

All references will be to McDonald and Weiss.

## Problem 1

For  $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$  we let

$$I_{n,k} = \left( a_n - \frac{1}{2^{n+k}}, a_n + \frac{1}{2^{n+k}} \right).$$

Since  $I_{n,k}$  is an interval we have by Proposition 3.2 that

$$\lambda(I_{n,k}) = \frac{2}{2^{n+k}} = \frac{1}{2^n} \frac{1}{2^{k-1}}.$$

By subadditivity of the Lebesgue measure (Proposition 3.1) we then have

$$\lambda(U_k) = \lambda\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_{n,k}\right) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \frac{1}{2^{k-1}} = \frac{1}{2^{k-1}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} = \frac{1}{2^{k-1}},$$

for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $U_1 \supseteq U_2 \supseteq U_3 \cdots$  and  $\lambda(U_1) < \infty$  it follows from downward continuity of measures (Theorem 5.1) that

$$\lambda(N) = \lambda\left(\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} U_k\right) = \lim_k \lambda(U_k) \leq \lim_k \frac{1}{2^{k-1}} = 0.$$

Hence  $\lambda(N) = 0$ .

## Problem 2

Suppose for contradiction that  $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a continuous function such that  $f = g$   $\lambda$ -a.e. For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we define intervals

$$E_n = \left(-\frac{1}{n}, 0\right) \quad \text{and} \quad F_n = \left(0, \frac{1}{n}\right).$$

Since  $E_n$  and  $F_n$  are intervals we have (Proposition 3.2) that  $\lambda(E_n) = \frac{1}{n} = \lambda(F_n)$ . In particular they do not have measure 0, so there exists points  $x_n \in E_n$ ,  $y_n \in F_n$  such that  $f(x_n) = g(x_n) = 0$  and  $f(y_n) = g(y_n) = 1$ . For each  $n$  we have  $|x_n| \leq \frac{1}{n}$  so  $\lim_n x_n = 0$ . Similarly  $\lim_n y_n = 0$ . Now by the continuity of  $f$  we get

$$f(0) = f(\lim_n x_n) = \lim_n f(x_n) = \lim_n 0 = 0,$$

but also

$$f(0) = f(\lim_n y_n) = \lim_n f(y_n) = \lim_n 1 = 1.$$

This is a clear contradiction, therefore there cannot exist a continuous function that is equal to  $g$   $\lambda$ -a.e.

### Problem 3 (15 points)

First note that all the  $f_n$  are continuous and therefore measurable. For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x \in (0, \infty)$  we have

$$|f_n(x)| = \left| \frac{n \sin\left(\frac{x}{n}\right)}{x(1+x^2)} \right| = \frac{n \left| \sin\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) \right|}{x(1+x^2)} \leq \frac{n \frac{x}{n}}{x(1+x^2)} = \frac{x}{x(1+x^2)} = \frac{1}{1+x^2}.$$

Define a continuous function  $g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$g(x) = \frac{1}{1+x^2}.$$

Then on the set  $(0, \infty)$  we have  $|f_n| \leq g$ , so to show that each  $f_n$  is integrable it suffices to show that  $g$  is integrable over  $(0, \infty)$ . We put  $E_n = [0, n]$  and note that  $E_1 \subseteq E_2 \subseteq E_3 \subseteq \dots$  and  $\cup_n E_n = [0, \infty)$ . Since  $g$  is a measurable non-negative function we get by the Monotone Convergence Theorem that

$$\int_{(0, \infty)} g \, d\lambda = \int_{[0, \infty)} g \, d\lambda = \lim_n \int_{[0, n]} g \, d\lambda.$$

Since the Riemann and the Lebesgue integrals agree for continuous functions over bounded intervals (Theorem 4.9), we then get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{[0, n]} g \, d\lambda &= \int_0^n g(x) \, dx = \int_0^n \frac{1}{1+x^2} \, dx \\ &= [\arctan(x)]_0^n = \arctan(n) - \arctan(0) = \arctan(n). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\int_{(0, \infty)} g \, d\lambda = \lim_n \int_{[0, n]} g \, d\lambda = \lim_n \arctan(n) = \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

In particular  $g$  is integrable and so each  $f_n$  is integrable.

We now note that for each  $x \in (0, \infty)$  we have, by L'Hôpital's rule, that

$$\lim_n n \sin\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) = \lim_n \frac{\sin\left(\frac{x}{n}\right)}{\frac{1}{n}} = \lim_n \frac{x \cos\left(\frac{x}{n}\right)}{1} = x \cos(0) = x.$$

Hence for each  $x \in (0, \infty)$  we have

$$\lim_n f_n(x) = \frac{\lim_n n \sin\left(\frac{x}{n}\right)}{x(1+x^2)} = \frac{x}{x(1+x^2)} = \frac{1}{1+x^2} = g(x).$$

It now follows from the Dominated Convergence Theorem (Theorem 5.9), using  $g$  as the dominating function, that

$$\lim_n \int_{(0, \infty)} f_n \, d\lambda = \int_{(0, \infty)} \lim_n f_n \, d\lambda = \int_{(0, \infty)} g \, d\lambda = \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

