

# UNIVERSITY OF OSLO

Faculty of mathematics and natural sciences

Exam in: STK3505/4505 — Problems and methods in Actuarial science

Day of examination: Monday December 10th 2018

Examination hours: 09.00–13.00

This problem set consists of 5 pages.

Appendices: None

Permitted aids: Approved calculator

Please make sure that your copy of the problem set is complete before you attempt to answer anything.

## Introduction

Problem 1 is on general insurance, Problem 2 on life insurance and Problem 3 on financial risk. All of them must be answered and all sub-problems count equally. Write program sketches either in pseudo code or as R commands. The mathematical definitions and expressions below (that you need not prove or justify) may help you solving some of the sub-problems.

**Rules of double expectation and variance:**

$$\begin{aligned}E(Y) &= E(E(Y|\mathbf{X})) \\ \text{Var}(Y) &= \text{Var}(E(Y|\mathbf{X})) + E(\text{Var}(Y|\mathbf{X})).\end{aligned}$$

**Mean, standard deviation and cumulative distribution function of the log-logistic distribution:** If  $Z \sim \text{log-logistic}(\alpha, \beta)$ , then

$$E(Z) = \beta \frac{\pi}{\alpha \sin(\pi/\alpha)} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{sd}(Z) = \beta \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{\alpha \sin(2\pi/\alpha)} - \left(\frac{\pi}{\alpha \sin(\pi/\alpha)}\right)^2}$$

and

$$F(z) = \frac{1}{1 + \left(\frac{z}{\beta}\right)^{-\alpha}}.$$

**Black-Scholes** formula for a put option over  $(0, T)$  with guarantee  $r_g$  and initial value of the stock  $v_0 = 1$ :

$$\pi(1) = (1 + r_g)e^{-rT} \Phi(a) - \Phi(a - \sigma\sqrt{T})$$

where  $a = \frac{\log(1+r_g) - rT + \sigma^2 T/2}{\sigma\sqrt{T}}$ . Here the volatility and the discount over  $(0, T)$  are  $\sigma\sqrt{T}$  and  $e^{-rT}$ , respectively.

(Continued on page 2.)

## Problem 1 General insurance

Let  $\mathcal{X} = Z_1 + \dots + Z_N$  be the total pay-out in a general insurance portfolio under standard assumptions, where  $N \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda)$  is independent of the individual losses  $Z_i$ , which are independent, identically  $\log - \text{logistic}(\alpha, \beta)$  distributed.

**a**

Derive a sampler for the log-logistic distribution using the inversion method.

**b**

Sketch a program for generating  $m$  samples of  $\mathcal{X}$ . Explain how to determine  $E(\mathcal{X})$  and  $sd(\mathcal{X})$ , as well as the reserve from these simulations (remember to define what the reserve is).

The table below shows the expectation, standard deviation and a few percentiles of the distribution of  $\mathcal{X}$  when  $\lambda = 10$ ,  $\alpha = 3$ ,  $\beta = 0.83$ . The number of simulations was 100,000:

| $E(\mathcal{X})$ | $sd(\mathcal{X})$ | 1%  | 5%  | 25% | 50% | 75%  | 95%  | 99%  |
|------------------|-------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|------|------|
| 10.0             | 4.0               | 2.7 | 4.4 | 7.2 | 9.6 | 12.3 | 17.2 | 21.6 |

**c**

Derive expressions for  $E(\mathcal{X})$  and  $sd(\mathcal{X})$  for the given parameters (**Hint**: use the rules of double expectation and variance). Compare the exact values you get for  $E(\mathcal{X})$  and  $sd(\mathcal{X})$  with the ones from the table. Are there any signs of errors in the simulation program used for computing the values in the table?

**d**

What are the 95% and 99% reserves of the portfolio?

Suppose the portfolio is reinsured through a contract where the reinsurer responsibility per event is

$$Z^{re} = \begin{cases} 0, & Z \leq a \\ \theta(Z - a), & Z > a \end{cases},$$

where  $a, \theta > 0$  are fixed by the contract.

**e**

Modify the program in **b** so that you can determine cedent net reserve with the reinsurance reimbursement taken into account.

The cedent net responsibility  $\mathcal{X}^{ce}$  is summarized in the table below for  $a = 1$ ,  $\theta = 0.6$  and other parameters as before.

(Continued on page 3.)

|                       |                        |     |     |     |     |     |      |      |
|-----------------------|------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|------|
| $E(\mathcal{X}^{ce})$ | $sd(\mathcal{X}^{ce})$ | 1%  | 5%  | 25% | 50% | 75% | 95%  | 99%  |
| 7.7                   | 2.6                    | 2.4 | 3.7 | 5.9 | 7.5 | 9.3 | 12.1 | 14.3 |

**f**

What do the 95% and 99% cedent net reserves become with reinsurance? Comment on the difference from **d**. What is the **reinsurance** pure premium and what is the actual reinsurance premium when the loading is  $\gamma = 1$ ?

**g**

Assume that the claim numbers  $N_1, \dots, N_J$  from the  $J$  policies constituting the portfolio are independent and follow a Poisson distribution with parameter  $\mu T$ , with  $\mu$  fixed and  $T$  the time period, such that the parameter  $\lambda$  of the distribution of  $\mathcal{N} = N_1 + \dots + N_J$  is  $J\mu T$ . Use the results from **c** to show that  $\frac{sd(\mathcal{X})}{E(\mathcal{X})} \xrightarrow{J \rightarrow \infty} 0$ .

