

Problem 1

a) $\pi^{pu} = E(X)$.

b) $\pi = (1 + \gamma)\pi^{pu}$, where π is the actual premium paid and γ the loading

c) Let $\mathcal{X} = X_1 + \dots + X_J$ be the total payout from the portfolio. The $100 \cdot \epsilon\%$ reserve q_ϵ is given by

$$P(\mathcal{X} \leq q_\epsilon) = \epsilon,$$

where ϵ is close to 1.

d) $E(X_1 + \dots + X_J) = \sum_{j=1}^J E(X_j) = J\xi$ and $\text{Var}(X_1 + \dots + X_J) \stackrel{\text{indep.}}{=} \sum_{j=1}^J \text{Var}(X_j) = J\sigma^2$. Hence,

$$\frac{\text{sd}(X_1 + \dots + X_J)}{E(X_1 + \dots + X_J)} = \frac{\sqrt{J}\sigma}{J\xi} = \frac{\sigma/\xi}{\sqrt{J}} \xrightarrow{J \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

Problem 2

a) Simulation of Z using the inversion algorithm requires an expression for the inverse cdf $F^{-1}(u)$:

$$\begin{aligned} F(z) &= 1 - \frac{1}{(1 + z/\beta)^\alpha} = u \\ (1 + z/\beta)^\alpha &= (1 - u)^{-1} \\ z &= \beta \left((1 - u)^{-1/\alpha} - 1 \right) = F^{-1}(u). \end{aligned}$$

Simulation algorithm:

- 1: Input: α, β
- 2: Draw $U^* \sim U(0, 1)$
- 3: Return $Z^* = \beta \left((1 - U^*)^{-1/\alpha} - 1 \right)$ % or $\beta \left((U^*)^{-1/\alpha} - 1 \right)$

b) Simuleringalgoritme for \mathcal{X} :

- 1: Input: $\lambda, \alpha, \beta, m$
- 2: **for** $i=1, \dots, m$ **do**
- 3: Draw $\mathcal{N}^* \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda)$
- 4: $\mathcal{X}_i^* \leftarrow 0$
- 5: **for** $j=1, \dots, \mathcal{N}^*$ **do**

6: Draw $Z^* \sim \text{Pareto}(\alpha, \beta)$
7: $\mathcal{X}_i^* \leftarrow \mathcal{X}_i^* + Z^*$
8: **end for**
9: **end for**
10: Return $\mathcal{X}_1^*, \dots, \mathcal{X}_m^*$.

c)

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}(\mathcal{X}) &= \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{E}(\mathcal{X}|\mathcal{N})) \\
&= \mathbb{E}\left(\mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\mathcal{N}} Z_i|\mathcal{N}\right)\right) \\
&= \mathbb{E}(\mathcal{N}\mathbb{E}(Z_i)) \\
&= \mathbb{E}(\mathcal{N})\mathbb{E}(Z_i) \\
&= \lambda \frac{\beta}{\alpha - 1} = 25.
\end{aligned}$$

d) The 95% and 99% reserves are 48.8 and 64.2 respectively.

e) Simulations of reinsurer portfolio payoffs $\mathcal{X}_1^{re,*}, \dots, \mathcal{X}_m^{re,*}$ are obtained by applying $\mathcal{X}_i^{re,*} \leftarrow \max(\mathcal{X}_i^* - a, 0)$ to the simulations from b).

f) The pure reinsurance premium is 3.15 and the reinsurance 95% and 99% reserves are 18.76 and 34.25 respectively.

Problem 3

a) Let Y be the number of years an individual is alive.

$$\begin{aligned}
{}_k p_{l_0} &= \mathbb{P}(Y > l_0 + k | Y > l_0) \\
&= \frac{\mathbb{P}(Y > l_0 + k)}{\mathbb{P}(Y > l_0)} \\
&= \frac{\mathbb{P}(Y > l_0 + k)}{\mathbb{P}(Y > l_0 + k - 1)} \cdot \frac{\mathbb{P}(Y > l_0 + k - 1)}{\mathbb{P}(Y > l_0 + k - 2)} \cdots \frac{\mathbb{P}(Y > l_0 + 1)}{\mathbb{P}(Y > l_0)} \\
&= \mathbb{P}(Y > l_0 + k | Y > l_0 + k - 1) \cdots \mathbb{P}(Y > l_0 + 1 | Y > l_0) \\
&= p_{l_0+k-1} p_{l_0+k-2} \cdots p_{l_0}
\end{aligned}$$

- b) $V_0 = -\pi \sum_{i=0}^{K-1} d^i i p_{l_0} + s \sum_{i=K}^{\infty} d^i i p_{l_0}$.
- c) $\pi = s \frac{\sum_{i=K}^{\infty} d^i i p_{l_0}}{\sum_{i=0}^{K-1} d^i i p_{l_0}}$
- d) $V_k = \begin{cases} -\pi \sum_{i=k}^{K-1} d^{i-k} i p_{l_0} + s \sum_{i=K}^{\infty} d^{i-k} i p_{l_0}, & k < K \\ s \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} d^{i-k} i p_{l_0}, & k \geq K \end{cases}$
- e) The sum to be repaid is V_k .