## Problem 4

**Part (a):** We verify the conditions of Definition 5.1. First we see that for all  $D \in \mathcal{D}$  we have

$$\mu_f(D) = \mu(f^{-1}(D)) \geq 0,$$

since  $\mu$  is a measure. Since  $f^{-1}(\emptyset) = \emptyset$  we see that

$$\mu_f(\emptyset) = \mu(f^{-1}(\emptyset)) = \mu(\emptyset) = 0.$$

Suppose now that  $\{D_n\}_n$  is a sequence of disjoint sets from  $\mathcal{D}$ . Then  $\{f^{-1}(D_n)\}_n$  is a collection of pairwise disjoint sets in  $\mathcal{A}$ , so

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_f\left(\bigcup_n D_n\right) &= \mu\left(f^{-1}\left(\bigcup_n D_n\right)\right) = \mu\left(\bigcup_n f^{-1}(D_n)\right) \\ &= \sum_n \mu(f^{-1}(D_n)) = \sum_n \mu_f(D_n), \end{aligned}$$

since  $\mu$  is a measure.

**Part (b):** Since  $g$  is measurable we have that  $g^{-1}(O) \in \mathcal{D}$  for any open subset  $O$  of  $\mathbb{C}$ . So by the definition of  $f$  we have that for any open set  $O$

$$(g \circ f)^{-1}(O) = f^{-1}(g^{-1}(O)) \in \mathcal{A},$$

i.e.,  $g \circ f$  is  $\mathcal{A}$ -measurable.

**Part (c):** We will use bootstrapping. We start by establishing the equality for indicator functions, then non-negative simple functions, and then non-negative functions. Let  $D$  be a set in  $\mathcal{D}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} (\chi_D \circ f)(x) &= \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } f(x) \in D \\ 0, & \text{if } f(x) \notin D \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \in f^{-1}(D) \\ 0, & \text{if } x \notin f^{-1}(D) \end{cases} \\ &= \chi_{f^{-1}(D)}(x). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$\int_Y \chi_D d\mu_f = \mu_f(D) = \mu(f^{-1}(D)) = \int_X \chi_{f^{-1}(D)} d\mu = \int_X \chi_D \circ f d\mu.$$

So the two integrals agree on indicator functions. Let now  $s: Y \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  be a simple function with canonical presentation

$$s = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \chi_{A_i}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_Y s \, d\mu_f &= \int_Y \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \chi_{A_i} \, d\mu_f = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \int_Y \chi_{A_i} \, d\mu \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \int_X \chi_{A_i} \circ f \, d\mu = \int_X \sum_{i=1}^n a_i (\chi_{A_i} \circ f) \, d\mu \\ &= \int_X \left( \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \chi_{A_i} \right) \circ f \, d\mu = \int_X s \circ f \, d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

So we have established the equality for all non-negative simple functions. Suppose now  $h: Y \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  is a non-negative  $\mathcal{D}$ -measurable function. By Proposition 5.7 we can find a non-decreasing sequence  $\{s_n\}_n$  of non-negative simple  $\mathcal{D}$ -measurable functions such that  $s_n$  converges pointwise towards  $h$ . By the Monotone Convergence Theorem (Theorem 5.6) we then get

$$\int_Y h \, d\mu_f = \int_Y \lim_n s_n \, d\mu_f = \lim_n \int_Y s_n \, d\mu_f = \lim_n \int_X s_n \circ f \, d\mu.$$

Since  $s_n \circ f$  is a non-decreasing sequence of  $\mathcal{A}$ -measurable functions that converges pointwise to  $h \circ f$  we then get

$$\int_Y h \, d\mu_f = \lim_n \int_X s_n \circ f \, d\mu = \int_X \lim_n (s_n \circ f) \, d\mu = \int_X h \circ f \, d\mu.$$

So the equality holds for non-negative functions.

We can now show the claim about the integrability of  $g$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} g \in \mathcal{L}(Y, \mathcal{D}, \mu_f) &\iff \int_Y |g| \, d\mu_f < \infty \iff \int_X |g| \circ f \, d\mu < \infty \\ &\iff \int_X |g \circ f| \, d\mu < \infty \iff g \circ f \in \mathcal{L}(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu). \end{aligned}$$

## Problem 5

**Part (a):** Since  $f$  is continuous it is measurable. It now follows from Exercise 5.30 that  $f^{-1}(B) \in \mathcal{B}_{[0, 2\pi]}$  for all  $B \in \mathcal{B}_2$ .

**Part (b):** For each  $n \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$  we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} |z^n| \, d\lambda_f = \int_{\mathbb{T}} 1 \, d\lambda_f = \lambda_f(\mathbb{T}) = \lambda(f^{-1}(\mathbb{T})) = \lambda([0, 2\pi]) = 2\pi < \infty.$$

So each function  $z^n$  is integrable.

