

# LIST OF FORMULAS STK2120

(Version from 21. November 2014)

## 1 One-way analysis of variance

Assume  $X_{ij} = \mu + \alpha_i + \epsilon_{ij}$ ;  $j = 1, 2, \dots, J_i$ ;  $i = 1, 2, \dots, I$ ; where  $\epsilon_{ij}$ -s are independent and  $N(0, \sigma^2)$  distributed. Then:

- (a) The total sum of squares  $SST = \sum_{i=1}^I \sum_{j=1}^{J_i} (X_{ij} - \bar{X}_{..})^2$  can be written as  $SST = SSE + SSTr$  where

$SSE = \sum_{i=1}^I \sum_{j=1}^{J_i} (X_{ij} - \bar{X}_{i.})^2$  is the sum of squares for error or the sum of squares within groups

$SSTr = \sum_{i=1}^I J_i (\bar{X}_{i.} - \bar{X}_{..})^2$  is the sum of squares for treatment or the sum of squares between groups

- (b)  $SSE$  and  $SSTr$  are independent

- (c)  $MSE = SSE / [\sum_{i=1}^I (J_i - 1)]$  is an unbiased estimator for  $\sigma^2$ .  
 $SSE / \sigma^2$  is chi-squared distributed with  $\sum_{i=1}^I (J_i - 1)$  degrees of freedom

- (d) If all  $\alpha_i$ -s are equal to zero,  $SSTr / \sigma^2$  is chi-squared distributed with  $I - 1$  degrees of freedom

- (e) If  $J_i = J$  for  $i = 1, \dots, I$ , then

$$\max_{i_1, i_2} |(\bar{X}_{i_1.} - \mu_{i_1}) - (\bar{X}_{i_2.} - \mu_{i_2})| / \sqrt{MSE/J}$$

is distributed as the studentized range with parameters  $I$  and  $I(J - 1)$ .

## 2 Two-way analysis of variance

Assume  $X_{ijk} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \gamma_{ij} + \epsilon_{ijk}$ ;  $k = 1, \dots, K$ ;  $j = 1, \dots, J$ ;  $i = 1, \dots, I$ ; where  $\epsilon_{ijk}$ -s are independent and  $N(0, \sigma^2)$  distributed. Then:

- (a) The total sum of squares  $SST = \sum_{i=1}^I \sum_{j=1}^J \sum_{k=1}^K (X_{ijk} - \bar{X}_{...})^2$  can be written as  $SST = SSA + SSB + SSAB + SSE$  where

$$SSA = JK \sum_{i=1}^I (\bar{X}_{i..} - \bar{X}_{...})^2$$

$$SSB = IK \sum_{j=1}^J (\bar{X}_{.j.} - \bar{X}_{...})^2$$

$$SSAB = K \sum_{i=1}^I \sum_{j=1}^J (\bar{X}_{ij.} - \bar{X}_{i..} - \bar{X}_{.j.} + \bar{X}_{...})^2$$

$$SSE = \sum_{i=1}^I \sum_{j=1}^J \sum_{k=1}^K (X_{ijk} - \bar{X}_{ij.})^2$$

- (b)  $SSA$ ,  $SSB$ ,  $SSAB$  and  $SSE$  are independent

- (c)  $MSE = SSE/IJ(K - 1)$  is an unbiased estimator for  $\sigma^2$ .  
 $SSE/\sigma^2$  is chi-squared distributed with  $IJ(K - 1)$  degrees of freedom.
- (d) If all  $\alpha_i$ -s are equal to zero,  $SSA/\sigma^2$  is chi-squared distributed with  $I - 1$  degrees of freedom
- (e) If all  $\beta_j$ -s are equal to zero,  $SSB/\sigma^2$  is chi-squared distributed with  $J - 1$  degrees of freedom
- (f) If all  $\gamma_{ij}$ -s are equal to zero,  $SSAB/\sigma^2$  is chi-squared distributed with  $(I-1)(J-1)$  degrees of freedom

### 3 Block design (two-way anova without replications)

Assume  $X_{ij} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \epsilon_{ij}$ ;  $j = 1, \dots, J$ ;  $i = 1, \dots, I$ ; where  $\epsilon_{ij}$ -s are independent and  $N(0, \sigma^2)$  distributed. Then:

- (a) The total sum of squares  $SST = \sum_{i=1}^I \sum_{j=1}^J (X_{ij} - \bar{X}_{..})^2$  can be written as  $SST = SSA + SSB + SSE$  where
 
$$SSA = J \sum_{i=1}^I (\bar{X}_{i.} - \bar{X}_{..})^2$$

$$SSB = I \sum_{j=1}^J (\bar{X}_{.j} - \bar{X}_{..})^2$$

$$SSE = \sum_{i=1}^I \sum_{j=1}^J (X_{ij} - \bar{X}_{i.} - \bar{X}_{.j} + \bar{X}_{..})^2$$
- (b)  $SSA$ ,  $SSB$  and  $SSE$  are independent
- (c)  $MSE = SSE/[(I - 1)(J - 1)]$  is an unbiased estimator for  $\sigma^2$ .  
 $SSE/\sigma^2$  is chi-squared distributed with  $(I - 1)(J - 1)$  degrees of freedom.
- (d) If all  $\alpha_i$ -s are equal to zero,  $SSA/\sigma^2$  is chi-squared distributed with  $I - 1$  degrees of freedom
- (e) If all  $\beta_j$ -s are equal to zero,  $SSB/\sigma^2$  is chi-squared distributed with  $J - 1$  degrees of freedom

### 4 Multiple linear regression

Assume  $Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{i1} + \dots + \beta_k x_{ik} + \epsilon_i$ ;  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ; where  $x_{ij}$ -s are given numbers and  $\epsilon_i$ -s are independent and  $N(0, \sigma^2)$  distributed. The model can be written in matrix form as  $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}$ , where  $\mathbf{Y} = (Y_1, \dots, Y_n)^T$  and  $\boldsymbol{\beta} = (\beta_0, \dots, \beta_k)^T$  are  $n$ - and  $(k + 1)$ -dimensional vectors, and  $\mathbf{X} = \{x_{ij}\}$  (with  $x_{i0} = 1$ ) is a  $n \times (k + 1)$ -dimensional matrix. Then:

- (a) The least squares estimator for  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$  is  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{Y}$ .

(b) Let  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = (\hat{\beta}_0, \dots, \hat{\beta}_k)^T$ . Then  $\hat{\beta}_j$ -s are normally distributed and unbiased, and

$$\text{Var}(\hat{\beta}_j) = \sigma^2 c_{jj} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Cov}(\hat{\beta}_j, \hat{\beta}_l) = \sigma^2 c_{jl}$$

where  $c_{jl}$  is element  $(j, l)$  in the  $(k+1) \times (k+1)$  matrix  $\mathbf{C} = (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1}$ .

(c) Let  $\hat{Y}_i = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 x_{i1} + \dots + \hat{\beta}_k x_{ik}$ , and let  $SSE = \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - \hat{Y}_i)^2$ . Then  $S^2 = SSE/[n - (k+1)]$  is an unbiased estimator for  $\sigma^2$ , and  $[n - (k+1)]S^2/\sigma^2 \sim \chi_{n-(k+1)}^2$ . Also,  $S^2$  and  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$  are independent.

(d) Let  $S_{\hat{\beta}_j}^2$  be the variance estimator for  $\hat{\beta}_j$  we get by replacing  $\sigma^2$  with  $S^2$  in the formula for  $\text{Var}(\hat{\beta}_j)$  (in b). Then  $(\hat{\beta}_j - \beta_j)/S_{\hat{\beta}_j} \sim t_{n-(k+1)}$ .

## 5 Two-way tables and chi-square tests

(a) Assume  $(N_1, \dots, N_k)$  is multinomially distributed with probabilities  $p_i$ , where  $\sum_{i=1}^k N_i = n$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^k p_i = 1$ .

If  $p_i = \pi_i(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ , where  $\boldsymbol{\theta} = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m)$ , and  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$  is the maximum likelihood estimator for  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ , then

$$\chi^2 = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{(N_i - E_i)^2}{E_i}$$

is approximately chi-squared distributed with  $k - 1 - m$  degrees of freedom when  $E_i = n\pi_i(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) \geq 5$  for (almost) all  $i$

(b) Test for homogeneity: Assume that for  $i = 1, \dots, I$ ,  $(N_{i1}, \dots, N_{iJ})$  are independent and multinomially distributed with probabilities  $p_{ij}$ , where  $\sum_{j=1}^J p_{ij} = 1$ .

If  $p_{1j} = \dots = p_{Ij}$ , then

$$\chi^2 = \sum_{i=1}^I \sum_{j=1}^J \frac{(N_{ij} - E_{ij})^2}{E_{ij}}$$

is approximately chi-squared distributed with  $(I - 1)(J - 1)$  degrees of freedom when

$E_{ij} = (N_{i.} N_{.j})/N_{..} \geq 5$  for (almost) all  $i, j$

(c) Test for independence: Assume  $(N_{11}, \dots, N_{1J}, N_{21}, \dots, N_{2J}, \dots, N_{I1}, \dots, N_{IJ})$  is multinomially distributed with probabilities  $p_{ij}$ , where  $\sum_{i=1}^I \sum_{j=1}^J p_{ij} = 1$ .

