

2019.11.13

Summary

- free Lie algebras.
- ideals from Serre relation.
- (semi) simplicity of quotient.

Free Lie algebras.

Want: make sense of Lie algs with generators x_1, x_2, \dots , no relations

cf. - free commutative algebra!

polynomial algs $\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots]$

- free associative algebra!

noncomm. polynom. algs $\mathbb{C}\langle x_1, x_2, \dots \rangle$

(Distinguish $x_1 x_2 \neq x_2 x_1$, etc.)

formally: $V = \{ \text{linear. comp. of } x_1, x_2, \dots \}$

$$TV = \mathbb{C} \oplus V \oplus V^{\otimes 2} \oplus \dots$$

with concatenation prod.

$$(v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_m) \cdot (u_1 \otimes \dots \otimes u_n) = v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_m \otimes u_1 \otimes \dots \otimes u_n$$

Put $U = \mathbb{C}\langle x_1, x_2, \dots \rangle$, consider hom.

$$\Delta: U \rightarrow U \otimes U, \quad \text{s.t. } \Delta(x_i) = x_i \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x_i$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\Rightarrow \Delta(x_1 x_2) &= (x_1 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x_1)(x_2 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x_2) \\ &= x_1 x_2 \otimes 1 + x_1 \otimes x_2 + x_2 \otimes x_1 + 1 \otimes x_1 x_2 \\ &\quad \dots (*) \end{aligned}$$

Def: Free Lie alg generated by x_1, x_2, \dots

$$\text{is } \text{Lie}\langle x_1, x_2, \dots \rangle = \{ a \in U : \Delta(a) = a \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes a \}$$

primitive elements

$$\text{bracket} : [a, b] = ab - ba \quad (\text{in } U)$$

Rem. a, b prim. $\Rightarrow [a, b]$ prim. from (*)

To consider Lie algs. w/ gens & rels
 x_1, x_2, \dots $[x_a, x_b] = x_c, \dots$

take quot. $\text{Lie}(x_1, x_2, \dots) / (\text{ideal generated by relations } [x_a, x_b] = x_c, \dots)$

Ideals from Serre relation

(E, R) root system, $\Pi = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ simple pos. rts

$$A = (a_{ij})_{i,j=1}^n \quad a_{ij} = n_{\alpha_i, \alpha_j} = \frac{2(\alpha_i, \alpha_j)}{(\alpha_i, \alpha_i)} \quad \text{Cartan mat.}$$

Consider Lie alg $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ with

- generators e_i, f_i, h_i ($i=1, \dots, n$)

- relations $R1 \sim R4$ (11.12.)

"all rels other than Serre rel"

$\hat{\mathfrak{n}}_+ =$ subalg gen'd by $e_i \in \hat{\mathfrak{g}}$, $\hat{\mathfrak{n}}_-$ -- by f_i

Rem. $\theta(e_i) = -f_i$, $\theta(f_i) = -e_i$, $\theta(h_i) = -h_i$

is an aut. of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ (" $\theta(x) = -x^t$ ")

Put $x_{ij} = \text{ad}_{e_i}^{a_{ij}}(e_j)$ $\hat{\mathfrak{n}}_+^0$: ideal of $\hat{\mathfrak{n}}_+$

generated by $\{x_{ij} \mid i, j=1, \dots, n\}$

$y_{ij} = \text{ad}_{f_i}^{1-a_{ij}}(e_j)$ $\hat{\mathfrak{n}}_-^0$ ---

Proposition $[\hat{\mathfrak{n}}_-, x_{ij}] = 0$ ($[\hat{\mathfrak{n}}_+, y_{ij}] = 0$)

Cor. $\hat{\mathfrak{n}}_{\pm}^0 \triangleleft \hat{\mathfrak{g}}$

" : h_i stabilizes $\hat{\mathfrak{n}}_{\pm}^0$

x, x' eigenv. for ad_{h_i}

\Rightarrow so is $[x, x']$.

- e_i stabilizes $\hat{\mathfrak{n}}_+^0$ by def

- f_i stabilizes $\hat{\mathfrak{n}}_-^0$ by Prop.

Proof of Prop.

Step 1. $[f_k, x_{ij}] = 0$ for $k \neq i, j$

$\therefore [f_k, e_i] = 0 = [f_k, e_j]$ (R2)

Step 2 $[f_j, x_{ij}] = 0$

$\therefore f_j$ comm. w/ $e_i \Rightarrow \text{ad}_{f_j}$ comm. w/ ad_{e_i}
 $\Rightarrow [f_j, x_{ij}] = \text{ad}_{e_i}^{1-a_{ij}} \left(\underbrace{[f_j, e_j]}_{-h_j} \right) = \text{ad}_{e_i}^{-a_{ij}} \left(\underbrace{-[e_i, h_j]}_{a_{ji} e_i} \right)$
 by (R4)

If $a_{ji} = 0$ this is zero.

if $a_{ji} \neq 0$ a_{ij} is also nonzero.

