

Exam in STK3505/4505: Answers

Problem 1

a

Simulation of Z using the inversion method requires an expression for the inverse cdf $F^{-1}(u)$:

$$F(z) = 1 - \frac{1}{\left(1 + \left(\frac{z}{\beta}\right)^\theta\right)^\alpha} = u$$
$$z = \beta \left((1 - u)^{-1/\alpha} - 1\right)^{1/\theta} = F^{-1}(u).$$

Simulation algorithm:

- 1: Input: α, θ, β
- 2: Draw $U^* \sim U(0, 1)$
- 3: Return $Z^* = \beta \left((1 - U^*)^{-1/\alpha} - 1\right)^{1/\theta}$ % or $\beta \left((U^*)^{-1/\alpha} - 1\right)^{1/\theta}$

b

Simulation algorithm for \mathcal{X} :

- 1: Input: $\lambda, \alpha, \beta, m$
- 2: **for** $i=1, \dots, m$ **do**
- 3: Draw $\mathcal{N}^* \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda)$
- 4: $\mathcal{X}_i^* \leftarrow 0$
- 5: **for** $j=1, \dots, \mathcal{N}^*$ **do**
- 6: Draw $Z^* \sim \text{Burr}(\alpha, \theta, \beta)$
- 7: $\mathcal{X}_i^* \leftarrow \mathcal{X}_i^* + Z^*$
- 8: **end for**
- 9: **end for**
- 10: Return $\mathcal{X}_1^*, \dots, \mathcal{X}_m^*$.

Estimate of the mean: $\bar{\mathcal{X}}^* = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \mathcal{X}_i^*$.

Estimate of the standard deviation: $s_{\mathcal{X}}^* = \sqrt{\frac{1}{m-1} \sum_{i=1}^m (\mathcal{X}_i^* - \bar{\mathcal{X}}^*)^2}$.

The $100 \cdot \epsilon\%$ reserve q_ϵ is given by

$$P(\mathcal{X} \leq q_\epsilon) = \epsilon,$$

and estimated by $q_\epsilon^* = \mathcal{X}_{(m\epsilon)}^*$, where $\mathcal{X}_{(1)}^* \leq \dots \leq \mathcal{X}_{(m)}^*$.

c

$$\begin{aligned} E(\mathcal{X}) &= \lambda \xi(\alpha, \beta, \theta) = 72.6. \\ \text{sd}(\mathcal{X}) &= \sqrt{\lambda (\sigma^2(\alpha, \beta, \theta) + (\xi(\alpha, \beta, \theta))^2)} = 17.0. \end{aligned}$$

d

The 95% and 99% reserves are 101.9 and 118.2, respectively.

e

Simulations of cedent net portfolio payoffs $\mathcal{X}_1^{ce,*}, \dots, \mathcal{X}_m^{ce,*}$ are obtained by replacing line 7 by

$$\begin{aligned} 7 : Z^{ce,*} &\leftarrow Z^* - \max(Z^* - a, 0) \\ 8 : \mathcal{X}_i^{ce,*} &\leftarrow \mathcal{X}_i^{ce,*} + Z^{ce,*} \end{aligned}$$

to the simulations from **b**. The corresponding $100 \cdot \epsilon\%$ cedent net reserve is estimated by $q_\epsilon^{ce,*} = \mathcal{X}_{(m\epsilon)}^*$.

f

The cedent net 95% and 99% reserves are 74.9 and 83.4, respectively. Obviously, the reserves become lower when part of the responsibility is transferred on a reinsurer. Since there is no upper bound on this responsibility, but merely a retention limit, the reduction in the reserves is quite large, especially out in the tail.

g

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{X}^{re} &= \sum_{i=1}^{\mathcal{N}} Z_i^{re} = b \sum_{i=1}^{\mathcal{N}} Z_i = b\mathcal{X} \\ \mathcal{X}^{ce} &= \mathcal{X} - \mathcal{X}^{re} = (1 - b)\mathcal{X} \end{aligned}$$

Pure reinsurance premium: $\pi^{pu,re} = E(\mathcal{X}^{re}) = bE(\mathcal{X}) = 16.2$.

$100 \cdot \epsilon\%$ cedent net reserve:

$$\begin{aligned} P(\mathcal{X}^{ce} \leq q_\epsilon^{ce}) &= P(\mathcal{X} \leq \frac{q_\epsilon^{ce}}{1 - b}) = \epsilon = P(\mathcal{X} \leq q_\epsilon) \\ q_\epsilon^{ce} &= (1 - b)q_\epsilon \end{aligned}$$

The cedent net 95% and 99% reserves are now 79.2 and 91.8, respectively.

h

The pure reinsurance premium is the same for the two contracts, but the stop-

loss contract from **e** gives lower risk and lower reserves than the proportional contract in **g**, and is therefore preferable.

