

# The proof of the Schröder-Bernstein theorem

Since there was some confusion in the presentation of the proof of this theorem on February 5, I offer some details here.

**Theorem 1** *If  $f : A \rightarrow B$  and  $g : B \rightarrow A$  are two injective functions, there is a bijection  $h$  from  $A$  to  $B$ .*

*Proof*

Let  $A_0 = A$  and  $B_0 = B$ .

By recursion, let  $B_{n+1} = f[A_n]$  and  $A_{n+1} = A \setminus g[B \setminus B_{n+1}]$  (Here  $f[A_n]$  etc. denotes the range of  $A_n$  under  $f$ ).

This definition is slightly different from the one given at the lecture, but will serve the same purpose.

By induction, we see that  $B_{n+1} \subseteq B_n$  and that  $A_{n+1} \subseteq A_n$ .

Let  $A_\omega = \bigcap_{n \in \omega} A_n$  and  $B_\omega = \bigcap_{n \in \omega} B_n$ .

We will prove

1.  $f$  restricted to  $A_\omega$  is a bijection to  $B_\omega$
2.  $g$  restricted to  $B \setminus B_\omega$  is a bijection to  $A \setminus A_\omega$ .

Then we may define

$$h(a) = \begin{cases} f(a) & \text{if } a \in A_\omega \\ g^{-1}(a) & \text{if } a \notin A_\omega, \end{cases}$$

and  $h$  will be a bijection.

If  $a \in A_\omega$ , then  $a \in A_n$  for all  $n$ , so  $f(a) \in B_{n+1}$  for all  $n$  and  $f(a) \in B_\omega$ . Conversely, if  $b \in B_\omega$ , then  $b \in B_{n+1}$  for all  $n$ , so for all  $n$  there is an  $a_n \in A_n$  such that  $b = f(a_n)$ . Since  $f$  is injective, all the  $a_n$ 's are equal, call the value  $a$ . Then  $a \in A_\omega$  and  $b = f(a)$ . This proves that  $f$  is a bijection from  $A_\omega$  to  $B_\omega$ .

Now, let  $b \notin B_\omega$ . Then there is an  $n \in \omega$  such that  $b \notin B_{n+1}$ . By the definition of  $A_{n+1}$  we have that  $g(b) \notin A_{n+1}$  so  $g(b) \notin A_\omega$ .

Conversely, if  $a \notin A_\omega$  there is some  $n$  such that  $a \notin A_{n+1}$ , and the reason must be that there is some  $b \notin B_{n+1}$  such that  $g(b) = a$ . This  $b$  will be unique, and will not be in  $B_\omega$ .

This proves that  $g$  is a bijection from the complement of  $B_\omega$  to the complement of  $A_\omega$ , so the second claim is proved.