

19.11.2015 S13 (new set), ex 20

$y_i \sim \Gamma(y_i | \mu, \nu) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu)} y^{(\nu-1)} \left(\frac{y}{\mu}\right)^{-\nu} \exp\left(-\frac{y}{\mu}\right) \cdot \mu^{-\nu} \nu^\nu$

$\eta = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \dots + \beta_p x_p$
 a) $2n (\log(\hat{\nu}) - \psi(\hat{\nu})) = \frac{\Delta}{\hat{\nu}}$, where

$\psi(\nu) = \frac{\Gamma'(\nu)}{\Gamma(\nu)}$

estimation of $\hat{\beta}$ and $\hat{\nu}$ are independent in terms of $\hat{\beta}$ can be estimated without knowing $\hat{\nu}$. (see 55)

$f(y_1, \dots, y_n | \mu_1, \dots, \mu_n, \nu) = [\text{independence}] = \prod_{i=1}^n f(y_i | \mu_i, \nu) = \frac{1}{(\Gamma(\nu))^n} \left(\frac{y_1 \dots y_n}{\mu_1 \dots \mu_n}\right)^{-\nu} \nu^{n\nu} \times \exp\left(-\nu \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{y_i}{\mu_i}\right)$

$l(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n, \nu) = \log(f(y_1, \dots, y_n | \mu_1, \dots, \mu_n, \nu)) = -n \log \Gamma(\nu) + (\nu-1) \sum_{i=1}^n \log y_i + n \nu \log \nu - \nu \sum_{i=1}^n \log \mu_i - \nu \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{y_i}{\mu_i}$

1) we estimate $\hat{\beta}$ and correspondingly $\hat{\mu}$
 2) estimate variance parameters

$\frac{\partial l(\cdot)}{\partial \nu} = -n \frac{\Gamma'(\nu)}{\Gamma(\nu)} + \sum_{i=1}^n \log y_i + n \log \nu + \frac{n \nu}{\nu^2} - \sum_{i=1}^n \log \mu_i - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{y_i}{\mu_i} = \left[\psi(\nu) = \frac{\Gamma'(\nu)}{\Gamma(\nu)} \right] = -n \psi(\nu) + \sum_{i=1}^n \log y_i + n + \frac{n}{\nu} \log \nu - \sum_{i=1}^n \log \mu_i - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{y_i}{\mu_i} = 0$

$(\Rightarrow) 2n (\log(\hat{\nu}) - \psi(\hat{\nu})) = 2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \log y_i + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{y_i}{\mu_i} - \sum_{i=1}^n \log \mu_i - n \right)$

$= 2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \left(\log \frac{y_i}{\mu_i} + \frac{y_i}{\mu_i} - \log \mu_i \right) \right) = 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{y_i - \mu_i}{\mu_i} - \log \frac{y_i}{\mu_i} \right) =$

$\left[\Delta = 2 \nu \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{y_i - \mu_i}{\mu_i} - \log \frac{y_i}{\mu_i} \right) \right] = \frac{\Delta}{\hat{\nu}} (\Leftrightarrow)$

$2n (\log(\hat{\nu}) - \psi(\hat{\nu})) = \frac{\Delta}{\hat{\nu}}$

b) Show that asymptotic distributions of $\hat{\beta}$ & $\hat{\nu}$ are independent.

$(\hat{\beta}, \nu) := \theta, \hat{\theta}_{MLE} \overset{\text{asymptotically}}{\sim} N_{p+2}(\theta, \Sigma_\theta) = N_{p+2}(\theta, I_\theta^{-1}) = N_{p+2} \left(\begin{pmatrix} \beta_0 \\ \vdots \\ \beta_p \\ \nu \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \text{var } \hat{\beta}_0 & \dots & \text{cov } \hat{\beta}_0, \hat{\nu} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \text{cov } \hat{\beta}_p, \hat{\nu} & \dots & \text{var } \hat{\nu} \end{pmatrix} \right)$

recall (50) in general theorem we show that:

$\frac{\partial l(\cdot)}{\partial \beta_j} = \frac{1}{\varphi} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(y_i - \mu_i) x_{ij}}{1} \frac{x_{ij}}{g(\mu_i, \nu | \mu_i)} \Rightarrow$

$I_{\beta_j, \varphi} = \frac{\partial^2 l(\cdot)}{\partial \beta_j^2 \partial \varphi} = -\frac{1}{\varphi^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(y_i - \mu_i) x_{ij}}{1} \frac{x_{ij}}{g(\mu_i, \nu | \mu_i)}$

$I_{\beta_j, \varphi} = E \left\{ -\frac{\partial^2 l(\cdot)}{\partial \beta_j^2 \partial \varphi} \right\} = E \left\{ \frac{1}{\varphi^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(y_i - \mu_i) x_{ij}}{1} \frac{x_{ij}}{g(\mu_i, \nu | \mu_i)} \right\}$

