

## MAT4300: Solutions to the exam fall 2010

**Problem 1:** The function  $|f|$  is integrable, and  $|\mathbf{1}_{[-n,n]}f|$  is bounded by  $|f|$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence by the Lebesgue's Dominated Convergence Theorem

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{[-n,n]} f \, d\lambda = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int \mathbf{1}_{[-n,n]} f \, d\lambda = \int \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{1}_{[-n,n]} f \, d\lambda = \int f \, d\lambda$$

**Problem 2:** a) For  $n = 1$  there is nothing to prove, and for  $n = 2$  this is just property (ii) in the definition. Assume the property holds for  $n = k$ , we shall show that it holds for  $n = k + 1$ :

$$\begin{aligned} I(f_1 + f_2 + \cdots + f_{k+1}) &= I((f_1 + f_2 + \cdots + f_k) + f_{k+1}) = \\ &= I(f_1 + f_2 + \cdots + f_k) + I(f_{k+1}) = I(f_1) + I(f_2) + \cdots + I(f_k) + I(f_{k+1}) \end{aligned}$$

where we first used property (ii) and then the induction hypothesis.

b) Since  $g - f \in \mathcal{M}^+$ , we have

$$I(g) = I((g - f) + f) = I(g - f) + I(f) \geq I(f)$$

since  $I(g - f) \geq 0$ .

c) We have to check that  $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$  and that  $\mu(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_n) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mu(E_n)$  for all disjoint sequences  $\{E_n\}$  of sets from  $\mathcal{A}$ .

For the first part, observe that

$$\mu(\emptyset) = I(\mathbf{1}_\emptyset) = I(0 \cdot \mathbf{1}_\emptyset) = 0 \cdot I(\mathbf{1}_\emptyset) = 0$$

where we have used property (i). For the second part, we note that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu\left(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_n\right) &= I(\mathbf{1}_{\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_n}) = I\left(\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{1}_{\bigcup_{n=1}^N E_n}\right) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} I(\mathbf{1}_{\bigcup_{n=1}^N E_n}) = \\ &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} I\left(\sum_{n=1}^N \mathbf{1}_{E_n}\right) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=1}^N I(\mathbf{1}_{E_n}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} I(\mathbf{1}_{E_n}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_n) \end{aligned}$$

where we have used property (iii) to pull the limit outside  $I$  and part a) to get  $I$  inside the finite sum.

d) If  $f = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \mathbf{1}_{E_i}$  is a positive, simple function

$$I(f) = I\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \mathbf{1}_{E_i}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n I(\alpha_i \mathbf{1}_{E_i}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i I(\mathbf{1}_{E_i}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \mu(E_i) = \int f \, d\mu$$

where we have used a), (i), c) and the definition of the integral for simple functions.

e) Let  $\{f_n\}$  be an increasing sequence of simple functions converging to  $f$ . By Beppo Levi's Theorem,  $\int f d\mu = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int f_n d\mu$  and by property (iii),  $I(f) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I(f_n)$ . By the previous point,  $\int f_n d\mu = I(f_n)$  and hence  $\int f d\mu = I(f)$

**Problem 3:** a) The sets are equal if  $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{b}$ . If one of the sequences is an extension of the other, then the set belonging to the the longer sequence is contained in the other. The only remaining possibility is that  $a_i \neq b_i$  for some  $i$ , and in this case  $C_{\mathbf{a}}$  and  $C_{\mathbf{b}}$  are disjoint.

b) We have to check the three points in the definition of a semi-ring:

- (i)  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{C}$  by definition.
- (ii) Assume  $S, T \in \mathcal{C}$ . According to a), the intersection  $S \cap T$  is either empty or equal to either  $S$  or  $T$ . In both cases  $S \cap T \in \mathcal{C}$ .
- (iii) Assume  $S, T \in \mathcal{C}$ . The only situation in a) where  $S \setminus T$  is nonempty, is when  $T$  is contained in  $S$ . In this case  $S = C_{\mathbf{a}}$  and  $T = C_{\mathbf{b}}$  where  $\mathbf{b}$  is an extension of  $\mathbf{a}$ . But then

$$S \setminus T = \bigcup_{\mathbf{e} \in E} C_{\mathbf{e}}$$

where  $E$  is the set of all other extensions of  $\mathbf{a}$  with the same length as  $\mathbf{b}$ .

