Solution to exam in MAT3400/4400, Linear analysis with applications. Exam date Monday, December 5, 2011.

**Problem 1.** The *n*-th Fourier coefficient of f for  $n \neq 0$  is

$$c_{n}(f) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x)e_{-n}(x)dx = \int_{-\pi}^{0} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}(-e^{-inx})dx$$
$$= -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{0}^{\pi} e^{inx}dx = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left[\frac{e^{inx}}{in}\right]_{0}^{\pi}$$
$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}in}(1 - \cos(n\pi))$$
$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}in}(1 - (-1)^{n})$$
$$= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n = 2k, k \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi}in} & \text{if } n = 2k + 1, k \in \mathbb{Z}. \end{cases}$$

We have  $c_0(f) = \int_{-\pi}^0 \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} (-1) dx = -\frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{2}$ . The Fourier series is

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} c_n(f) e_n(x) = c_0(f) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n(f) e_n(x) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_{-n}(f) e_{-n}(x)$$
$$= -\frac{1}{2} + \sum_{n=1,n \text{ odd}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\pi i n} (e^{inx} - e^{-inx})$$
$$= -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\sin(2k+1)x}{2k+1}.$$

**Problem 2.** The operator K is an integral operator where the kernel  $\kappa(x,y)$  is a continuous function on  $[0,1] \times [0,1]$  such that  $\kappa(y,x) =$  $\kappa(x,y)$ . By the spectral theorem for compact self-adjoint operators on Hilbert space we know that the eigenvalues of K are real. We need to find the real numbers  $\alpha$  for which  $Kf = \alpha f$  has non-trivial solutions. Now  $Kf = \alpha f \iff Kf(x) = \alpha f(x)$  for all  $x \in [0, 1]$  so, equivalently, we solve  $\frac{1}{3} \int_0^1 f(y) dy - x \int_0^1 y f(y) dy = \alpha f(x)$  for all  $x \in [0, 1]$ . Denote  $c_1 = \int_0^1 f(y) dy$  and  $c_2 = \int_0^1 y f(y) dy$ . Thus need to find f different from the zero-function such that  $\frac{1}{3}c_1 - c_2x = \alpha f(x)$ , for all x.

Suppose first  $\alpha \neq 0$ . Then f(x) has form  $-c_2/\alpha x + c_1/3\alpha$ . Inserting this expression for f in  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  gives

$$c_1 = \int_0^1 f(y)dy = \int_0^1 (-\frac{c_2}{\alpha}y)dy + \int_0^1 \frac{c_1}{3\alpha} = -\frac{c_2}{2\alpha} + \frac{c_1}{3\alpha}$$

and

$$c_2 = \int_0^1 y f(y) dy = -\frac{c_2}{3\alpha} + \frac{c_1}{6\alpha}.$$

We obtain a system of equations

$$c_1(1 - \frac{1}{3\alpha}) + c_2 \frac{1}{2\alpha} = 0$$
  
$$c_1 \frac{1}{6\alpha} - c_2(\frac{1}{3\alpha} + 1) = 0.$$

We want to find f different from the zero function so we look for nontrivial constants  $c_1, c_2$ . The homogeneous system above has a nonzero solution if and only if the determinant is 0. This condition gives  $36\alpha^2 = 1$ , so possible values of  $\alpha$  that give non-zero f are  $\alpha_1 = 1/6$ and  $\alpha_2 = -1/6$ .

The case  $\alpha_1 = 1/6$  gives  $c_1 = 3c_2$  so eigenvectors for  $\alpha_1$  are functions of the form  $f(x) = 6c_2(-x+1)$  with  $c_2 \neq 0$ . The case  $\alpha = -1/6$  gives  $c_1 = c_2$  so eigenvectors are  $f(x) = 2c_2(3x-1)$  with  $c_1 \neq 0$ .

Now suppose  $\alpha = 0$ . Then Kf(x) = 0 for all  $x \in [0, 1]$  implies  $\frac{1}{3}c_1 - xc_2 = 0$  for all  $x \in [0, 1]$ , so taking x = 0 then x = 1 gives  $c_1 = c_2 = 0$ . If we let g(y) = y be the identity function on [0, 1], we have found that any non-zero f in the orthogonal complement of span $\{1, g\}$  is an eigenvector corresponding to 0.

