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## Problem 1

Consider the binary monotone system $(C, \phi)$ shown in Figure 1. The component set of the system is $C=\{1,2, \ldots, 6\}$. Let $\boldsymbol{X}=\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{6}\right)$ denote the vector of component state variables, and assume throughout this problem that $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{6}$ are stochastically independent. Let $\boldsymbol{p}=\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{6}\right)$ denote the vector of component reliabilities, where $p_{i}=P\left(X_{i}=1\right), i=1,2, \ldots, 6$. We assume that $0<p_{i}<1$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, 6$.


Figure 1: A binary monotone system of 6 components.
a) Find the minimal path and cut sets of the system.
b) Use the result in a) to find an expression for the structure function of
the system, and explain briefly how this can be used to find the system reliability. A detailed calculation is not required.
c) Use the factoring algorithm to derive the reliability of the system in a different way from the one in b).
d) What is the definition of the Birnbaum measure for the reliability importance of a component?
e) What is the reliability importance of component 3 according to the Birnbaum measure? How can you use this result to find the structural importance of component 3 ?
f) Assume that $p_{i}=p$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, 6$, i.e., that all the components have the same component reliability. What can you say about the reliability importance of the other 5 components?

## Problem 2

Consider a binary monotone system $(C, \phi)$, where $C=\{1,2,3\}$ and where the structure function $\phi$ is given by:

$$
\phi(\boldsymbol{X})=\mathrm{I}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{3} X_{i} \geq 2\right) .
$$

Here $\boldsymbol{X}=\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}\right)$ denotes the vector of component state variables and $\mathrm{I}(\cdot)$ denotes the indicator function.
a) Show that the structure function $\phi$ can be written as:

$$
\phi(\boldsymbol{X})=X_{1} X_{2}+X_{1} X_{3}+X_{2} X_{3}-2 X_{1} X_{2} X_{3} .
$$

In the following we assume that:

$$
X_{i}=Y_{0} \cdot Y_{i}, \quad i=1,2,3,
$$

where $Y_{0}, Y_{1}, Y_{2}, Y_{3}$ are independent binary stochastic variables and:

$$
P\left(Y_{0}=1\right)=\theta, P\left(Y_{1}=1\right)=P\left(Y_{2}=1\right)=P\left(Y_{3}=1\right)=q,
$$

where $0<\theta<1$ and $0<q<1$.
b) Explain why this implies that $X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}$ are associated stochastic variables.

We then introduce $h=\mathrm{E}[\phi(\boldsymbol{X})]=P(\phi(\boldsymbol{X})=1)$.
c) Show that:

$$
h=h(\theta, q)=\theta q^{2}(3-2 q) .
$$

(Continued on page 3.)

Assume that we ignore the dependence between the $X_{i} \mathrm{~s}$, and instead computes the system reliability as if $X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}$ are independent and:

$$
P\left(X_{i}=1\right)=\theta q, \quad i=1,2,3 .
$$

Let $\tilde{h}$ denote the system reliability we then get.
d) Show that:

$$
\tilde{h}=\tilde{h}(\theta, q)=\theta^{2} q^{2}(3-2 \theta q) .
$$

e) Assume that $\theta=\frac{1}{2}$. Show that we then have $\tilde{h}<h$ for all $0<q<1$.
f) Assume instead that $\theta=\frac{3}{4}$. What can you say about the relationship between $\tilde{h}$ and $h$ in this case?

## Problem 3

Let $(C, \phi)$ be a binary monotone system, and let $\boldsymbol{X}$ denote the vector of component state variables. In this problem we consider how the system reliability $h=P(\phi(\boldsymbol{X})=1)$ can be estimated using Monte Carlo simulation. The simplest Monte Carlo estimate is:

$$
\hat{h}_{M C}=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{r=1}^{N} \phi\left(\boldsymbol{X}_{r}\right),
$$

where $\boldsymbol{X}_{1}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{X}_{N}$ are data generated from the distribution of $\boldsymbol{X}$.
In order to improve this estimate we let $S=S(\boldsymbol{X})$ be a stochastic variable with values in the set $\left\{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{k}\right\}$. We assume that the distribution of $S$ is known, and introduce:

$$
\theta_{j}=E\left[\phi \mid S=s_{j}\right], \quad j=1, \ldots, k .
$$

We then use Monte Carlo simulation in order to estimate $\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{k}$, and generate data from the conditional distribution of $\boldsymbol{X}$ given $S$. We let $\left\{\boldsymbol{X}_{r, j}: r=1, \ldots, N_{j}\right\}$ denote the vectors generated from the distribution of $\boldsymbol{X}$ given that $S=s_{j}, j=1, \ldots, k$, and get the following estimates:

$$
\hat{\theta}_{j}=\frac{1}{N_{j}} \sum_{r=1}^{N_{j}} \phi\left(\boldsymbol{X}_{r, j}\right), \quad j=1, \ldots, k .
$$

These estimates are then combined into the following estimate of the system reliability:

$$
\hat{h}_{C M C}=\sum_{j=1}^{k} \hat{\theta}_{j} P\left(S=s_{j}\right) .
$$

a) Show that $E\left[\hat{h}_{C M C}\right]=h$ and that the variance of the estimate is given by:

$$
\operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{h}_{C M C}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{k} \frac{1}{N_{j}} \operatorname{Var}\left(\phi \mid S=s_{j}\right)\left[P\left(S=s_{j}\right)\right]^{2}
$$

b) Assume that $N_{j} \approx N \cdot P\left(S=s_{j}\right), j=1, \ldots, k$. Show that we then have:

$$
\operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{h}_{C M C}\right) \approx \frac{1}{N}(\operatorname{Var}(\phi)-\operatorname{Var}[E(\phi \mid S)])
$$

and explain briefly why this implies that $\operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{h}_{C M C}\right) \leq \operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{h}_{M C}\right)$.
c) What should one take into account when choosing $S$ ?

