

Solutions to extra exercises for STK2100

Geir Storvik

Sprint 2021

Exercise 1 (Linear regression)

(a) First of all, we have $Y_i \sim N(\mathbf{x}_i^T \boldsymbol{\beta}, \sigma^2)$. Since we assume independence, we have that the log-likelihood is

$$\begin{aligned} l(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \sigma^2) &= \log f(\mathbf{y}; \boldsymbol{\beta}, \sigma^2) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \log f(y_i; \boldsymbol{\beta}, \sigma^2) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left[-0.5 \log(2\pi) - 0.5 \log \sigma^2 - 0.5 \frac{1}{\sigma^2} (y_i - \mathbf{x}_i^T \boldsymbol{\beta})^2 \right] \\ &= -0.5n \log(2\pi) - 0.5n \log \sigma^2 - 0.5 \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \mathbf{x}_i^T \boldsymbol{\beta})^2 \end{aligned}$$

We see that the only term that involves $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ is the last one so that maximizing the (log-)likelihood is equivalent to minimizing

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \mathbf{x}_i^T \boldsymbol{\beta})^2 = (\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta})^T (\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta})$$

(b) We have that

$$(\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta})^T (\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \mathbf{Y}^T \mathbf{Y} - 2\boldsymbol{\beta}^T \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{Y} + \boldsymbol{\beta}^T \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\beta}^T} (\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta})^T (\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}) = -2\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{Y} + 2\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta}$$

which is equal to zero for

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = [\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X}]^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{Y}$$

(c) We have that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma^2} l(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \sigma^2) = -0.5 \frac{n}{\sigma^2} + 0.5 \frac{1}{\sigma^4} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \mathbf{x}_i^T \boldsymbol{\beta})^2$$

which is equal to zero if

$$\sigma^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \mathbf{x}_i^T \boldsymbol{\beta})^2$$

resulting in that the maximum likelihood estimate becomes

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \mathbf{x}_i^T \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})^2$$

(d) Define $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{AZ} + \mathbf{b}$. Then

$$Y_j = \sum_k A_{jk} Z_k + b_j E[Y_j] = E[\sum_k A_{jk} Z_k + b_j] = \sum_k A_{jk} E[Z_k] + b_j$$

which combined gives $E(\mathbf{AZ} + \mathbf{b}) = \mathbf{AE}(\mathbf{Z}) + \mathbf{b}$.

Further, defining $\boldsymbol{\Sigma} = \mathbf{V}[\mathbf{Z}]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}[Y_j] &= \text{Var}[\sum_k A_{jk} Z_k + b_j] = \text{Var}[\sum_k A_{jk} Z_k] \\ &= \sum_k \sum_l \text{Var} A_{jk} A_{jl} \text{Cov}[Z_k, Z_l] = \sum_k \sum_l \text{Var} A_{jk} A_{jl} \Sigma_{k,l} \\ \text{Cov}[Y_j, Y_m] &= \text{Cov}[\sum_k A_{jk} Z_k + b_j, \sum_l A_{ml} Z_l + b_m] \\ &= \text{Cov}[\sum_k A_{jk} Z_k, \sum_l A_{ml} Z_l] \\ &= \sum_k \sum_l A_{jk} A_{ml} \text{Cov}[Z_k, Z_l] = \sum_k \sum_l A_{jk} A_{ml} \Sigma_{k,l} \end{aligned}$$

which combined gives $\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{AZ} + \mathbf{b}) = \mathbf{AV}(\mathbf{Z})\mathbf{A}^T = \mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}\mathbf{A}^T$.

(e) We know that variances always are positive. Now consider $Z = \mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{V}$. Then $\mathbf{V}[Z] = \mathbf{a}^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \mathbf{a} \geq 0$ which proves that $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ is positive (semi-)definite.

(f) We have that

$$\begin{aligned} E[\mathbf{Y}] &= E[\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}] = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} \\ E[\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}] &= E[[\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X}]^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{Y}] = [\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X}]^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T E[\mathbf{Y}] \\ &= [\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X}]^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta} = \boldsymbol{\beta} \end{aligned}$$

(g) We have

$$\begin{aligned}
V[\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}] &= V[(\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{Y}] \\
&= (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T V[\mathbf{Y}] \mathbf{X} (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \\
&= (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \sigma^2 \mathbf{I} \mathbf{X} (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \\
&= \sigma^2 (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} = \sigma^2 (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1}
\end{aligned}$$

Exercise 9 (Multinomial regression)

(a) We have for $k > 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pr(Y = k | \mathbf{x}) &= \frac{\exp(\theta_{k,0} + \sum_{j=1}^p \theta_{k,j} x_j)}{\sum_{l=0}^{K-1} \exp(\theta_{l,0} + \sum_{j=1}^p \theta_{l,j} x_j)} \\
&= \frac{\exp(\theta_{k,0} - \theta_{0,0} + \sum_{j=1}^p (\theta_{k,j} - \theta_{0,j}) x_j)}{\sum_{l=0}^{K-1} \exp(\theta_{l,0} - \theta_{0,0} + \sum_{j=1}^p (\theta_{l,j} - \theta_{0,j}) x_j)} \\
&= \frac{\exp(\theta_{k,0} - \theta_{0,0} + \sum_{j=1}^p (\theta_{k,j} - \theta_{0,j}) x_j)}{1 + \sum_{l=1}^{K-1} \exp(\theta_{l,0} - \theta_{0,0} + \sum_{j=1}^p (\theta_{l,j} - \theta_{0,j}) x_j)} \\
&= \frac{\exp(\beta_{k,0} + \sum_{j=1}^p \beta_{k,j} x_j)}{1 + \sum_{l=1}^{K-1} \exp(\beta_{l,0} + \sum_{j=1}^p \beta_{l,j} x_j)},
\end{aligned}$$

for $\beta_{k,j} = \theta_{k,j} - \theta_{0,j}$. The last equation follows by the sum to 1 constraint.

(b) We have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pr(Z_i^k = 1 | \mathbf{x}_i) &= \Pr(Y_i = k | Y_i = k \text{ or } Y_i = 0, \mathbf{x}_i) \\
&= \frac{\Pr(Y_i = k | \mathbf{x}_i)}{\Pr(Y_i = k | \mathbf{x}_i) + \Pr(Y_i = 0 | \mathbf{x}_i)} \\
&= \frac{\frac{\exp(\beta_{k,0} + \sum_{j=1}^p \beta_{k,j} x_j)}{1 + \sum_{l=1}^{K-1} \exp(\beta_{l,0} + \sum_{j=1}^p \beta_{l,j} x_j)}}{\frac{\exp(\beta_{k,0} + \sum_{j=1}^p \beta_{k,j} x_j)}{1 + \sum_{l=1}^{K-1} \exp(\beta_{l,0} + \sum_{j=1}^p \beta_{l,j} x_j)} + \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{l=1}^{K-1} \exp(\beta_{l,0} + \sum_{j=1}^p \beta_{l,j} x_j)}} \\
&= \frac{\exp(\beta_{k,0} + \sum_{j=1}^p \beta_{k,j} x_j)}{\exp(\beta_{k,0} + \sum_{j=1}^p \beta_{k,j} x_j) + 1}
\end{aligned}$$

which means that if we only are considering these specific data, we have a standard logistic regression model and the β 's can be estimated by standard procedures.

(c) See Extra_9.R

(d) See Extra_9.R