

Black-Scholes Model and Risk Neutral Pricing

1. See Benth's book: Exercise 4.4, page 137.
2. See Benth's book: page 113.
3. See Benth's book: Exercise 4.8, pages 140-141.
4. See Benth's book: Exercises 4.9 and 4.12, pages 142-143.
5. (a) Consider the process $Z_t = \log(S_t)$. Taking into account that $\partial_x \log(x) = 1/x$, $\partial_{xx} \log(x) = -1/x^2$ and

$$\begin{aligned}dS_t &= S_t \mu(t) dt + S_t \sigma(t) dW_t, \\(dS_t)^2 &= S_t^2 \sigma^2(t) dt,\end{aligned}$$

we can apply Itô's formula to get that

$$Z_t = \log(S_0) + \int_0^t \mu(s) ds + \int_0^t \sigma(s) dW_s - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \sigma^2(s) ds.$$

Taking the exponential we obtain the result

$$S_t = \exp(Z_t) = S_0 \exp\left(\int_0^t \mu(s) ds + \int_0^t \sigma(s) dW_s - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \sigma^2(s) ds\right).$$

- (b) Consider the process

$$M_t = \exp\left(-\int_0^t \frac{\mu(s) - r(s)}{\sigma(s)} dW_s - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \left(\frac{\mu(s) - r(s)}{\sigma(s)}\right)^2 ds\right), \quad t \in [0, T].$$

Note that, as $\min_{t \in [0, T]} \sigma(t) > \sigma^* > 0$, we have that $\frac{\mu(t) - r(t)}{\sigma(t)} \in L^2([0, T])$ and, by exercise 4. e) in List 2, M_t is a martingale under P . Alternatively, we can use Novikov's theorem because

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(\frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \left(\frac{\mu(t) - r(t)}{\sigma(t)}\right)^2 dt\right)\right] \\&= \exp\left(\frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \left(\frac{\mu(t) - r(t)}{\sigma(t)}\right)^2 dt\right) < \infty,\end{aligned}$$

due to the fact that $\mu(t)$, $r(t)$ and $\sigma(t)$ are deterministic and $\frac{\mu(t) - r(t)}{\sigma(t)} \in L^2([0, T])$. Therefore, according to Girsanov's theorem, we can define a probability measure Q by considering the following Radon-Nikodym derivative with respect to P

$$\frac{dQ}{dP} = M_T = \exp\left(-\int_0^T \frac{\mu(t) - r(t)}{\sigma(t)} dW_t - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \left(\frac{\mu(t) - r(t)}{\sigma(t)}\right)^2 dt\right).$$

Note that, as $M_T > 0$, Q is actually equivalent to P . In addition, also by Girsanov's theorem, we have that the process

$$\tilde{W}_t = \int_0^t \frac{\mu(s) - r(s)}{\sigma(s)} ds + W_t,$$

is a Brownian motion under Q . Rewriting the dynamics of S_t in terms of \tilde{W} we get that

$$\begin{aligned} dS_t &= S_t \mu(t) dt + S_t \sigma(t) dW_t \\ &= S_t \mu(t) dt + S_t \sigma(t) \left(d\tilde{W}_t - \frac{\mu(t) - r(t)}{\sigma(t)} dt \right) \\ &= S_t r(t) dt + S_t \sigma(t) d\tilde{W}_t. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, $B_t = \exp\left(\int_0^t r(s) ds\right)$ which yields $B_t^{-1} = \exp\left(-\int_0^t r(s) ds\right)$ and

$$dB_t^{-1} = -r(t) B_t^{-1} dt.$$

Hence, by the integration by parts formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(\tilde{S}_t) &= d(B_t^{-1} S_t) = B_t^{-1} dS_t + S_t dB_t^{-1} + \overbrace{(dB_t^{-1})(dS_t)}^{=0} \\ &= B_t^{-1} \left\{ S_t r(t) dt + S_t \sigma(t) d\tilde{W}_t \right\} + S_t \left\{ -r(t) B_t^{-1} dt \right\} = \tilde{S}_t \sigma(t) d\tilde{W}_t. \end{aligned}$$

As \tilde{W} is a B.M. under Q , we get that

$$\tilde{S}_t = S_0 \exp\left(\int_0^t \sigma(s) d\tilde{W}_s - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \sigma^2(s) ds\right),$$

is a martingale under Q . Alternatively, one can prove that $\tilde{S}_t \sigma(t)$ belongs to $L_{a,T}^2$.

