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Critical Regions

Four conditions to provide mutual exclusion
1. No two threads simultaneously in critical region
2. No assumptions made about speeds or numbers of CPUs
3. No thread running outside its critical region may block another thread
4. No thread must wait forever to enter its critical region
Critical Regions

Recall Processes and Threads

- Process
  - Address space
  - Program text and data
  - Open files
  - Child process IDs
  - Alarms
  - Signal handlers
  - Accounting information
  - Implemented in kernel

- Thread
  - Program counter
  - Registers
  - Stack

- Implemented in kernel or in user space
- Threads are the scheduled entities
Producer-Consumer Problem

- Main problem description
  - Two threads
  - Different actions in the critical region
  - The consumer can not enter the CR more often than the producer
- Two sub-problems
  - Unbounded PCP: the producer can enter the CR as often as it wants
  - Bounded PCP: the producer can enter the CR only $N$ times more often than the consumer

Unbounded PCP

Rules for the queue $Q$:
- No Get when empty
- $Q$ shared, so must have mutex between Put and Get
Recall Mutexes

- Can be acquired and released
  - Only one thread can hold one mutex at a time
  - A second thread trying to acquire must wait

- Mutexes
  - Can be implemented using busy waiting
  - Simpler with advanced atomic operations
    - Disable interrupts, TSL, XCHG, ...
  - Still many approaches using busy waiting
  - Better implemented using system calls block & unblock

Bounded PCP

Rules for the buffer B:
- No Get when empty
- No Put when full
- B shared, so must have mutex between Put and Get
Two Kinds of Synchronization

**MUTEX**
Acquire will let first caller through, and then block next until Release

**CONDITION SYNCHRONIZATION**
Acquire will block first caller until Release

LOCK is initially OPEN

LOCK is initially CLOSED
Sleep and Wakeup / Signal and Wait

- **Wait (cond)**
  - Insert(caller, cond_queue)
  - Block this thread

- **Signal (cond)**
  - Unblock first in cond_queue, or just return if empty

No counting, unused signals are ignored
Wait is atomic

Unbounded PCP using Signal and Wait

Rules for the queue Q:
- No Get when empty
- Q shared, so must have mutex between Put and Get

```
while(l) {
    <process>
    acquire(mutex);
    <insert>
    release(mutex);
    signal(cond);
}
```

```
while(l) {
    if(empty)
        wait(cond);
    acquire(mutex);
    <remove>
    release(mutex);
    <process>
}
```
Unbounded PCP using Signal and Wait

```
while(1) {
    <process>
    acquire(mutex);
    <insert>
    signal(cond);
    release(mutex);
    } 
}
```

```
Producer
```

```
Consumer
```

Lost signal

```
Unbounded PCP using Signal and Wait

```

Producer can't enter

```
while(1) {
    <process>
    acquire(mutex);
    while(1) {
        <process>
        acquire(mutex);
        wait(cond);
        release(mutex);
        <remove>
        release(mutex);
        <process>
        } 
} 
```

```
Producer
```

```
Consumer
```
Unbounded PCP using Signal and Wait

\[ \text{q} \]

PUT (msg): GET (buf)

Producer

Consumer

\[
\text{while(1) \{}
\text{\hspace{1em} <process>}
\text{\hspace{1em} acquire(mutex);} \\
\text{\hspace{1em} <insert>}
\text{\hspace{1em} signal(cond);} \\
\text{\hspace{1em} release(mutex);} \\
\text{\}}}
\]

Lost signal

\[
\text{while(1) \{}
\text{\hspace{1em} acquire(mutex);} \\
\text{\hspace{1em} while(empty) \{}
\text{\hspace{2em} release(mutex);} \\
\text{\hspace{2em} wait(cond);} \\
\text{\hspace{2em} acquire(mutex);} \\
\text{\}} \\
\text{\hspace{1em} <remove>}
\text{\hspace{1em} release(mutex);} \\
\text{\hspace{1em} <process>}
\text{\}}}
\]

Threads wait for …

- Access to a critical region
  - Mutex
  - Semaphore
- A condition to be fulfilled
  - Condition variable
  - Barrier
  - Semaphore
Semaphores

Semaphores (Dijkstra, 1965)

- **Down or Wait or “P”**
  - Atomic
  - Decrement semaphore value by 1
  - Block if not positive

  ```
  P(s) {
    if (--s < 0)
      Block(s);
  }
  ```

- **Up or Signal or “V”**
  - Atomic
  - Increment semaphore by 1
  - Wake up a waiting thread if any

  ```
  V(s) {
    if (++s <= 0)
      Unblock(s);
  }
  ```

Can get negative s: counts number of waiting threads

s is NOT accessible through other means than calling P and V
Semaphores w/Busy Wait

P(s):
```c
while (s <= 0) {};
s--;
```

V(s):
```
s++;
```

• Starvation possible?
• Does it matter in practice?

