

# UNIVERSITY OF OSLO

## Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences

Examination in: STK4011/9011 — Suggested solution.

Day of examination: Monday December 16th 2013.

Examination hours: 09.00–13.00.

This problem set consists of 5 pages.

Appendices: Selected definitions and theorems from Casella & Berger.  
Table of common distributions from Casella & Berger.

Permitted aids: Approved calculator.

Please make sure that your copy of the problem set is complete before you attempt to answer anything.

### Problem 1

a) We have

$$\begin{aligned} f(x|p) &= P(X_i = x|p) = (1-p)^{x-1}p = \frac{p}{1-p} \exp(x \log(1-p)) \\ &= h(x)c(p) \exp(t(x)w(p)) \end{aligned}$$

with  $h(x) = 1$ ,  $c(p) = p/(1-p)$ ,  $w(p) = \log(1-p)$  and  $t(x) = x$ , thus the geometric distributions belongs to the exponential family of distributions.

The joint distribution of  $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ , can then be written as

$$f_n(\mathbf{x}|p) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i|p) = \left(\frac{p}{1-p}\right)^n \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \log(1-p)\right).$$

It follows by the factorization theorem that the statistic  $T(\mathbf{X}) = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$  is sufficient. Furthermore it is complete for the parameter  $p$  since the parameter space  $\Theta = \{p : 0 < p \leq 1\}$  contains an open set.

b) The log-likelihood for  $p$  based on  $\mathbf{X}$  is given as

$$l(p) = \log(f_n(\mathbf{X}|p)) = n \log\left(\frac{p}{1-p}\right) + \log(1-p) \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$$

and its derivative becomes

$$l'(p) = \frac{n}{p(1-p)} - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}{1-p}.$$

(Continued on page 2.)

Thus  $l'(\hat{p}) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \hat{p} = \frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i} = 1/\bar{X}$  is the MLE for  $p$ . (We should check that  $\hat{p}$  is actually a maximum, but this follows automatically since the  $X_i$  are iid from an exponential family).

Since  $\mu = E[X_i] = 1/p$  we then directly get that its MLE is given by  $\hat{\mu} = 1/\hat{p} = \bar{X}$ .

Furthermore the MLE of  $\sigma^2 = \text{Var}(X_i) = \frac{1-p}{p^2}$  becomes  $\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1-\hat{p}}{\hat{p}^2} = \bar{X}^2(1 - 1/\bar{X})$ .

Clearly  $\hat{\mu} = \bar{X}$  is unbiased,  $E[\hat{\mu}] = E[\bar{X}] = E[X_i] = \mu$ .

However,  $\hat{p} = 1/\bar{X}$  and  $\hat{\sigma}^2 = \bar{X}^2 - \bar{X}$  are biased. (For  $\hat{p}$  we can use Jensen's inequality showing  $E[\hat{p}] > 1/E\bar{X} = p$ . For  $\hat{\sigma}^2$  we get  $E[\hat{\sigma}^2] = E[\bar{X}^2] - \mu = \text{Var}[\bar{X}^2] + (E[\bar{X}])^2 - \mu = \sigma^2/n + \mu^2 - \mu = \sigma^2(1 + 1/n) > \sigma^2$ .)

c) The variance of the derivative of the log-likelihood becomes

$$\text{Var}[l'(p)] = \frac{n \text{Var}(X_i)}{(1-p)^2} = n \frac{\sigma^2}{(1-p)^2} = n \frac{1}{p^2(1-p)}$$

Thus the Cramér-Rao inequality says that for unbiased estimators  $\mu^*$  we have

$$\text{Var}[\mu^*] \geq \frac{(d\mu/dp)^2}{\text{Var}[l'(p)]} = \frac{1}{p^4} \frac{p^2(1-p)}{n} = \frac{1-p}{np^2} = \frac{\sigma^2}{n}.$$

However, the unbiased  $\hat{\mu} = \bar{X}$  has variance equal to this lower bound  $\sigma^2/n$  and is thus the uniformly minimum variance unbiased estimator (UMVUE) for  $\mu$ .

d) The estimator of  $p$  given by

$$\tilde{p} = I(X_1 = 1) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } X_1 = 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

has expectation  $E[\tilde{p}] = P(X_1 = 1) = f(1|p) = p$  and so is  $\tilde{p}$  unbiased.