Problem 2

a)

$$\pi_{l_0} = \begin{cases} s \sum_{k=l_r-l_0}^{\infty} d^k {}_k p_{l_0}, & l_0 < l_r \\ s \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} d^k {}_k p_{l_0}, & l_0 \geq l_r \end{cases}$$

b)

The longer the time between the start age l_0 and the age of retirement l_r , the more the pension is discounted, and the smaller the present value. Thus, π_{l_0} is an increasing function of l_0 , so the order is:

$$\begin{array}{cccc} l_0 & 47 & 57 & 37 \\ \pi_{l_0} & 4.98 & 7.40 & 3.46 \end{array}$$

c)

Present value of payments: $\zeta \sum_{k=0}^{l_r-l_0-1} d^k {}_k p_{l_0}$.

d)

Equivalence means that the expected present value of the payments ζ should be equal to the expected present value of the pension π_{l_0} , so that:

$$\zeta = s \frac{\sum_{k=l_r-l_0}^{\infty} d^k {}_k p_{l_0}}{\sum_{k=0}^{l_r-l_0-1} d^k {}_k p_{l_0}}$$

Problem 3

a)

$$R_k = \frac{S_k}{S_{k-1}} - 1 = \frac{e^{Y_k}}{e^{Y_{k-1}}} - 1 = e^{Y_k - Y_{k-1}} - 1 = e^{X_k} - 1.$$

$$\text{K-step return: } R_{0:K} = (1 + R_1) \cdot \dots \cdot (1 + R_K) - 1.$$

$$R_{0:K} = (1 + R_1) \cdot \dots \cdot (1 + R_K) - 1 = e^{X_1} \cdot \dots \cdot e^{X_K} - 1 = e^{\sum_{j=1}^K X_j} - 1 = e^{K\xi + \sigma \sum_{j=1}^K \epsilon_j}.$$

Let $\eta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{K}} \sum_{j=1}^K \epsilon_j$. Since $\epsilon_j \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(0, 1)$, η is also normally distributed with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(\eta) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{K}} \sum_{j=1}^K \mathbb{E}(\epsilon_j) = 0 \\ \text{Var}(\eta) &= \frac{1}{K} \sum_{j=1}^K \text{Var}(\epsilon_j) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $R_{0:K} = e^{K\xi + \sigma\sqrt{K}\eta} - 1$, with $\eta \sim N(0, 1)$.

b)

Simulation algorithm for X :

- 1: Input: r, σ, v_0, r_g, K
- 2: $\begin{cases} R^* \leftarrow 0 \\ P^* \leftarrow 1 \end{cases}$
- 3: **for** $k=1, \dots, K$ **do**
- 4: Draw $\epsilon^* \sim N(0, 1)$
- 5: $R^* \leftarrow e^{r - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 + \sigma\epsilon^*} - 1$
- 6: $P^* \leftarrow P^*(1 + R^*)$
- 7: **end for**
- 8: $R_{0:K}^* \leftarrow P^* - 1$
- 9: Return $X^* \leftarrow \max(r_g - R_{0:K}^*, 0)$.

The risk-neutral price is computed as $\pi^*(v_0) = \frac{e^{-rK}}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m X_j^*$, where X_1^*, \dots, X_m^* are generated using the above algorithm.

$\pi(v_0)$ can also be computed using Black-Scholes formula:

$$\pi(v_0) = \left((1 + r_g)e^{-rK} \Phi(a) - \Phi(a - \sigma\sqrt{K}) \right) v_0,$$

where $a = \frac{\log(1+r_g) - rK + \sigma^2 K/2}{\sigma\sqrt{K}}$.

c)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(\mathcal{R}) &= \xi \\ \text{Var}(\mathcal{R}) &= \frac{\sigma^2}{J} \\ \frac{\text{sd}(\mathcal{R})}{\mathbb{E}(\mathcal{R})} &= \frac{\sigma/\xi}{\sqrt{J}} \xrightarrow{J \rightarrow \infty} 0. \end{aligned}$$

d

$$\begin{aligned}E(\mathcal{R}|R_M) &= r + \beta(R_M - r) \\ \text{Var}(\mathcal{R}|R_M) &= \frac{\sigma^2}{J} \\ E(\mathcal{R}) &= E(E(\mathcal{R}|R_M)) = r + \beta(\xi_M - r) \\ \text{Var}(\mathcal{R}) &= \text{Var}(E(\mathcal{R}|R_M)) + E(\text{Var}(\mathcal{R}|R_M)) = \beta^2\sigma_M^2 + \frac{\sigma^2}{J} \\ \frac{\text{sd}(\mathcal{R})}{E(\mathcal{R})} &= \frac{\sqrt{\beta^2\sigma_M^2 + \sigma^2/J}}{r + \beta(\xi_M - r)} \xrightarrow{J \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\beta\sigma_M}{r + \beta(\xi_M - r)}.\end{aligned}$$

When all stocks depend on a common factor R_M , the risk cannot be diversified away, as in **c**.