\Rightarrow we have $\text{ad}_{e_i}^{-a_{ij}}(e_i) = 0$

Step 3 $[f_i, x_{ij}] = 0$

\therefore From $[\text{ad}_{f_i}, \text{ad}_{e_i}] = \text{ad}_{[f_i, e_i]} = -\text{ad}_{h_i}$ (R1)

and $[\text{ad}_{h_i}, \text{ad}_{e_i}] = \text{ad}_{[h_i, e_i]} = 2 \text{ad}_{e_i}$ (R4)

$[\text{ad}_{f_i}, \text{ad}_{e_i}^k] = -k \text{ad}_{e_i}^{k-1} (\text{ad}_{h_i} + k - 1)$

by induction.

Apply this for $k = 1 - a_{ij}$, use

$\text{ad}_{f_i}(e_j) = 0$, etc. \Rightarrow

Goal: $\mathfrak{g}_A = \mathfrak{g} / (\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}_+ + \tilde{\mathfrak{n}}_-)$ is (semi) simple.

E_i, F_i, H_i roots of e_i, f_i, h_i
 • Implementing Weyl group action.

Want: impl W or \mathfrak{h}_α as "adjoint by elements of $\exp(\mathfrak{g}_A)$ "

Def. Derivation is $D: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ s.t.

$$D([x, y]) = [D(x), y] + [x, D(y)]$$

Ex. $D(x) = [z, x]$ for fixed $z \in \mathfrak{g}$.

D is locally nilpotent if $\forall x \in \mathfrak{g} \exists k D^k(x) = 0$

$\rightarrow e^D(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} D^k(x)$ makes sense in \mathfrak{g}

$e^D: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ Lie alg aut

For $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_A$, $\text{ad}_{E_i}, \text{ad}_{F_i}$ are (loc) nilpot.

e.g. $\text{ad}_{E_i}^k(F_j) = 0$, $\text{ad}_{E_i}^k(H_j) = 0$, $\text{ad}_{E_i}^k(F_j) = 0$
 $k = 1 - a_{ij}$, $k = 2$, $k = 1$, etc.

Put $\theta_i = e^{\text{ad}_{E_i}} e^{-\text{ad}_{F_i}} e^{\text{ad}_{E_i}} \in \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g}_A)$

Motivation for \mathfrak{sl}_2 , $e^{\text{ad}_E} e^{-\text{ad}_F} e^{\text{ad}_E} = \text{Ad}_{\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}}$

Lemma $\theta_i(H_j) = H_j - a_{ij} H_i$ i.e. $\theta_i \leftrightarrow S_{\alpha_i}$

• Semi-simplicity of \mathfrak{g}_A

Thm (E, R) (irred.) root system

$\Rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_A$ (sem-) simple.

Proof. Step 1. Enough to prove \nexists nonzero commutative ideal $\mathfrak{a} \triangleleft \mathfrak{g}_A$.

$\Rightarrow \text{Rad } \mathfrak{g} \neq 0 \Rightarrow D^k(\text{Rad } \mathfrak{g})$ comm. at some k .

Step 2 $\mathfrak{b} \triangleleft \mathfrak{g} \Rightarrow \mathfrak{b} = (\mathfrak{b} \cap \mathfrak{h}_0) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\alpha: \text{roots}} \mathfrak{b} \cap \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha} \right)$

$\mathfrak{h}_0 = \langle H_i : i=1, \dots, n \rangle$

$\therefore \text{ad}_{H_i}$ mutually comm, diagonalized on \mathfrak{g}_A .

& $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h}_0 \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\alpha: \text{roots}} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha} \right)$ fact.

Step 3 $\mathfrak{b} \cap \mathfrak{h}_0 \neq 0 \Rightarrow \exists i, E_i \in \mathfrak{b} \Rightarrow \mathfrak{b}$ noncomm. $F_i = \theta_i(E_i) \in \mathfrak{b}$.

\therefore For simplicity suppose (E, R) irred.

$\Rightarrow W \rtimes \mathfrak{h}_0$ is irred.

W acts ^{inv} by inner aut $\Rightarrow \mathfrak{b} \cap \mathfrak{h}_0$ is W -inv.

Step 4. $\mathfrak{b} \cap \mathfrak{o}_\alpha \neq 0 \Rightarrow \mathfrak{b}$ noncomm.

$\therefore \exists \mathfrak{M} (= \mathfrak{S}_\alpha) \in \mathfrak{W}$ s.t. $\mathfrak{M}(\alpha) = -\alpha$.

$$\text{so } \mathfrak{M}(\mathfrak{o}_\alpha) = \mathfrak{o}_{-\alpha}$$

\mathfrak{M} is acting by prod. of $(\theta_i)_{i=1}^n$

\rightsquigarrow inner, preserves \mathfrak{b} .

$\Rightarrow \mathfrak{b}$ contains $\mathfrak{o}_\alpha, \mathfrak{o}_{-\alpha}$ (1-Dim)

$\Rightarrow \mathfrak{b}$ is noncomm. \square

$\leadsto e^D(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} D^k(x)$ makes sense in \mathfrak{g} .

$e^D : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ Lie alg aut.

For $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_A$ from above ad_{E_i} ,