

MAT-INF4310: Mandatory assignment #3, autumn 2017

To be handed in by October 26., 14:30

You must hand in commented scripts which actually compile and work. You must also use “Devilry”.

We shall consider the following problem:

Given n points in \mathbb{R}^m ; $\{\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n\}$, and an integer $k \leq m$, find a k dimensional subspace $W \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \text{dist}(\mathbf{x}_j - W)^2$$

is minimal.

To fix the notation set $\mathbf{x}_j = (x_{1j}, x_{2j}, \dots, x_{mj})^T$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$, and define the matrix $\mathbf{X} = [\mathbf{x}_1 \ \mathbf{x}_2 \ \dots \ \mathbf{x}_n] \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ such that the ij th entry of \mathbf{X} is x_{ij} .

Assume first that W is any k -dimensional subspace of \mathbb{R}^m , let $\{\mathbf{w}_j\}_{j=1}^k$ be an orthonormal basis of W and we can use Gram-Schmidt to find $\{\mathbf{w}_j\}_{j=k+1}^m$ such that $\{\mathbf{w}_j\}_{j=1}^m$ is an orthonormal basis for \mathbb{R}^m . In this way we can write

$$\mathbb{R}^m = W \oplus W^\perp.$$

For $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ we let $\text{proj}_{W^\perp}(\mathbf{x})$ denote the orthogonal projection onto W^\perp .

Exercise 1. Show that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \|\text{proj}_{W^\perp}(\mathbf{x}_i)\|_2^2 = \|\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{W}\|_F^2,$$

with $\|\cdot\|_F$ denoting the Frobenius norm and (beware of bold face notation) \mathbf{W} is the $m \times (m - k)$ matrix $\mathbf{W} = [\mathbf{w}_{k+1} \ \mathbf{w}_{k+2} \ \dots \ \mathbf{w}_m]$.

Therefore $\|\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{W}\|_F$ measures “how well” the linear subspace W fits the “observations” X .

Exercise 2.

a) Show that

$$\|\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{W}\|_F^2 = \|\Sigma^T \mathbf{U}^T \mathbf{W}\|_F^2,$$

where $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{U} \Sigma \mathbf{V}^T$ is the singular value decomposition of \mathbf{X} .

b) Let the i th column of \mathbf{U} be \mathbf{u}_i so that $\mathbf{U} = [\mathbf{u}_1 \ \mathbf{u}_2 \ \dots \ \mathbf{u}_m]$. Show that

$$\|\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{W}\|_F^2 = \sum_{i=1}^m \sigma_i^2 \|\text{proj}_{W^\perp}(\mathbf{u}_i)\|_2^2,$$

where σ_i , $i = 1, \dots, m$ are the singular values of \mathbf{X} . We use the convention that $\sigma_1 \geq \sigma_2 \geq \dots \geq \sigma_m \geq 0$.

c) Explain why

$$m - k = \|\mathbf{W}\|_F^2 = \sum_{i=1}^m \|\text{proj}_{W^\perp}(\mathbf{u}_i)\|_2^2.$$

Exercise 3.

a) Explain how finding the “distance to the best subspace”; $\|\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{W}\|_F$, can be accomplished by solving the constrained minimization problem:

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} & \text{Minimize } \sum_{i=1}^m \sigma_i^2 \kappa_i, \\ & \text{subject to the conditions } 0 \leq \kappa_i \leq 1, i = 1, \dots, m \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^m \kappa_i = m - k. \end{aligned}$$

b) Explain why the solution to the minimization problem (1) is

$$\kappa_1 = \kappa_2 = \dots = \kappa_k = 0, \quad \kappa_{k+1} = \dots = \kappa_m = 1.$$

c) How can we choose W so that $\|\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{W}\|_F$ is minimized?

d) Implement a routine “[**B**, **e**]=bestfit(**X**, **k**)”, which given the matrix \mathbf{X} and an integer $k \leq m$, computes a matrix \mathbf{B} whose columns span the “best” k -dimensional subspace W , and the distance $\|\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{W}\|_F$ (You may use a ready-made routine for the singular value decomposition.)

Exercise 4. We can also try to find a fit to the “observations” $\{\mathbf{x}_j\}_{j=1}^n$ by “vanilla least squares”. In this case we view the the first k components of \mathbf{x} as input data, and the last $m - k$ components as output data. Set $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X}_{1:k,1:n}$ and $\mathbf{Z} = \mathbf{X}_{k+1:m,1:n}$. Then $\{(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{A}\mathbf{y}) \mid \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^k\}$ where $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{(m-k) \times k}$, is a k -dimensional subspace of \mathbb{R}^m . Vanilla least squares means choosing the matrix \mathbf{A} so that

$$\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{Z}\|_F \text{ is minimized.}$$

a) Show that the solution to this satisfies

$$\mathbf{A}^T = (\mathbf{Y}\mathbf{Y}^T)^{-1} \mathbf{Y}\mathbf{Z}^T.$$

b) Implement a routine “[**A**, **e**]=vanleastsqr(**X**, **k**)” which given the matrix \mathbf{X} and an integer $k < m$, computes the matrix \mathbf{A} and the error $\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{Z}\|_F$.

Exercise 5. Compare your routines `bestfit` and `vanleastsqr` in the following two examples.

a) $\mathbf{X}_{1,:} = (-1.0, -0.9, -0.8, -0.7 \dots, 0.9, 1.0)$, $\mathbf{X}_{2,:}$ consists of 21 random numbers in $[-1, 1]$ with mean zero.

b) $\mathbf{X}_{1,:}$ and $\mathbf{X}_{2,:}$ are both 21 random numbers in $[-1, 1]$ with mean zero.

In both examples $k = 1$. For each example, plot the “best” linear subspaces and the points $\mathbf{X}_{:,j}$.