 :- length(Ts2,M2), M1 is M2+1, M is M1+1, 5 is M+1
    Ts1/[], M1/0, Ts/[_,Ts1], Ts1/[_,Ts2]
```
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## cut

- cut is a built in system predicate which affects the procedural behaviour of a program
- its main function is to reduce the search space of Prolog computations by dynamically pruning the search tree.
- Ex:

$$
p(\mathbf{s} 1):-\mathrm{A} 1
$$

$$
p(\mathbf{s i}):-B,!, C
$$

$$
\mathrm{p}(\mathbf{s k}):-\mathrm{Ak}
$$

- When cut is encountered,
- all alternative ways of computing B are discarded.
- all computations of $p(t)$ are discarded as backtrackable alternatives.
- cut gives more control to the programmer, but compromises the declarative reading of the Prolog programs and makes it difficult to see what will happen in the computation.


## rsiblings example

- Recall the rsiblings rule.
rsiblings $(X, Y)$ :- child(X,Parent1), child(Y,Parent1),
$X \backslash==Y$,
child(X,Parent2),
child(Y,Parent2),
Parent1 \== Parent2.
- | ?- rsiblings(anne,X).
- X = paul ? ;
- X = paul ? ;
- no


## rsiblings with cut

- With cut
rsiblings( $X, Y$ ) :- child(X,Parent1),
!, child(Y,Parent1),
$X \backslash==Y$, child(X,Parent2), child(Y,Parent2), Parent1 \== Parent2.
| ?- rsiblings(anne,X).
X = paul ? ;
no
| ?- rsiblings(X,anne).
no


## rsiblings with cut, next try...

 rsiblings $(X, Y)$ :- child( $X$, Parent1),
child(Y,Parent1),
$X \backslash==Y$,
!,
child(X,Parent2),
child(Y,Parent2),
Parent1 \== Parent2.
| ?- rsiblings(anne, X$)$.
X = paul
yes
| ?- rsiblings(X,anne).
X = paul
yes
But what if anne has more than one sibling?

## Cut destroys declarativity

Cut makes it possible to control program execution -> Added efficiency.

On the other hand:
$\rightarrow$ Programs become hard to understand.
$\rightarrow$ Need to document in which ways predicates can be called.
$\rightarrow$ Compromises the original intension of the language.

## Negation as failure

Negation can be defined by cut.
not(X) :- X, ! , fail .
not(_).
The built-in negation operator is $\backslash+$
| ?- \+ person(haakon,sonja,harald,1973) .
yes
The query \+ A succeeds if and only if the query A fails.
Corresponds to our "normal" notion of negation if the negated query always terminates and is ground. Consider negation of non-ground term $X=1$ :
$1+(\mathrm{X}=1)$
no

## IO in Prolog

Various predicates for input/output.

- print(f(a)) prints out a term.
- display('Hello World') prints a string.
print_list([]) :- print(nothing).
print_list([X]):- write('only '), print(X).
print_list([X|Ys]) :- print(X), print_list_help(Ys).
print_list_help([]).
print_list_help([X|Xs]) :- write(' and '),print(X),
print_list_help(Xs).


## Problem with IO

The problem: does not work with backtracking: io_problem :- print(one), fail.
io_problem :- print(two).
Will print onetwo
io_problem :- fail, print(one).
io_problem :- print(two).

- Will print two
- even though conjunction should be commutative.
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## Problems with Prolog

No types

- No (standardized) module system
- Non-declarative arithmetic
- Need to use cut
- Cut makes automated optimization hard
- IO disaggrees with backtracking


## More Logic PLs

- Mercury
- Higher-order logic programming, Lambda-Prolog
- Like Prolog, but lambda terms instead of first order
- Higher-order unification
- Not a functional language!

Curry: http://www-ps.informatik.uni-kiel.de/currywiki/start

- Constraint Logic Programming languages
- Prolog just gathers instantiations for variables.
- Instead, gather constraints that need to be satisfied.
E.g. $X>3, X<6, X \backslash==5$

System infers instantiation $X=4$