For problem 2b, note that since 1 is not an eigenvalue for K, by the Fredholm alternative the equation f = Kf + g has a unique solution for each  $g \in H$ .

## Problem 3.

For problem 3a we multiply u on both sides of  $Lu = \alpha u$ . This gives the equation  $uu'' + \alpha u^2 - qu^2 = 0$ . We integrate this equation from 0 to 1 and use the assumption  $u(1)u'(1) - u(0)u'(0) \leq 0$  to get exactly the claimed inequality. Since  $q(x) \geq 0$  for all  $x \in [0, 1]$  it follows that  $\alpha \geq 0$ .

For 3b we have Lu = -u'' on  $\mathcal{D}(L) = \{u \in C^2([0,1]) \mid u'(0) = 0, u'(1) = 0\} \subset L^2([0,1])$ . A real number  $\alpha$  is eigenvalue for L if  $Lu = \alpha u$ , equivalently  $u'' + \alpha u = 0$ . The characteristic equation is  $r^2 + \alpha = 0$ . The discriminant is  $-4\alpha$ . By 3a, we know that  $\alpha \geq 0$ .

Case 1:  $\alpha = 0$ . Then r = 0, so the solutions are of form u(x) = A + Bx, and  $u \in \mathcal{D}(L)$  implies B = u'(0) = 0. Hence u(x) = 1 is normalised eigenvector corresponding to  $\alpha = 0$ .

Case 2:  $\alpha > 0$ . The solutions of  $r^2 + \alpha = 0$  are  $i\sqrt{\alpha}$  and  $-i\sqrt{\alpha}$ , so  $u(x) = A \cos \sqrt{\alpha}x + B \sin \sqrt{\alpha}x$ . Then u'(0) = 0 gives B = 0, so  $u(x) = A \cos \sqrt{\alpha}x$ . We look for  $u \neq 0$  so from u'(1) = 0 we get  $\sin \sqrt{\alpha} = 0$ . Thus  $\sqrt{\alpha} \in \{n\pi : n > 0\}$ . We obtain  $\alpha_n = (n\pi)^2$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \ldots$  as non-zero eigenvalues of L. A normalized eigenvector for  $\alpha_n$  is  $u_n(x) = \sqrt{2} \cos(n\pi x)$ .

## Problem 4.

Problem 4a: Since g is continuous on  $(0, \infty)$  it is Borel measurable. For a > 0 write  $(0, a] = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} [\frac{1}{n}, a]$ . The function  $\chi_{[\frac{1}{n}, a]}g$  for  $n \ge 1$  is measurable and non-negative. The sequence  $\chi_{[\frac{1}{n}, a]}g$  is increasing with pointwise limit the function  $g\chi_{(0,a]}$ . By the monotone convergence theorem we have

$$\int_{(0,a]} gd\lambda = \lim_n \int \chi_{\left[\frac{1}{n},a\right]} gd\lambda = \lim_n \int_{\frac{1}{n}}^a g(t)dt = \lim_n \left(\frac{a^p}{p} - \frac{1}{pn^p}\right),$$

which is  $a^p/p$ , as wanted. We used that for the continuous function g on bounded intervals  $\left[\frac{1}{n}, a\right]$ , the Lebesgue integral is the same as the Riemann integral.

Problem 4b: In case a = 0, so that f = 0, we have  $\phi_f(t) = \mu(\{x : 0 > t\}) = \mu(\emptyset) = 0$ . Since also  $\chi_{(0,a)} = \chi_{\emptyset} = 0$ , the two claimed identities are valid. For a > 0 we have

$$\{x \in X : f(x) > t\} = \{x \in X : \chi_A(x) > \frac{t}{a}\} = \begin{cases} A & \text{if } 0 < t < a \\ 0 & \text{if } a \le t. \end{cases}$$

This shows  $\phi_f(t) = \mu(A)\chi_{(0,a)}$ . The second identity follows from 4a and the computations

$$p\int_{(0,\infty)} g\phi_f d\lambda = p \int \chi_{(0,\infty)} g\mu(A)\chi_{(0,a)} d\lambda = p\mu(A) \int g\chi_{(0,a)} d\lambda$$
$$= p\mu(A) \int g\chi_{(0,a]} d\lambda = a^p \mu(A).$$