An improved unbiased estimator for  $p$  can now be constructed through the Rao-Blackwell technique

$$p^* = E[\tilde{p}|T(\mathbf{X})]$$

where  $T = T(\mathbf{X}) = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$  is the sufficient statistic for  $p$  from question (a). We get

$$P(X_1 = 1|T = t) = P[X_1 = 1|T(\mathbf{X}) = t] = \frac{P(X_1 = 1, T = t)}{P(T = t)}.$$

But  $P(T = t) = \binom{t-1}{n-1} (1-p)^{t-n} p^n$ . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} P(X_1 = 1, T = t) &= P(X_1 = 1, T - X_1 = t - 1) = P(X_1 = 1)P(T - X_1 = t - 1) \\ &= p \binom{t-2}{n-2} (1-p)^{t-1-(n-1)} p^{n-1} \end{aligned}$$

(Continued on page 3.)

since  $T - X_1$  must have a negative binomial distribution with distribution  $P(T - X_1 = u) = \binom{u-1}{n-2}(1-p)^{u-(n-1)}p^{n-1}$  and is independent of  $X_1$ . Putting this together, we get

$$P(X_1 = 1|T = t) = \frac{\binom{t-2}{n-2}(1-p)^{t-1-(n-1)}p^n}{\binom{t-1}{n-1}(1-p)^{t-n}p^n} = \frac{\binom{t-2}{n-2}}{\binom{t-1}{n-1}} = \frac{n-1}{t-1}$$

In other words we have  $p^* = \frac{n-1}{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i - 1} = (1 - 1/n)/(\bar{X} - 1/n)$ .

This unbiased estimator is the uniform minimum variance unbiased estimator (UMVUE) for  $p$  since it is a function of the complete statistic  $T = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ .

- e) In question (c) we found  $\text{Var}(l'(p)) = n \frac{1}{p^2(1-p)}$ . By the large sample properties of MLE's it follows that the limit of the distribution of  $\sqrt{n}(\hat{p} - p)$  is a normal distribution with expectation zero and variance  $p^2(1-p)$ .

For (ii)  $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\mu} - \mu)$  and (iii)  $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\sigma}^2 - \sigma^2)$  we can use a Delta-method argument giving that both quantities converge in distribution to normal distributions with expectations zero and variances given by respectively for (ii)  $(d\mu/dp)^2 p^2(1-p) = (1-p)/p^2 = \sigma^2$  and for (iii)  $(d\sigma^2/dp)^2 p^2(1-p) = (1-p)^3/p^4$

This could alternatively be derived using the large sample distribution  $\sqrt{n}(\tau(\hat{p}) - \tau(p))$  for MLE  $\tau(\hat{p})$  for a parameter  $\tau(p)$ .

These expressions all depend on only  $p$  which has consistent MLE  $\hat{p} = 1/\bar{X}$ . Inserting a consistent estimate of  $p$  into these large sample variances and dividing by  $n$  we get estimators of the large sample variances of  $\hat{p}$ ,  $\hat{\mu}$  and  $\hat{\sigma}^2$ .

- f) The likelihood ratio statistic for a hypothesis

$$H_0 : p = p_0 \text{ versus } H_1 : p \neq p_0$$

for a fixed value  $0 < p_0 \leq 1$  is given as rejecting when the likelihood ratio

$$\lambda(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{L(p_0)}{L(\hat{p})}$$

(with the likelihood  $L(p) = \exp(l(p))$ ) is sufficiently small, say smaller than or equal a  $\lambda_0$ . And with level  $\alpha$  we require that  $P(\lambda(\mathbf{x}) < \lambda_0 | p = p_0) \leq \alpha$

In particular with  $n$  large we approximately have  $-2 \log(\lambda(\mathbf{x})) \sim \chi_1^2$ . The rejection region is thus given as  $R = \{\mathbf{x} : -2 \log(\lambda(\mathbf{x})) > \gamma_\alpha$  where  $P(\chi_1^2 > \gamma_\alpha) = \alpha$ .

The corresponding asymptotic  $(1 - \alpha)$  confidence region for the parameter  $p$  is then given as the set of  $p_0$  such that given  $\mathbf{x}$  and

(Continued on page 4.)

letting the likelihood ratio statistic  $-2\log(\lambda(\mathbf{x}))$  varying over  $p_0$  we have  $-2\log(\lambda(\mathbf{x})) \leq \gamma_\alpha$ .

This follows by the equivalence between testing and confidence sets (In this specific case the set is an interval).

## Problem 2

a) The joint distribution of  $X_1, X_2$  and  $X_3$  is given as

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_1, x_2, x_3) &= f(x_1|\lambda)f(x_2|2\lambda)f(x_3|3\lambda) = \frac{\lambda^{x_1}(2\lambda)^{x_2}(3\lambda)^{x_3}}{x_1!x_2!x_3!} \exp(-\lambda - 2\lambda - 3\lambda) \\ &= \frac{2^{x_2}3^{x_3}}{x_1!x_2!x_3!} \exp(-6\lambda) \exp((x_1 + x_2 + x_3) \log(\lambda)) \end{aligned}$$

which can be written on the factorization form  $h(x_1, x_2, x_3)g(x_1 + x_2 + x_3|\lambda)$ , thus  $T = X_1 + X_2 + X_3$  is a sufficient statistic for  $\lambda$ .