The Structure of a Semaphore

sem_wait_queue

Threads waiting to get return after calling P (s) when s was <=0

V (s) P (s)

• Atomic: Disable interrupts
• Atomic: P() and V() as System calls
• Atomic: Entry-Exit protocols
Using Semaphores

**“The Signal”**

\[ s := 0; \]
\[ P(s); \]
\[ A \text{ blocks until } B \text{ says } V \]

**“The Mutex”**

\[ s := 1; \]
\[ P(s); \]
\[ V(s); \]
\[ <CR> \]
\[ One \text{ thread gets in, next} \]
\[ blocks \text{ until } V \text{ is executed} \]

**“The Team”**

\[ s := 11; \]
\[ P(s); \]
\[ V(s); \]
\[ <max \ 11> \]
\[ <max \ 11> \]
\[ Up \text{ to } 11 \text{ threads can pass } P, \text{ the} \]
\[ ninth \text{ will block until } V \text{ is said by} \]
\[ one \text{ of the eight already in there} \]

NB: remember to set the initial semaphore value!

---

Simple to debug?

\[ x := 0; \]
\[ y := 0; \]
\[ P(x); \]
\[ V(y); \]
\[ A \text{ blocks until } B \text{ says } V \]
\[ y := 0; \]
\[ P(y); \]
\[ V(x); \]
\[ B \text{ blocks until } A \text{ says } V \]

What will happen?

**THEY ARE FOREVER WAITING FOR EACH OTHERS SIGNAL**

(“No milk”)
Unbounded PCP using Semaphores

Rules for the queue Q:
- No Get when empty
- Q shared, so must have mutex between Put and Get

One semaphore for each condition we must wait for to become TRUE:
- Q empty: nonempty:=0;
- Q mutex: mutex:=1;

Is Mutex needed when only 1 P and 1 C?
- PUT at one end, GET at other end

Example

Un bounded PCP using Semaphores

Rules for the queue Q:
- No Get when empty
- Q shared, so must have mutex between Put and Get

One semaphore for each condition we must wait for to become TRUE:
- Q empty: nonempty:=0;
- Q mutex: mutex:=1;

Is Mutex needed when only 1 P and 1 C?
- PUT at one end, GET at other end
Bounded PCP using Semaphores

Rules for the buffer $B$:
- No Get when empty
- No Put when full
- $B$ shared, so must have mutex between Put and Get

One semaphore for each condition we must wait for to become TRUE:
- $B$ empty: nonempty := 0;
- $B$ full: nonfull := $N$;
- $B$ mutex: mutex := 1;

Putting at one end, GET at other end

Dining Philosophers Problem

- Five philosopher
- Five dishes
- Five forks
- But a philosopher needs two forks for eating

- Usually the philosophers think, when they are hungry the try to eat
- How to prevent all philosophers from starving
Dining Philosophers

- Each: 2 forks to eat
- 5 philosophers: 10 forks to let all eat concurrently
- 5 forks: 2 can eat concurrently

Mutex on whole table:
P(mutex);
eat;
V(mutex);

Get L; Get R;
• Deadlock possible
  P(s(i));
P(s(i+1));
eat;
V(s(i+1));
V(s(i));

Get L; Get R if free else Put L;
• Starvation possible

To avoid starvation they could look after each other:
• Entry: If L and R is not eating we can
• Exit: If L (R) wants to eat and L (R) is not eating we start him eating

While (1) {
  ENTRY;
  <think>
  <eat>
  EXIT;
}

P(mutex);
state(i):=Want;
if (state(i-1) !=Eating AND state(i+1) !=Eating)
  /*Safe to eat*/
  state(i):=Eating;
  V(s(i)); /*Because */
V(mutex);
S(i) = 0 initially

P(mutex);
state(i):=Thinking;
if (state(i-1)=Want AND state(i-2) !=Eating)
  |
state(i-1):=Eating;
  V(s(i-1)); /*Start Left neighbor*/
  /*Analogue for Right neighbor*/
  V(mutex);
**Dining Philosophers**

- Get L; Get R;
  - Deadlock possible
  
- Non-symmetric solution. Still quite elegant

**Readers and Writers Problem**

- Several threads
- Shared data in a critical region

- Sometimes a thread wants to read the data
- Sometimes a thread wants to change the data

- Readers can enter a critical region together
- Writers can not enter a critical region together
The Readers and Writers Problem

One solution to the readers and writers problem
But too many readers can starve writers