**h**

What if  $\mu$  instead is random with  $0 < E(\mu), sd(\mu) < \infty$ , and  $N_1, \dots, N_J | \mu \sim Poisson(\mu T)$ ; what is  $\lim_{J \rightarrow \infty} \frac{sd(\mathcal{X})}{E(\mathcal{X})}$  now? Comment on the difference from **g**.

## Problem 2 Life insurance

Suppose a pension starts to run at age  $l_r$ , lasting until the individual dies, with an amount  $s$  paid out at the beginning of each period. The probability of an individual of age  $l$  living at least  $k$  periods longer is  ${}_k p_l$ , and the discount per period is  $d$ .

**a**

Write down an expression for the expected present value  $\pi_{l_0}$  of such a pension for an individual at age  $l_0$  when  $l_0 < l_r$  and when  $l_0 \geq l_r$ , where you explain the different factors of the expression and how the expression is obtained.

The table below shows  $\pi_{l_0}$  when  $s = 1$ ,  $l_0 = 30$  and the age of retirement is varied between  $l_r = 62, 67, 72$ ,

$$\log({}_k p_l) = -\theta_0 k - \frac{\theta_1}{\theta_2} \left( e^{\theta_2 k} - 1 \right) e^{\theta_2 l},$$

with  $\theta_0 = 0.009$ ,  $\theta_1 = 0.000046$  and  $\theta_2 = 0.0908$  and the discount is  $d = 1/(1+r)$ , with  $r = 0.03$ . The order of the corresponding  $\pi_{l_0}$  has been changed.

|             |      |      |      |
|-------------|------|------|------|
| $l_r$       | ??   | ??   | ??   |
| $\pi_{l_0}$ | 2.23 | 3.38 | 1.37 |

(Continued on page 4.)

**b**

Identify which values of  $l_r$  correspond to those of  $\pi_{l_0}$  and justify your argument.

**c**

Assume that the pension is financed by fixed contributions  $\zeta$  at the start of each year from age  $l_0 < l_r$  up to age  $l_r - 1$ . What is the expected present value of all these payments? Explain the different factors of the expression.

**d**

What does it mean that  $\zeta$  is determined by equivalence (explain in words)? Write down a mathematical expression for it when the contributions are made at the start of each period up to one period before retirement.

### Problem 3 Financial risk

Assume that one has invested in an equity portfolio consisting of 4 stocks with corresponding returns

$$R_j = e^{\xi_j + \sigma_j \varepsilon_j} - 1, \quad \varepsilon_j \sim N(0, 1),$$

where  $\text{Cor}(\varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_j) = \rho_{ij}$ ,  $i, j = 1, \dots, 4$ , and weights  $w_1, \dots, w_4$ .

The table below shows the mean, standard deviation and some quantiles of the portfolio return  $\mathcal{R}$

| Scenario | $E(\mathcal{R})$ | $sd(\mathcal{R})$ | 1%    | 5%    | 25%   | 50%  | 75%  | 95%  | 99%  |
|----------|------------------|-------------------|-------|-------|-------|------|------|------|------|
| ??       | 0.15             | 0.18              | -0.21 | -0.12 | 0.02  | 0.14 | 0.27 | 0.48 | 0.64 |
| 1        | 0.15             | 0.29              | -0.37 | -0.26 | -0.06 | 0.12 | 0.32 | 0.69 | 1.00 |
| ??       | 0.15             | 0.27              | -0.34 | -0.23 | -0.04 | 0.12 | 0.31 | 0.64 | 0.93 |
| ??       | 0.15             | 0.15              | -0.15 | -0.07 | 0.05  | 0.14 | 0.25 | 0.41 | 0.53 |

for the following 4 scenarios:

| Scenario | Correlations                | Weights                |
|----------|-----------------------------|------------------------|
| 1        | $\rho_{ij} = 0, i \neq j$   | 1, 0, 0, 0             |
| 2        | $\rho_{ij} = 0, i \neq j$   | 0.25, 0.25, 0.25, 0.25 |
| 3        | $\rho_{ij} = 0.2, i \neq j$ | 0.25, 0.25, 0.25, 0.25 |
| 4        | $\rho_{ij} = 0.8, i \neq j$ | 0.25, 0.25, 0.25, 0.25 |

when the remaining parameters are  $\xi_j = 0.11$  and  $\sigma_j = 0.25$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, 4$ .

**a**

Identify which scenario corresponds to which row in the figure and justify your answers. Comment on the difference between the 4 investments.

(Continued on page 5.)

**b**

Assume that the actual investment is Scenario 3, and that one wishes to protect this investment with a put option on the portfolio return with guaranteed return  $r_g$ . Sketch a program for simulating the pay-off  $X = \max(r_g - \mathcal{R}, 0)$ , where you do not need to go into details on how to simulate from a multivariate normal distribution. Explain how you could use that to compute the risk-neutral price  $\pi(v_0)$  when the discount is  $e^{-r}$  during the period  $T = 1$  (remember that in the risk-neutral model,  $\xi_j = r - \frac{1}{2}\sigma_j^2$ ).

**c**

Can you think of an alternative method for computing  $\pi(v_0)$  if the actual investment is Scenario 1?

END