- (c) By assumption, we have that $\tilde{V}_t(\phi)$ is a martingale under Q and $V_T(\phi) = \max(0, S_T - K)$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \exp\left(-\int_0^t r(s) ds\right) V_t(\phi) &= \tilde{V}_t(\phi) = \mathbb{E}_Q[\tilde{V}_T(\phi) | \mathcal{F}_t] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_Q\left[\max(0, S_T - K) \exp\left(-\int_0^T r(s) ds\right) | \mathcal{F}_t\right], \end{aligned}$$

which yields

$$V_t(\phi) = \mathbb{E}_Q\left[\max(0, S_T - K) \exp\left(-\int_t^T r(s) ds\right) | \mathcal{F}_t\right]. \quad (1)$$

Using the expression for S_t in section a) and rewriting it in terms of \tilde{W} we can write

$$S_T = S_t \exp\left(\int_t^T r(s) ds + \int_t^T \sigma(s) d\tilde{W}_s - \frac{1}{2} \int_t^T \sigma^2(s) ds\right),$$

or, alternatively,

$$S_T \exp\left(-\int_t^T r(s) ds\right) = S_t \exp\left(\int_t^T \sigma(s) d\tilde{W}_s - \frac{1}{2} \int_t^T \sigma^2(s) ds\right).$$

Plugging this expression in equation (1) we obtain

$$V_t(\phi) = \mathbb{E}_Q\left[\max\left(0, S_t \exp\left(\int_t^T \sigma(s) d\tilde{W}_s - \frac{1}{2} \int_t^T \sigma^2(s) ds\right) - K \exp\left(-\int_t^T r(s) ds\right)\right) | \mathcal{F}_t\right].$$

Recall the following general property of the conditional expectation. Let X be a \mathcal{G} -measurable random variable and Y be a random variable independent of \mathcal{G} , then for any Borel measurable function Ψ such that $\mathbb{E}[|\Psi(X, Y)|] < \infty$ we have that

$$\mathbb{E}[\Psi(X, Y)|\mathcal{G}] = \mathbb{E}[\Psi(x, Y)]|_{x=X}.$$

Applying this property to $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{F}_t$, $X = S_t$, $Y = \exp\left(\int_t^T \sigma(s)d\tilde{W}_s\right)$ and

$$\Psi(x, y) = \max\left(0, x \exp\left(y - \frac{1}{2} \int_t^T \sigma^2(s)ds\right) - K \exp\left(-\int_t^T r(s)ds\right)\right),$$

we get $V_t(\phi) = F(t, S_t)$ where

$$F(t, x) = \mathbb{E}_Q \left[\max\left(0, x \exp\left(\int_t^T \sigma(s)d\tilde{W}_s - \frac{1}{2} \int_t^T \sigma^2(s)ds\right) - K \exp\left(-\int_t^T r(s)ds\right)\right) \right].$$

Note that $\mathbb{F}^W = \mathbb{F}^{\tilde{W}}$ and $\int_t^T \sigma(s)d\tilde{W}_s$ is independent of \mathcal{F}_t because \tilde{W} has independent increments under Q .

- (d) In order to find an explicit expression for $F(t, x)$, note that $\int_t^T \sigma(s)d\tilde{W}_s \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \int_t^T \sigma^2(s)ds)$ under Q . Define

$$\sigma^2(t, T) = \int_t^T \sigma^2(s)ds, \quad r(t, T) = \int_t^T r(s)ds.$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} F(t, x) &= \mathbb{E}_Q \left[\max\left(0, x \exp\left(\int_t^T \sigma(s)d\tilde{W}_s - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2(t, T)\right) - K e^{-r(t, T)}\right) \right] \\ &= e^{-r(t, T)} \mathbb{E}_Q \left[\max\left(0, \exp\left(\int_t^T \sigma(s)d\tilde{W}_s + \log(x) + r(t, T) - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2(t, T)\right) - K\right) \right] \\ &= e^{-r(t, T)} \mathbb{E}_Q [\max(0, Z - K)], \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\log(Z) \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\log(x) + r(t, T) - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2(t, T), \sigma^2(t, T)\right),$$

under Q . Hence, we can use the Black-Scholes formulae to obtain

$$F(t, x) = x\Phi(d_1) - K e^{-r(t, T)}\Phi(d_2),$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} d_1 &= \frac{\log\left(\frac{x}{K}\right) + r(t, T) + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2(t, T)}{\sqrt{\sigma^2(t, T)}}, \\ d_2 &= \frac{\log\left(\frac{x}{K}\right) + r(t, T) - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2(t, T)}{\sqrt{\sigma^2(t, T)}} \end{aligned}$$

6. By the risk neutral pricing formula we get, as $\mathcal{F}_0 = \{\Omega, \emptyset\}$, that

$$\pi_0(H) = e^{-rT} \mathbb{E}_Q \left[\max\left(\left(\prod_{i=1}^n S_{t_i}\right)^{1/n} - K, 0\right) \right],$$

where Q is the unique risk-neutral probability measure in the Black-Scholes model. That is, the probability measure given by

$$\frac{dQ}{dP} = \exp\left(-\frac{u-r}{\sigma} W_T - \left(\frac{u-r}{\sigma}\right)^2 T\right).$$