c) Obviously,  $C_{\mathbf{a}} = C_{\mathbf{a}0} \cup C_{\mathbf{a}1}$ . Any other cylinder set contained in  $C_{\mathbf{a}}$  must be properly contained in either  $C_{\mathbf{a}0}$  or  $C_{\mathbf{a}1}$ , and cannot make up all of  $C_{\mathbf{a}}$  with just one other cylinder set.

d) From c) we know that if  $C = C_{\mathbf{a}}$ , then  $D$  and  $E$  must be  $C_{\mathbf{a}0}$  and  $C_{\mathbf{a}1}$ . If  $\mathbf{a}$  has length  $n$ , then  $\rho(C) = 2^{-n}$ ,  $\rho(D) = 2^{-(n+1)}$ ,  $\rho(E) = 2^{-(n+1)}$ , and hence  $\rho(C) = \rho(D) + \rho(E)$ .

For the general case, we use the induction hypothesis:

*P(k): If a cylinder set  $C$  is the disjoint union of  $k$  or fewer cylinder sets  $C_1, C_2, \dots, C_i$ , then  $\rho(C) = \rho(C_1) + \rho(C_2) + \dots + \rho(C_i)$*

We have already seen that  $P(2)$  holds. Assume that  $P(k)$  holds, and that the cylinder set  $C$  is the union of  $k + 1$  cylinder set:

$$C = C_1 \cup C_2 \cup \dots \cup C_{k+1}$$

If  $C = C_{\mathbf{a}}$ , the sets  $C_1, C_2, \dots, C_{k+1}$  fall into two groups; those that are subsets of  $C_{\mathbf{a}0}$ , and those that are subsets of  $C_{\mathbf{a}1}$ . In each category, there

are  $k$  or less sets, and by the induction hypothesis,  $\rho(C_{\mathbf{a}0})$  and  $\rho(C_{\mathbf{a}1})$  are the sum of  $\rho$  applied to their respective subsets. But then

$$\rho(C_{\mathbf{a}}) = \rho(C_{\mathbf{a}0}) + \rho(C_{\mathbf{a}1}) = \rho(C_1) + \rho(C_2) + \cdots + \rho(C_{k+1})$$

e) By Caratheodory's Extension Theorem we only need to check that  $\rho(\emptyset) = 0$  and that whenever a disjoint, countable union  $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} C_n$  of sets in  $\mathcal{C}$  happens to be in  $\mathcal{C}$ , then

$$\rho\left(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} C_n\right) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \rho(C_n)$$

The first condition is part of the definition of  $\rho$ , and the second follows from the claim and the previous point since a disjoint union  $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} C_n$  can only belong to  $\mathcal{C}$  when it is actually finite.

f) Assume we have a potential winner  $\mathbf{a}$  with extensions in  $C \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^N C_n$  for all  $N$ . Then either  $\mathbf{a}0$  or  $\mathbf{a}1$  (or both) must be a potential winner — if not, there would be numbers  $N_0, N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\mathbf{a}0$  had no extensions in  $C \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^{N_0} C_n$  and  $\mathbf{a}1$  had no extensions in  $C \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^{N_1} C_n$ , and then  $\mathbf{a}$  would have no extensions in  $C \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^N C_n$  where  $N = \max\{N_0, N_1\}$ . Using this argument inductively, we get a sequence of potential winners,  $\{\mathbf{a}_k\}$ , each extending the previous. This sequence defines an element  $\mathbf{a} = \{a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots\} \in X$ . For all  $N$ ,  $\mathbf{a} \in C \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^N C_n$  (this is because each  $\mathbf{a}_k$  has extensions in  $C \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^N C_n$ , and since  $C, C_1, \dots, C_n$  are cylinder sets, all sequences that agree on sufficiently large initial segments, are either both inside  $C \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^N C_n$  or both outside). Consequently,  $\mathbf{a} \in C \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n$  and we have our contradiction.