

2019.10.02

Summary

Structure of nilp. / solv. Lie algs

- Engel's th'm nilpot = str. upper triang.
- Lie's th'm solv. = upper triangular.

Recall: \mathfrak{g} solv. $\Leftrightarrow \exists \mathfrak{g} > \mathfrak{g}_1 > \mathfrak{g}_2 > \dots > \mathfrak{g}_k = 0$

$$\mathfrak{g}_{n+1} \triangleleft \mathfrak{g}_n, \quad \mathfrak{g}_n / \mathfrak{g}_{n+1} \text{ comm.}$$

Cor. if $\mathfrak{h} \triangleleft \mathfrak{g}$

$$\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{g} / \mathfrak{h} \text{ solv.} \Leftrightarrow \mathfrak{g} \text{ solv.}$$

Proof: \Leftarrow : take $(\mathfrak{g}_n)_n$ as above

$$\mathfrak{h}_n = \mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{g}_n, \quad (\mathfrak{g} / \mathfrak{h})_n = \text{img of } \mathfrak{g}_n$$

do the job.

$$\Rightarrow: \text{ take } (\mathfrak{h}_n)_{n=1}^k, \quad ((\mathfrak{g} / \mathfrak{h})_n)_{n=1}^l$$

$$\text{set } \mathfrak{g}_n = \text{inv. img of } (\mathfrak{g} / \mathfrak{h})_n \quad n < l$$

$$\mathfrak{g}_n = \mathfrak{h}_{n-l} \quad n \geq l \quad (\mathfrak{g}_l = \mathfrak{h}_l)$$

$$\text{If } n < l-1 \quad \mathfrak{g}_n / \mathfrak{g}_{n+1} \simeq (\mathfrak{g}_n / \mathfrak{h}_n) / (\mathfrak{g}_{n+1} / \mathfrak{h}_{n+1})$$

$$n = l-1 \quad \mathfrak{g}_n / \mathfrak{g}_{n+1} = \mathfrak{g}_{l-1} / \mathfrak{h}_n = (\mathfrak{g} / \mathfrak{h})_{l-1}$$

is comm. by $(\mathfrak{g} / \mathfrak{h})_l = 0$

$$n \geq l \quad \mathfrak{g}_n / \mathfrak{g}_{n+1} \simeq \mathfrak{h}_{n-l} / \mathfrak{h}_{n-l+1} \quad \square$$

Engel's th'm. V : vec. sp.

$\mathfrak{g} \leq \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ suppose $\forall X \in \mathfrak{g}$ is a nilpot. endom. of V . ($X^n = 0$ for $n \gg 1$)

$$\text{Then } \exists 0 \neq v \in V \quad \forall X \in \mathfrak{g} \quad Xv = 0$$

Cor. \exists basis $(v_i)_{i=1}^n$ of V s.t. elems of \mathfrak{g} have "strictly upper triangular" mat. pres.

Proof of Cor: Induction on $n = \dim V$

Set $v_1 = v$ from Thm, put $V' = V / \langle v_1 \rangle$

σ_j acts on V' by nilpot. endos.

\Rightarrow hyp. \exists basis $\bar{v}_2, \dots, \bar{v}_n$ of V'

s.t. $X \bar{v}_k \in \langle \bar{v}_2, \dots, \bar{v}_{k-1} \rangle$

take inv. img. of \bar{v}_k : $v_k \in V$ ambiguity!

- $(v_k)_{k=1}^n$ lin. indep.
- $X v_k \in \langle v_1, \dots, v_{k-1} \rangle$

Proof of Thm.

Step 1. X nilp. on $V \Rightarrow \text{ad}_X$ nilp. on $\text{End}(V)$

$\therefore X^k = 0 \Rightarrow \text{ad}_X^{2k}(\tau) = 0$

We will prove claim by ind. on $m = \dim \mathfrak{g}$

Step 2 $\exists \mathfrak{h} \triangleleft \mathfrak{g}$ $\dim \mathfrak{h} = m - 1$.