Another solution to the readers and writers problem
Other wait-and-signal mechanisms

Event Count (Reed 1977)

- **Init( ec )**
  - Set the eventcount to 0
- **Read( ec )**
  - Return the value of eventcount ec
- **Advance( ec )**
  - Atomically increment ec by 1
- **Await( ec, v )**
  - Wait until ec >= v
Bounded PCP with Event Count

```cpp
producer() {
    int next = 0;
    while (1) {
        produce an item
        next++;
        await(out, next - N);
        put the item in buffer;
        advance(in);
    }
}

consumer() {
    int next = 0;
    while (1) {
        next++;
        await(in, next);
        take an item from buffer;
        advance(out);
        consume the item;
    }
}
```

- Does this work for more than one producer and consumer?
- No, we will get multiple events happening, need a sequencer

Condition Variables

- Wait (cond, mutex)
  - Insert(caller, cond_queue)
  - V(mutex)
  - Block this thread
  - When unblocked, P(mutex)
- Signal (cond)
  - Unblock first in cond_queue, or just return if empty

No counting, unused signals are ignored
Insert, Unlock and Block not interrupted
Unbounded PCP using Condition Variable

while(1) {
    <process>
    P(mutex);
    <insert>
    signal(cond);
    V(mutex);
}

No problems

while(1) {
    P(mutex);
    while(empty) {
        wait(cond,mutex);
    }
    <remove>
    V(mutex);
    <process>
}

Producer

PUT (msg):

GET (buf):

Consumer

No problems either
Emulations

• Not all wait-and-signal mechanisms exist in all operating systems or thread packages

• Windows has no native condition variables
  – But semaphores (and mutexes)
• Some Unix-like systems have no native semaphores
  – But condition variables and mutexes

• Emulations

Building Condition Variables using Semaphores

```
cond:
  semaphore lock = 1
  semaphore signal = 0
  int waiters = 0

wait(cond, mutex) {
  P(cond.lock);
  cond.waiters += 1;
  V(cond.lock);
  V(mutex);
  P(cond.signal);
  P(cond.lock);
  cond.waiters -= 1;
  V(cond.lock);
  P(mutex);
}

signal(cond) {
  P(cond.lock);
  if (cond.waiters > 0) {
    V(cond.signal);
    V(cond.unlock);
  } else {
    V(cond.unlock);
  }
```

Looks like lost-signal situation in signal-and-wait

But no lost signal because of cond.waiters & counting in semaphores
Condition Variables Extension

- Wait (cond, mutex)
  - Insert(caller, cond_queue)
  - V(mutex)
  - Block this thread
  - When unblocked, P(mutex)
- Signal (cond)
  - Unblock first in cond_queue, or just return if empty
- Broadcast (cond)
  - Unblock all in cond_queue, or just return if empty

```c
wait (cond, mutex) {
    P (cond.lock);
    cond.waiters += 1;
    V (cond.lock);
    V (mutex);
    P (cond.signal);
    P (cond.lock);
    cond.waiters -= 1;
    V (cond.lock);
    P (mutex);
}

broadcast (cond) {
    P (cond.lock);
    if (cond.waiters > 0) {
        for (i = 0; i < cond.waiters; i++)
            V (cond.signal);
        V (cond.unlock);
    } else {
        V (cond.unlock);
    }
}
```

Building Condition Variables using Semaphores

```c
cond:
    semaphore lock = 1
    semaphore signal = 0
    int waiters = 0
```
Condition Variables Extension II

- Wait (cond, mutex)
  - Insert(caller, cond_queue)
  - V(mutex)
  - Block this thread
  - When unblocked, P(mutex)

- Wait(cond, mutex, timeout)
  - Wait no longer than timeout

- Signal (cond)
  - Unblock first in cond_queue, or just return if empty

- Broadcast (cond)
  - Unblock all in cond_queue, or just return if empty

This needs additional scheduler support

Building Semaphores using Condition Variables and Mutexes

```c
semaphore:

mutex mutex
cond cond
int val = <initial semaphore value>

V(sem) {
    acquire(sem.mutex);
    sem.val += 1;
    if(sem.val <= 0)
        signal(sem.cond);
    release(sem.mutex);
}

P(sem) {
    acquire(sem.mutex);
    sem.val -= 1;
    if(sem.val < 0)
        wait(sem.cond,sem.mutex);
    release(sem.mutex);
}
```
Barriers

- Use of a barrier
  - threads approaching a barrier
  - all threads but one blocked at barrier
  - last thread arrives, all are let through

Threads wait for …

- Access to a critical region
  - Mutex
  - Semaphore
- A condition to be fulfilled
  - Condition variable
  - Barrier
  - Semaphore