By the remarks after Problem 4 we then have

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} z^n d\lambda_f = \int_{[0,2\pi]} f(\theta)^n d\lambda(\theta) = \int_{[0,2\pi]} \exp(in\theta) d\lambda(\theta)$$

Since  $\exp(in\theta) = i \sin(n\theta) + \cos(n\theta)$ , we then get that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{T}} z^n d\lambda_f &= \int_{[0,2\pi]} \cos(n\theta) d\lambda(\theta) + i \int_{[0,2\pi]} \sin(n\theta) d\lambda(\theta) \\ &= \int_0^{2\pi} \cos(n\theta) d\theta + i \int_0^{2\pi} \sin(n\theta) d\theta \\ &= \left[ \frac{1}{n} \sin(n\theta) \right]_0^{2\pi} + i \left[ -\frac{1}{n} \cos(n\theta) \right]_0^{2\pi} \\ &= 0 + i0 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Where we used that the Riemann and Lebesgue integrals agree for continuous functions over closed bounded intervals (Theorem 4.9).

## Problem 6 (20 points)

**Part (a):** Suppose first that we are given a function of the form

$$f = a\chi_E + b\chi_{E^c},$$

with  $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$ . The indicator function of a set in  $\mathcal{G}$  is  $\mathcal{G}$ -measurable, so the functions  $\chi_E$  and  $\chi_{E^c}$  are  $\mathcal{G}$ -measurable. Since the  $\mathcal{G}$ -measurable functions form an algebra (Theorem 5.3 and the remark after Example 5.4), we have that  $f$  is  $\mathcal{G}$ -measurable.

Suppose now that  $f$  is any  $\mathcal{G}$ -measurable function. We first claim that  $f$  can take at most two distinct values. Suppose that  $a_1, a_2, a_3 \in \mathbb{C}$  are distinct and that  $a_i \in f(\Omega)$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . Each of the one-point sets  $\{a_i\}$  is closed, so  $f^{-1}(\{a_i\}) \in \mathcal{G}$  are three distinct sets in  $\mathcal{G}$ . Neither is the empty set, since we assumed  $a_i \in f(\Omega)$ , and neither is  $\Omega$ , since we assumed that  $f$  took more than one value. There are only two sets in  $\mathcal{G}$  not equal to  $\emptyset$  or  $\Omega$ , hence we have a contradiction. Since  $f$  only takes at most two values it is a simple  $\mathcal{G}$ -measurable function and so has the form

$$f = a\chi_{\emptyset} + b\chi_E + c\chi_{E^c} + d\chi_{\Omega} = (b+d)\chi_E + (c+d)\chi_{E^c},$$

for some  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{C}$ .

**Part (b):** By part (a) we know that  $P_{\mathcal{G}}f = \alpha\chi_E + \beta\chi_{E^c}$  for some complex numbers  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ . We wish to determine  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . Let now  $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$  be given. For any  $\mathcal{A}$ -measurable function  $g$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} \langle g, a\chi_E + b\chi_{E^c} \rangle &= \int_{\Omega} \overline{ga\chi_E + b\chi_{E^c}} d\mu = \bar{a} \int_{\Omega} g\chi_E d\mu + \bar{b} \int_{\Omega} g\chi_{E^c} d\mu \\ &= \bar{a} \int_E g d\mu + \bar{b} \int_{E^c} g d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

If we put  $g = f - (\alpha\chi_E + \beta\chi_{E^c})$  then

$$\int_E g \, d\mu = \int_E f \, d\mu - \int_E \alpha\chi_E \, d\mu - \int_E \beta\chi_{E^c} \, d\mu = \int_E f \, d\mu - \alpha\mu(E),$$

where we used that  $\chi_{E^c} = 0$  on  $E$  and  $\chi_E = 1$  on  $E$ . Similarly

$$\int_{E^c} g \, d\mu = \int_{E^c} f \, d\mu - \int_{E^c} \alpha\chi_E \, d\mu - \int_{E^c} \beta\chi_{E^c} \, d\mu = \int_{E^c} f \, d\mu - \beta\mu(E^c),$$

So we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f - (\alpha\chi_E + \beta\chi_{E^c}), \alpha\chi_E + \beta\chi_{E^c} \rangle &= \langle g, \alpha\chi_E + \beta\chi_{E^c} \rangle \\ &= \bar{a} \left( \int_E f \, d\mu - \alpha\mu(E) \right) + \bar{b} \left( \int_{E^c} f \, d\mu - \beta\mu(E^c) \right) \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 13.2 we must have that the above inner product is 0 for all  $a, b$  in  $\mathbb{C}$  (and that this uniquely identifies  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ ). If we put  $a = 1$  and  $b = 0$  we get

$$0 = \langle f - (\alpha\chi_E + \beta\chi_{E^c}), \chi_E \rangle = \int_E f \, d\mu - \alpha\mu(E).$$

Hence

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{\mu(E)} \int_E f \, d\mu.$$

If we put  $b = 1$  and  $a = 0$  we see that

$$\beta = \frac{1}{\mu(E^c)} \int_{E^c} f \, d\mu.$$