

HOMEWORK #4B
For Friday, February 18

- ★ 1. The set of propositional formulas in prefix form is defined inductively, as follows (the underlying set consists of strings of variables and logical symbols):
- \perp is a prefix formula
 - any variable p_i is a prefix formula
 - if φ is a prefix formula, so is $\neg\varphi$
 - if φ and ψ are prefix formulas, so is $\wedge\varphi\psi$
 - if φ and ψ are prefix formulas, so is $\vee\varphi\psi$
 - if φ and ψ are prefix formulas, so is $\rightarrow\varphi\psi$
 - if φ and ψ are prefix formulas, so is $\leftrightarrow\varphi\psi$

Intuitively, this is just another notation for propositional formulas in which the connectives come *in front* of the arguments, instead of *in between* them. For example, one writes $\wedge p_1 p_2$ instead of $(p_1 \wedge p_2)$. Notice, however, that in this representation no parentheses are used.

- a. Convert $\wedge \rightarrow p_1 \neg p_2 \vee p_3 p_4$ to a regular propositional formula.
 - b. Convert $((p_1 \vee p_2) \rightarrow ((\neg p_3) \vee p_4))$ to a prefix formula.
 - c. Define a function recursively that maps prefix propositional formulas to regular ones (you can assume that the set of prefix formulas is freely generated).
 - d. Define a function recursively that maps regular propositional formulas to prefix ones.
- 2. Prove unique readability for prefix formulas, i.e. that the set of prefix formulas is freely generated. This amounts to showing that there is only one way to “parse” a given formula.
 - 3. In the programming language of your choice, define a data structure to represent propositional formulas as trees. (That is, a propositional formula is either a variable, or an operation with pointers to its arguments). Write a parser for propositional formulas, that is, a program that takes a string as input and turns it into a parse tree. The routine should print “ok” if successful, or “error” if the string is not a formula.

Now write routines that convert a formula to prefix form; that take an assignment of truth values to the variables as input and determine whether or not the resulting formula is true; and that determine whether there is *any* assignment that makes the formula true.

4. Do problems 1 and 2 on page 14 of van Dalen.
- ★ 5. Do problem 3 on page 14. In other words, show that for every θ , ψ , and φ , if φ is a subformula of ψ and ψ is a subformula of θ , then φ is a subformula of θ . (Hint: use induction on θ , and state the relevant property of θ clearly.)
6. Do problem 4 on page 14. In other words, show that if φ is a subformula of ψ and $\theta_0, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_k$ is a formation sequence for ψ , then for some $i \leq k$, $\varphi = \theta_i$. Be precise: use the definitions of *PROP*, formation sequences, and subformulas presented in class.
7. Do problem 5–8 on page 14–15.
8. Do problem 9 on page 15. Note that the “number of connectives” in φ counts all the occurrences of \wedge , \vee , \rightarrow , \leftrightarrow , and \perp ; this can be defined more formally by recursion on φ . (Hint: use induction on φ to show that the number of subformulas of φ is always at most twice the number of connectives plus one.)
9. Show that if φ is any propositional formula, there is a formation sequence for φ that involves only subformulas of φ .
- 10. Do problem 11 on page 15.