$= \frac{1}{\varphi^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{E(y_i - \mu_i) x_{ij}}{1} \frac{x_{ij}}{g(\mu_i, \nu | \mu_i)} = [E(y_i) = \mu_i] =$

$= 0$
 $I_\theta = \begin{pmatrix} I_{\hat{\beta}} & 0 \\ 0 & I_{\hat{\nu}, \hat{\varphi}} \end{pmatrix}$

$\Sigma_\theta = I_\theta^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} I_{\hat{\beta}} & 0 \\ 0 & I_{\hat{\nu}, \hat{\varphi}} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} I_{\hat{\beta}}^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & I_{\hat{\nu}, \hat{\varphi}}^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$

$\Rightarrow \text{cov}(\hat{\beta}_j, \hat{\nu}) = 0 \Rightarrow [\theta \text{ is asymptotically MVN}] \Rightarrow f(\hat{\beta}_j, \hat{\nu}) = f(\hat{\beta}_j) f(\hat{\nu})$

$\forall j = 0, \dots, p$ (independence)

ex 20

$$z = \log y \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$$

$$f_z(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(z-\mu)^2\right)$$

find the density of y .

$$y = \exp(z)$$

$$f_y(y) = f_z(z(y)) \left| \frac{dz(y)}{dy} \right| =$$

$$= [z(y) = \log(y)] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(\log(y)-\mu)^2\right) \times$$

$$\times \frac{1}{y} = \left(f_z(z(y)) \cdot \frac{1}{y} \right) = f_y(y) = \frac{f_z(z)}{y}$$

density of f

$$\log L(\mu, \sigma^2 | z) = \log \prod_{i=1}^n f_z(z_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n \log f_z(z_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n \log(f_y(y_i) \cdot y_i) =$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n [\log f_y(y_i) + \log y_i] = \sum_{i=1}^n \log f_y(y_i) + \sum_{i=1}^n \log y_i$$

log-likelihood for

$$\ell(\mu, \sigma^2) = \ell(\mu, \sigma^2 | z_1, \dots, z_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \log y_i =$$

we know from LM.

$$= (\mu, \sigma^2 | z_1, \dots, z_n) - \sum_{i=1}^n z_i$$

Variance $\varphi \ddot{\alpha}(\theta) = \varphi \ddot{\mu}(y) = \frac{1}{V} \ddot{\mu}(y) =$

$$j) \hat{\varphi} = \frac{X^2}{n-2}, \quad \frac{X^2}{n-2} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(y_i - \hat{\mu}_i)^2}{V(\hat{\mu}_i)} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(y_i - \hat{\mu}_i)^2}{\hat{\mu}_i^2} =$$

$$= \varphi \cdot \frac{X^2}{n-2}$$

$V(\hat{\mu}_i) = \ddot{\alpha}(\theta_i) \varphi = V(\hat{\mu}_i) \cdot \varphi(\theta)$

$\hat{\varphi} = \frac{V(\hat{\mu}_i)}{V(\hat{\mu}_i)} \cdot \varphi$

$$P\left(c_1 < \frac{X^2}{\hat{\varphi}} < c_2\right) = 1 - 2 = 0.95$$

for $X^2_{1,0.92}$, we have

$$P\left(\frac{X^2}{c_1} > \varphi > \frac{X^2}{c_2}\right) = 0.95$$

$$\frac{X^2}{c_1} = 0.0279 \quad \frac{X^2}{c_2} = 0.02463$$

$$\varphi \in [0.02463, 0.0279]$$

j) if φ was MLE estimated.

$$\begin{pmatrix} \hat{\beta} \\ \hat{\varphi} \end{pmatrix} \sim N_{p+2} \left(\begin{pmatrix} \beta \\ \varphi \end{pmatrix}, \Sigma_{\beta, \varphi} \right) \Rightarrow$$

$f(y | \hat{\beta}, X_{new}, \hat{\varphi})$ - transformation of $\hat{\beta}, \hat{\varphi}$, or the transformation of $\hat{\theta}_{MLE}$

$$\hat{f}(y | \hat{\beta}, X_{new}, \hat{\varphi}) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} N(\mu, \sigma^2)$$

Comment:

note that this $\hat{\varphi}$ is not MLE $\Rightarrow (\hat{\beta}_{MLE}, \hat{\varphi}_{MLE}) \neq (\hat{\beta}_{MLE}, \hat{\varphi})$ and

$$\Delta_1 = 2 \ell(\hat{\beta}_{MLE}, \hat{\varphi}_{MLE}) - 2 \ell(\hat{\beta}_{MLE}, \hat{\varphi})$$

can be different from

$$\Delta_2 = 2 \ell(\hat{\beta}_{MLE}, \hat{\varphi}) - 2 \ell(\hat{\beta}_{MLE}, \hat{\varphi}) !!!$$

\Rightarrow one has to be accurate with derivations in this problem! $\Delta_1, ?? \Delta_2$