Problem 4c: If f = 0 then  $\phi_f = 0$  as in problem 4b. Then  $\int_{(0,\infty)} g\phi_f d\lambda = 0 = \int f^p d\mu$ . Assume f is not the zero-function. Then

$$\{x \in X : f(x) > t\} = \{x : \sum_{j=1}^{m} a_j \chi_{A_j}(x) > t\} = \bigcup_{j=1}^{m} \{x \in X : a_j \chi_{A_j}(x) > t\}.$$

If we denote  $B_j = \{x \in X : a_j \chi_{A_j}(x) > t\}$ , then  $B_j = \emptyset$  if  $a_j = 0$  or  $0 < a_j \le t$  and  $B_j = A_j$  if  $0 < t < a_j$ . In particular,  $B_j \cap B_k = \emptyset$  if  $j \ne k$ . By problem 4b we have  $\phi_{f_j} = 0$  if  $a_j = 0$  and  $\phi_{f_j} = \mu(A_j)\chi_{(0,a_j)}$  if  $a_j > 0$ . Since  $\mu$  is additive, we get

$$\phi_f(t) = \mu(\bigcup_{j=1}^m B_j) = \sum_{j=1}^m \mu(B_j) = \sum_{j=1}^m \phi_{f_j}(t).$$

This shows that  $\phi_f$  is Borel measurable (because sums of measurable functions are again measurable). By linearity of integral and part 4b we also get

$$p\int_{(0,\infty)}g\phi_f d\lambda = \sum_{j=1}^m p\int_{(0,\infty)}g\phi_{f_j} d\lambda = \sum_{j=1}^m a_j^p \mu(A_j),$$

which is exactly  $\int_X f^p d\mu$  by the definition of the integral.

Problem 4d: Let  $\{f_n\}_{n\geq 1}$  be a sequence of simple, nonnegative measurable functions such that  $f_n \leq f_{n+1}$  for all n and  $f_n \to f$ . Then

also  $f_n^p$  increases to  $f^p$  because  $p \ge 1$ . We claim that the sequence  $\phi_{f_n}$  increases to  $\phi_f$ . Since for every t > 0 we have

$$B_n = \{x \in X : f_n(x) > t\} \subset \{x \in X : f_{n+1}(x) > t\} = B_{n+1}$$

and  $\mu$  is a measure, it follows that  $\phi_{f_n}(t) \leq \phi_{f_{n+1}}(t)$  for all  $n \geq 1$  and all t. Since also  $f_n \leq f$  we get similarly that  $\phi_{f_n} \leq \phi_f$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . Thus  $\lim \phi_{f_n} \leq \phi_f$ .

Let  $t \in (0, \infty)$ . Let  $x \in X$  such that f(x) > t. Since  $f_n(x) \to f(x)$  there must be an  $n \ge 1$  such that  $t < f_n(x) < f(x)$  (otherwise,  $\lim_n f_n(x) \le t$ ). So  $x \in \bigcup_n B_n$ . It follows that  $\{x \in X : f(x) > t\} \subset \bigcup_n B_n$ . Hence, by continuity of  $\mu$ , we have

$$\phi_f(t) = \mu(\{x \in X : f(x) > t\}) \le \mu(\cup_n B_n) = \lim_n \mu(B_n) = \lim_n \phi_{f_n}(t).$$

It follows that  $\phi_f \leq \lim \phi_{f_n}$ , so in fact  $\phi_f = \lim \phi_{f_n}$ . Since each  $\phi_{f_n}$  is Borel measurable by 4c, so must  $\phi_f$  be. Note that  $g\phi_f = \lim g\phi_{f_n}$ . Now (1) follows from two applications of the monotone convergence theorem (in two different measurable spaces) and 4c:

$$\int_{x} f^{p} d\mu = \lim_{n} \int_{X} f_{n}^{p} d\mu$$
$$= \lim_{n} p \int_{(0,\infty)} g\phi_{f_{n}} d\lambda$$
$$= p \int_{(0,\infty)} \lim_{n} g\phi_{f_{n}} d\lambda$$
$$= p \int_{(0,\infty)} g\phi_{f} d\lambda.$$