The log-likelihood becomes

$$l(\lambda) = \log(f(X_1, X_2, X_3)) = K + T \log(\lambda) - 6\lambda$$

which is maximized for MLE  $\hat{\lambda} = T/6$ . We can note that  $\hat{\lambda}$  is unbiased and has variance  $\text{Var}(\hat{\lambda}) = \frac{\text{Var}(T)}{6^2} = \lambda/6$ .

The Cramér-Rao inequality tells us that an unbiased estimator of  $\lambda$  has variance equal to or greater than one over the variance of the derivative of  $l(\lambda)$ . However, this derivative equals  $l'(\lambda) = T/\lambda - 6$  and has variance  $6/\lambda$ . Thus  $\hat{\lambda}$  has variance equal to the Cramér-Rao lower bound and no unbiased estimator can have a smaller variance. In conclusion  $\hat{\lambda}$  is UMVUE.

b) Given observed values  $\mathbf{x}$  of the random variable  $\mathbf{X}$  the lambda has a posterior distribution

$$\pi(\lambda|\mathbf{x}) \propto f(\mathbf{x}|\lambda)\pi(\lambda|\alpha, \beta) \propto \lambda^{\alpha+t-1} \exp(-\lambda(\frac{1}{\beta} + 6))$$

where  $t = x_1 + x_2 + x_3$ . This implies that  $\lambda|\mathbf{x}$  follows a gamma-distribution with parameters  $\alpha' = \alpha + t$  and  $\beta' = 1/(6 + 1/\beta)$  and that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\lambda} &= \text{E}[\lambda|\mathbf{x}] = \frac{(\alpha+t)}{6+1/\beta} \\ \tilde{\sigma}^2 &= \text{Var}[\lambda|\mathbf{x}] = \frac{(\alpha+t)}{(6+1/\beta)^2} \end{aligned}$$

When (i)  $\alpha \rightarrow 0, \beta \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\alpha\beta = \mu$ , we see that  $\tilde{\lambda} \rightarrow t/6 = \hat{\lambda}$ , i.e. the MLE and  $\tilde{\sigma}^2 \rightarrow t/6^2$

When (ii)  $\alpha \rightarrow \infty, \beta \rightarrow 0$  and  $\alpha\beta = \mu$  then  $\tilde{\lambda} \rightarrow \alpha\beta = \mu$ , i.e. the prior mean and  $\tilde{\sigma}^2 \rightarrow 0$ .

Thus under (i) the influence of the prior becomes infinitely small and the posterior mean converges to MLE with its variance estimate

(Continued on page 5.)

$\hat{\lambda}/6 = t/36$  and under (ii) the influence of the prior becomes infinitely large, thus the mean and variance of the posterior becomes the same as that of the prior.

### Problem 3

#### Only STK9011

The incomplete data likelihood is given by

$$L_Y(\lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^n P(Y_i = 0|\lambda)^{1-Y_i} P(Y_i = 1|\lambda)^{Y_i} = \exp(-\lambda \sum_{i=1}^n z_i * (1-Y_i)) \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - \exp(-\lambda z_i))^{Y_i}$$

The complete data log-likelihood is given as  $l_X(\lambda) = n \log(\lambda) - \lambda \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ .

The EM-algorithm for obtaining the MLE of  $\lambda$  based on  $\mathbf{Y}$  using the complete data log-likelihood  $l_X(\lambda)$  is given by the two steps

- (i) E-step: Given current estimate  $\lambda'$  of  $\lambda$  and incomplete data  $\mathbf{Y}$  find  $E[l_X(\lambda)|\lambda', \mathbf{Y}] = n \log(\lambda) - \lambda \sum_{i=1}^n E[X_i|\lambda', \mathbf{Y}]$  and
- (ii) M-step maximize  $E[l_X(\lambda)|\lambda', \mathbf{Y}]$  over  $\lambda$  to obtain updated estimate  $\lambda'' = n / \sum_{i=1}^n E[X_i|\lambda', \mathbf{Y}]$ .

For the E-step note that when  $Y_i = 0, X_i > z_i$

$$E[X_i|\lambda', \mathbf{Y}] = E[X_i|\lambda', Y_i = 0] = z_i + 1/\lambda'$$

since  $X_i - \lambda'|X_i > \lambda' \sim \exp(\lambda')$ . When  $Y_i = 1, X_i < z_i$

$$E[X_i|\lambda', \mathbf{Y}] = E[X_i|\lambda', Y_i = 1] = \frac{\int_0^{z_i} x \lambda' \exp(-\lambda' x) dx}{1 - \exp(-\lambda' z_i)} = \frac{1 - \lambda' z_i \exp(-\lambda' z_i) - \exp(-\lambda' z_i)}{\lambda'(1 - \exp(-\lambda' z_i))}$$

END