If  $p_{ij} = p_{i.} p_{.j}$  for all  $i, j$ , then

$$\chi^2 = \sum_{i=1}^I \sum_{j=1}^J \frac{(N_{ij} - E_{ij})^2}{E_{ij}}$$

is approximately chi-squared distributed with  $(I - 1)(J - 1)$  degrees of freedom when

$$E_{ij} = (N_{i.}N_{.j})/N_{..} \geq 5 \text{ for (almost) all } i, j$$

## 6 The method of maximum likelihood

Assume  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  have a joint density function  $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n | \boldsymbol{\theta})$ , where  $\boldsymbol{\theta} = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_p)$  is a parameter vector (scalar if  $p = 1$ ). We assume that  $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n | \boldsymbol{\theta})$  satisfies certain differentiability conditions.

- (a) Given observed values  $X_i = x_i; i = 1, \dots, n$ ; the likelihood function is  $L(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n | \boldsymbol{\theta})$  and the log-likelihood function is  $l(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \log L(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ .
- (b) The maximum likelihood *estimate* is the value of  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$  maximizing  $L(\boldsymbol{\theta})$  or equivalently maximising  $l(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ . If we replace the observed  $x_i$ -s by the random variables  $X_i$ , we get the maximum likelihood *estimator*.
- (c) The maximum likelihood estimate  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = (\hat{\theta}_1, \dots, \hat{\theta}_p)$  is a solution of the equations  $s_j(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = 0; j = 1, \dots, p$ ; where  $s_j(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = (\partial/\partial\theta_j)l(\boldsymbol{\theta})$  are the score functions. The vector of score functions is  $\mathbf{s}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = (s_1(\boldsymbol{\theta}), \dots, s_p(\boldsymbol{\theta}))^T$ .
- (d) The observed information matrix  $\bar{\mathbf{J}}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$  is the  $p \times p$  matrix with element  $(i, j)$  given by  $\bar{J}_{ij}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\theta_i\partial\theta_j}l(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ .

The expected information matrix (or Fisher information matrix)

$\bar{\mathbf{I}}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$  is the  $p \times p$  matrix with element  $(i, j)$  given by  $\bar{I}_{ij}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = E[\bar{J}_{ij}(\boldsymbol{\theta})]$ .

For independent and identically distributed observations we have that

$\bar{\mathbf{I}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = n\mathbf{I}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ , where  $\mathbf{I}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$  is the expected information for one observation.

- (e) When the equations in (c) do not have an explicit solution, we can find the maximum likelihood estimate by using the Newton-Raphson method:

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(s+1)} = \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(s)} + \bar{\mathbf{J}}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(s)})\mathbf{s}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(s)}),$$

by using the Fisher scoring algorithm:

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(s+1)} = \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(s)} + \bar{\mathbf{I}}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(s)})\mathbf{s}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(s)}),$$

or by suitable modifications of these.

- (f) When we have “enough” data,  $\hat{\theta}_i$  is approximately normally distributed with expectation  $\theta_i$  and with variance equal to the  $i$ -th diagonal element in  $\bar{\mathbf{I}}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ . The covariance between  $\hat{\theta}_i$  and  $\hat{\theta}_j$  is approximately equal to element  $(i, j)$  in  $\bar{\mathbf{I}}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ . We can estimate variances/covariances by plugging in  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$  instead of  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$  in  $\bar{\mathbf{I}}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$  or in  $\bar{\mathbf{J}}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ .

## 7 Bootstrapping

Assume that the distribution of the data  $\mathbf{X}$  is described by a distribution function  $F$ . Let  $\theta = \theta(F)$  be a functional of  $F$  estimated by  $\hat{\theta} = \hat{\theta}(\mathbf{X})$ .

- (a) The bootstrapping idea is to approximate the properties of  $\hat{\theta}$  by assuming that an estimate  $\hat{F}$  for  $F$  is the true distribution function.
- (b) Bootstrap estimation of the skewness of  $\hat{\theta}$ :

$$b_{\hat{\theta}} = \frac{1}{B} \sum_{b=1}^B \theta_b^* - \theta(\hat{F})$$

- (c) Bootstrap estimation of the standard deviation of  $\hat{\theta}$ :

$$\sqrt{\mathbb{E}^{\hat{F}} \left\{ \left( \hat{\theta}(\mathbf{X}^*) - \mathbb{E}^{\hat{F}}[\hat{\theta}(\mathbf{X}^*)] \right)^2 \right\}}$$

- (d) Standard bootstrap confidence interval:

$$(\hat{\theta} - \bar{\delta}, \hat{\theta} - \underline{\delta})$$

where  $\underline{\delta}$  and  $\bar{\delta}$  are lower and upper  $\alpha/2$  quantiles in the bootstrap distribution of  $\Delta = \hat{\theta} - \theta$ .