Problem 4

- a) $R_k = \frac{S_k}{S_{k-1}} - 1 = \frac{e^{Y_k}}{e^{Y_{k-1}}} - 1 = e^{Y_k - Y_{k-1}} - 1 = e^{X_k} - 1$.
- b) $Y_k = Y_{k-1} + X_k = Y_{k-2} + X_{k-1} + X_k = \dots = Y_0 + \sum_{j=1}^k X_j = \log(s_0) + \sum_{j=1}^k X_j$. Since $X_j \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(\xi, \sigma)$, Y_k is also normally distributed with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(Y_k) &= \log(s_0) + \mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{j=1}^k X_j\right) = \log(s_0) + \sum_{j=1}^k \mathbb{E}(X_j) = \log(s_0) + k\xi \\ \text{sd}(Y_k) &= \text{sd}\left(\sum_{j=1}^k X_j\right) \stackrel{indep.}{=} \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^k \text{Var}(X_j)} = \sqrt{k}\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

Then, $S_k = e^{Y_k}$ must follow a log-normal distribution with

$$\mathbb{E}(S_k) = e^{\log(s_0) + k\xi + \frac{1}{2}k\sigma^2} = s_0 e^{k\xi + \frac{1}{2}k\sigma^2}.$$

- c) Simulation algorithm for S_1, \dots, S_K :

- 1: Input: ξ, σ, s_0, K
- 2: $Y^* \leftarrow \log(s_0)$
- 3: **for** $k=1, \dots, K$ **do**
- 4: Draw $X^* \sim N(\xi, \sigma)$
- 5: $Y^* \leftarrow Y^* + X^*$
- 6: $S^* \leftarrow e^{Y^*}$
- 7: **end for**
- 8: Return S_1^*, \dots, S_K^* .

d) $E(S_k) = s_0 e^{k\xi + \frac{1}{2}k\sigma^2} \approx 2.01$.

e) $R_{0:K} = (1 + R_1) \cdot \dots \cdot (1 + R_K) - 1 = e^{X_1} \cdot \dots \cdot e^{X_K} - 1 = e^{\sum_{j=1}^K X_j} - 1 = e^{Y_K - \log(s_0)} - 1 = \frac{S_K}{s_0} - 1$. Hence, $P(R_{0:K} \leq r) = P\left(\frac{S_K}{s_0} - 1 \leq r\right) = P(S_K \leq s_0(r + 1))$.

- Probability of losing on the investment: $P(R_{0:K} < 0) = P(S_K < s_0) = P(S_K < 1)$, which is a little smaller than 0.25.
- Probability of doubling the investment: $P(R_{0:K} \geq 1) = P(S_K \geq 2s_0) = 1 - P(S_K < 2)$, which is between 0.25 and 0.5.
- Probability of quadruplicating the investment: $P(R_{0:K} \geq 3) = P(S_K \geq 4s_0) = 1 - P(S_K < 4)$, which is between 0.05 and 0.25, but closer to 0.05.

Problem 5

a) Simulation algorithm for B_1, \dots, B_K :

- 1: Input: $\xi, a, \tau, b_0, r_0, K$
- 2: $\begin{cases} B_0^* \leftarrow b_0 \\ Z^* \leftarrow \log\left(\frac{r_0}{\xi}\right) \end{cases}$
- 3: **for** $k=1, \dots, K$ **do**
- 4: Draw $\varepsilon^* \sim N(0, 1)$
- 5: $\begin{cases} Z^* \leftarrow aZ^* + \tau\varepsilon^* \\ r^* \leftarrow \xi e^{Z^*} \end{cases}$
- 6: $B_k^* \leftarrow (1 + r^*)B_{k-1}^*$
- 7: **end for**
- 8: Return B_1^*, \dots, B_K^* .

b) Since S_k and B_k are independent, they can be simulated independently from the algorithms in 4 c) and 5 a), respectively, resulting in $\mathbf{S}_1^*, \dots, \mathbf{S}_m^*$ and $\mathbf{B}_1^*, \dots, \mathbf{B}_m^*$, with $\mathbf{S}_j^* = (S_{1j}^*, \dots, S_{Kj}^*)^T$ and $\mathbf{B}_j^* = (B_{1j}^*, \dots, B_{Kj}^*)^T$. Then, we obtain $\mathbf{V}_1^*, \dots, \mathbf{V}_m^*$, with $\mathbf{V}_j^* = (V_{1j}^*, \dots, V_{Kj}^*)^T$, from $\mathbf{V}_j^* = \mathbf{S}_j^* + \mathbf{B}_j^*$.

c) When half of the investment is placed in a cash account instead of stocks, the risk becomes lower, which is seen in the higher values for left tail quantiles

and $\frac{\text{sd}(V_K)}{\mathbb{E}(V_K)} \approx 0.41 < \frac{\text{sd}(S_K)}{\mathbb{E}(S_K)} \approx 0.7$. On the other hand, the upside is also lower, which is seen in the lower expectation and right tail quantiles.