## Extra exercise 17

Let  $\mathcal{L}$  denote the  $\sigma$ -algebra of all Lebesgue measurable subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$  and let  $\mu$  denote the Lebesgue measure on  $\mathcal{L}$ .

Let S denote either  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ , let  $f : \mathbb{R} \to S$  and  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ . Define  $f_a : \mathbb{R} \to S$  by

$$f_a(x) := f(x-a)$$
 for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

a) Show that f is Lebesgue measurable if and only if  $f_a$  is Lebesgue measurable.

b) Assume that  $g: \mathbb{R} \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+$  is Lebesgue measurable, so  $g_a: \mathbb{R} \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+$  is also Lebesgue measurable (by a)). Show that

$$\int_{E+a} g_a \ d\mu = \int_E g \ d\mu$$

for every  $E \in \mathcal{L}$ . (We recall that  $E + a := \{e + a \mid e \in E\} \in \mathcal{L}$ .)

c) Consider again  $f : \mathbb{R} \to S$  and  $E \in \mathcal{L}$ . Show that f is integrable over E if and only if  $f_a$  is integrable over E + a, in which case we have

$$\int_{E+a} f_a \, d\mu = \int_E f \, d\mu \, .$$

## Extra exercise 18

Let C denote the Cantor set. We have seen that C is a closed subset of [0, 1] (hence is compact and Lebesgue measurable) which has Lebesgue measure zero. Our description of C was geometric, but C can also be defined using ternary expansions of numbers in [0, 1], namely as

$$C = \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{3^n} : a_n \in \{0, 2\} \text{ for each } n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

(cf. Brevig's notes, Sect. 1.3, in particular the proof of Theorem 1.3.3). In fact, setting

$$S := \Big\{ \{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} : a_n \in \{0, 2\} \text{ for each } n \in \mathbb{N} \Big\},\$$

the map  $g: S \to C$  given by

$$g\Big(\{a_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\Big) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{3^n}$$

is a bijection. Let now  $h: S \to [0, 1]$  be given by

$$h\Big(\{a_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\Big)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\,\frac{a_n/2}{2^n}.$$

Show that h is surjective, but not injective. Deduce that  $f := h \circ g^{-1}$  is a surjective map from C onto [0, 1], and that C is therefore uncountable.

Note. It can be shown that there exists a bijection between C and [0, 1], i.e., that these sets have the same cardinality, but this requires the so-called Schröder-Bernstein theorem from set theory.

• Exercise from Lindstrøm's book: 8.5.2

## Extra exercise 19

Let  $\mathcal{L}$  denote the  $\sigma$ -algebra of all Lebesgue measurable subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  denote the  $\sigma$ -algebra of all Borel subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Let  $\mu$  denote the Lebesgue measure on  $\mathcal{B}$ .

It can be shown that  $\mathcal{B} \neq \mathcal{L}$ . However, Lebesgue measurable sets can related to Borel sets as follows. Set

$$\mathcal{N} := \{ N \subseteq \mathbb{R} : \text{there exists } C \in \mathcal{B} \text{ such that } N \subseteq C \text{ and } \mu(C) = 0 \Big\},$$

and let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ . Show that  $A \in \mathcal{L}$  and only if there exists  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $N \in \mathcal{N}$  such that

$$A = B \cup N \,.$$

## Extra exercise 20

Let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  be Lebesgue measurable and let  $\mu$  denote the Lebesgue measure on the Lebesgue measurable subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Show that

$$\mu(A) = \inf \left\{ \mu(G) \mid G \text{ is open in } \mathbb{R} \text{ and } A \subseteq G \right\}$$
$$= \sup \left\{ \mu(K) \mid K \text{ is compact in } \mathbb{R} \text{ and } K \subseteq A \right\}.$$

• Exercises from Brevig's notes: 2.1 and 2.2