Recall that S_t can be written as

$$S_t = S_0 \exp \left(\sigma \tilde{W}_t + \left(r - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \right) t \right),$$

where \tilde{W} is a Brownian motion under Q . Moreover, we have the recursion

$$\begin{aligned} S_{t_i} &= S_0 \exp \left(\sigma \tilde{W}_{t_i} + \left(r - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \right) t_i \right) \\ &= S_0 \exp \left(\sigma \tilde{W}_{t_{i-1}} + \left(r - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \right) t_{i-1} \right) \\ &\quad \times \exp \left(\sigma \left(\tilde{W}_{t_i} - \tilde{W}_{t_{i-1}} \right) + \left(r - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \right) (t_i - t_{i-1}) \right) \\ &= S_{t_{i-1}} \exp \left(\Delta \tilde{W}_i + \left(r - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \right) \Delta t_i \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $\Delta \tilde{W}_i \triangleq \tilde{W}_{t_i} - \tilde{W}_{t_{i-1}}$ and $\Delta t_i \triangleq t_i - t_{i-1}$. Iterating this recursion we get

$$S_{t_i} = S_0 \prod_{k=1}^i \exp \left(\Delta \tilde{W}_k + \left(r - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \right) \Delta t_k \right),$$

and

$$\prod_{i=1}^n S_{t_i} = S_0 \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{k=1}^i \exp \left(\Delta \tilde{W}_k + \left(r - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \right) \Delta t_k \right).$$

Taking logarithm we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} Z &\triangleq \log \left(\left(\prod_{i=1}^n S_{t_i} \right)^{1/n} \right) = \log(S_0) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^i \left\{ \Delta \tilde{W}_k + \left(r - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \right) \Delta t_k \right\} \\ &= \log(S_0) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (n-i+1) \Delta \tilde{W}_i + \frac{1}{n} \left(r - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \right) \sum_{i=1}^n (n-i+1) \Delta t_i. \end{aligned}$$

Obviously, under Q , Z has normal distribution with

$$\mathbb{E}[Z] = \log(S_0) + \frac{1}{n} \left(r - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \right) \sum_{i=1}^n (n-i+1) \Delta t_i$$

and

$$\text{Var}[Z] = \text{Var} \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (n-i+1) \Delta \tilde{W}_i \right] = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (n-i+1)^2 \Delta t_i,$$

where to compute the variance we have used that $\Delta \tilde{W}_i$ are independent of each other. Hence, we have reduced the problem to compute

$$\pi_0(H) = e^{-rT} \mathbb{E} \left[\max(e^Z - K, 0) \right],$$

where

$$Z \sim \mathcal{N} \left(\log(S_0) + \frac{1}{n} \left(r - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \right) \sum_{i=1}^n (n-i+1) \Delta t_i, \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (n-i+1)^2 \Delta t_i \right).$$

A formula for this expectation is given in the solution of exercise 33 in List 1.

7. These contingent claims are examples of the so called *packages*, which are linear combinations of simpler options and positions in cash. Let $C(t, S_t; K)$ denote the arbitrage free price at time t of a call option with strike K (and exercise time T).

(a) The payoff $H_1 = \min(\max(S_T, K_1), K_2)$ with $K_2 > K_1 > 0$ can be rewritten as

$$H_1 = K_1 + \max(0, S_T - K_1) - \max(0, S_T - K_2).$$

Using the risk-neutral pricing formula we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_t(H_1) &= e^{-r(T-t)} \mathbb{E}_Q[H_1 | \mathcal{F}_t] \\ &= e^{-r(T-t)} \mathbb{E}_Q[K_1 + \max(0, S_T - K_1) - \max(0, S_T - K_2) | \mathcal{F}_t] \\ &= e^{-r(T-t)} K_1 + e^{-r(T-t)} \mathbb{E}_Q[\max(0, S_T - K_1) | \mathcal{F}_t] \\ &\quad - e^{-r(T-t)} \mathbb{E}_Q[\max(0, S_T - K_2) | \mathcal{F}_t] \\ &= e^{-r(T-t)} K_1 + C(t, S_t; K_1) - C(t, S_t; K_2). \end{aligned}$$

(b) The payoff $H_2 = \max(S_T, S_0 e^{rT}) - K$, with $K > 0$ can be rewritten as

$$H_2 = \max(0, S_T - S_0 e^{rT}) + S_0 e^{rT} - K.$$

Using the risk-neutral pricing formula we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_t(H_2) &= e^{-r(T-t)} \mathbb{E}_Q[H_2 | \mathcal{F}_t] \\ &= e^{-r(T-t)} \mathbb{E}_Q[\max(0, S_T - S_0 e^{rT}) + S_0 e^{rT} - K | \mathcal{F}_t] \\ &= e^{-r(T-t)} \mathbb{E}_Q[\max(0, S_T - S_0 e^{rT}) | \mathcal{F}_t] + e^{-r(T-t)} \{S_0 e^{rT} - K\} \\ &= C(t, S_t; S_0 e^{rT}) + S_0 e^{rt} - e^{-r(T-t)} K. \end{aligned}$$

8. See See Benth's book: pages 79-81.