

HOMEWORK #11
For Friday, April 8

- ★ 1. Assuming φ is any formula, prove $\varphi \vee \neg\varphi$, using the \vee -rules on page 50 in van Dalen. (Hint: use a proof by contradiction.)
- ★ 2. Do parts (i), (ii), and (vi) of problem 1 on page 95.
- ★ 3. Do the following.
 - a. Prove $\neg(p \leftrightarrow \neg p)$ in propositional logic.
 - b. Use this to prove $\neg\exists y \forall x (S(y, x) \leftrightarrow \neg S(x, x))$ in first-order logic.

Hint for part (b): it suffices to show that $\forall x (S(y, x) \leftrightarrow \neg S(x, x))$ leads to a contradiction. This is a formalization of the Barber paradox: in a given town there is a (male) barber who shaves every man that does not shave himself. Who shaves the barber?
- 4. Do problems 1, 2 and 3 on page 98.
- 5. Do problem 4 on page 98. The \rightarrow direction is difficult. (Because the implication is *not* intuitionistically valid, you will need to use RAA.)
- ★ 6. Do problem 5 on page 99.
- 7. Do other problems on page 99 of van Dalen, for practice.
- ★ 8. An old song goes, “Everybody loves my baby, but my baby don’t love nobody but me.” Prove that if this is true, I am my baby.
More precisely: Let $L(x, y)$ stand for “ x loves y ,” let b be a constant denoting “my baby,” and m be a constant denoting me. From assumptions $\forall x L(x, b)$ and $\forall x (L(b, x) \rightarrow x = m)$, prove $b = m$.
- ★ 9. Do problem 1 on page 102. Here, I_2 is the axiom

$$\forall x \forall y (x = y \rightarrow y = x)$$

and I_3 is the axiom

$$\forall x \forall y \forall z (x = y \wedge y = z \rightarrow x = z).$$

Do not use the equality rules! The point of the exercise is to show that you can replace the three basic axioms of equality (reflexivity, symmetry, and transitivity) by two axioms.

10. Do problem 3 on page 102.

11. Do other problems on page 102 for practice.