\therefore Take any proper max subalg as \mathfrak{h}

$\overline{\text{ad}}: \mathfrak{h} \curvearrowright \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}$ by nilp. maps (Step 1)

$\xrightarrow{\text{ind. hyp.}} \exists 0 \neq \bar{Y} \in \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}$ s.t. $\overline{\text{ad}}_X(\bar{Y}) = 0$

i.e. $\exists Y \in \mathfrak{g} \setminus \mathfrak{h} \forall X \in \mathfrak{h} [X, Y] \in \mathfrak{h}$ for $X \in \mathfrak{h}$

so $\mathfrak{h}' = \mathfrak{h} + \langle Y \rangle$ is also subalg, $\mathfrak{h} \triangleleft \mathfrak{h}'$

\Rightarrow maximality $\mathfrak{h}' = \mathfrak{g}$ i.e. $\dim \mathfrak{h} = \dim \mathfrak{g} - 1$.

Step 3 Take $\mathfrak{h}, Y \in \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}$ as above

Put $W = \{v \in V : \mathfrak{h}v = 0\}$. ($\neq 0$) hyp.

Enough to show $YW \subset W$.

$\therefore Y$ is nilpot.

Step 4 $YW \subset W$.

$\therefore XYv = YXv + \overbrace{[X, Y]}^{\in \mathfrak{h}} v$ would be zero if $X \in \mathfrak{h}$.

Cor. of nilpot $\Leftrightarrow \text{ad} : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ is by nilpot. maps.

\Leftarrow : Engel's th'm gives $\mathfrak{g}_k \downarrow 0$, $\text{ad} \mathfrak{g}_k$ (ideals).
 $\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{g}_k) \subset \mathfrak{g}_{k+1}$
 $\Rightarrow \mathfrak{D}_k(\mathfrak{g}) \subset \mathfrak{g}_k$ goes to zero.

Lie's th'm) $V = \mathbb{C}$ -vec. sp.
 $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ solvable.

$\Rightarrow \exists 0 \neq v \in V$ eigenvec. for all $X \in \mathfrak{g}$.

Cor. \exists basis $(v_i)_{i=1}^n$ s.t. $\forall X \in \mathfrak{g}$ has upper triang. mat. pres.

Proof of Th'm : ind. on $m = \dim \mathfrak{g}$.

Step 1 $\exists \mathfrak{p}_a \subset \mathfrak{g}$ $\dim \mathfrak{p}_a = m-1$

\therefore By assumption $\mathfrak{D}^k(\mathfrak{g}) \downarrow 0 \Rightarrow \mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{g}) \neq \mathfrak{g}$

$\mathfrak{g} / \mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{g})$ is nonzero comm.

\Rightarrow any subalg is ideal.

\rightarrow take inv. img. of codim 1 subsp.

Step 2 Ind. hyp. $\Rightarrow \exists 0 \neq v$ eigenvec. for \mathfrak{p}_a

set $Xv = \lambda(X)v$ ($\lambda : \mathfrak{p}_a \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ linear)

$W = \{ v' \in V : \forall X \in \mathfrak{p}_a \quad Xv' = \lambda(X)v' \}$

$Y \in \mathfrak{g} \setminus \mathfrak{p}_a \Rightarrow$ enough to show $YW \subset W$

$\therefore Y$ will have eigenvec. in W

Step 3. $YW \subset W \Leftrightarrow \lambda([X, Y]) = 0$ for $X \in \mathfrak{p}_a$

\therefore Again $\underbrace{XY v'} = \underbrace{YX v'} + \underbrace{[X, Y] v'} \rightarrow \lambda([X, Y]) v'$
want these to be $\lambda(X) Y v'$

Step 4 For $m \in W$ put $U_m = \langle m, Ym, Y^2m, \dots \rangle$

$X \in \mathfrak{p}_a \Rightarrow XY^k m \in \lambda(X) Y^k m + \langle m, Ym, \dots, Y^{k-1}m \rangle$

$$\therefore \text{Induction on } k \text{ ; } \quad X Y^k v = Y X Y^{k-1} v + \underbrace{[X, Y]}_{\substack{\text{in } \mathfrak{p}_0}} Y^{k-1} v$$

Step 5 $\lambda([X, Y]) = 0$ for $X \in \mathfrak{p}_0$

$\therefore \lambda([X, Y])$: diag. entries of $[X, Y]|_{U_m}$ in terms of basis $v, Yv, \dots, Y^{m-1}v$. \rightarrow trace / $\dim U_m$

X, Y both pres. $U_m \Rightarrow [X, Y]|_{U_m} = [X|_{U_m}, Y|_{U_m}]$

\rightarrow trace is zero \square