Elasticities as differentials.

Derivative: the instantaneous rate of change in units per units. Elasticity: the instantaneous rate of change in "percent per percent". That is, if you like: *on logarithmic scale.*

The elasticity $El_x f(x)$ equals $\frac{x}{f(x)} f'(x)$, but it also equals $\frac{d \ln f(x)}{d \ln x}$ as long as f and x both > 0. More generally: $\frac{d \ln |f(x)|}{d \ln |x|}$ if $x \neq 0$. (Verify: calculate the differentials! $d \ln |f| = \frac{1}{f} df$, and $d \ln |x| = \frac{1}{x} dx$. Divide: $\frac{x}{f} \cdot \frac{df}{dx} et$ voilà.) ... does "dividing differentials into derivatives" look like a cheat? Kind of, so just take our word that it works. Someone has done the job and proven it.

Application (that is: just motivation, not curriculum): A regression $y = \alpha + \sum_i \beta_i x_i + \varepsilon$, estimates how much y will change when each x_i changes. \rightsquigarrow derivatives. OTOH, logging everything \rightsquigarrow elasticities.

To see that: put $\upsilon = \ln y$, $\xi_i = \ln x_i$. The regression $\upsilon = \alpha + \sum_i \beta_i \xi_i + \varepsilon$ estimates how much ln y will change when ln x_i changes; that is, \approx "how many percent y changes when x_i changes with a percent".

1

The d In formulation gives most elasticity rules easily:

•
$$EI_x(Ax^r) = \frac{d \ln A + r d \ln x}{d \ln x} = r$$

• $EI_x(e^{bx}) = \frac{d(bx)}{d \ln x} = \frac{b dx}{x^{-1} dx} = bx.$ (But $EI(x^x) \neq x!$)
• If $h(x) = f(x)g(x)$, then $EI_xh = \frac{d \ln f + d \ln g}{d \ln x} = \frac{EI_xf + EI_xg}{d \ln x}$.
• If $h(x) = g(f(x))$, the chain rule works like for derivatives:
 $EI_xh = \frac{d \ln g}{d \ln f} \cdot \frac{d \ln f}{d \ln x} = \frac{EI_yg|_{y=f(x)}EI_xf}{d x}$.
• On notation: e.g. "EI_xg(f(x))" ... what does that mean?
Compare derivatives: $g'(f(x))$ vs. $\frac{d}{dx}g(f(x))$. Two distinct symbols
 d and ∂ allows formulae like $\frac{d}{dK}F(K, L(K)) = \frac{\partial F}{\partial K} + \frac{\partial F}{\partial L}\frac{dL}{dK}$.
But, does $EI_KF(K, L(K))$ mean "partial" or "total" elasticity?
(*Typically:* partial wrt. Ist variable, but ... economists sometimes think otherwise)
• $EI_x(f + g) = \frac{d \ln(f + g)}{d \ln x} = \frac{df + dg}{f + g} \cdot \frac{1}{d \ln x} = \frac{f \cdot (df / f) + g \cdot (dg / g)}{(f + g) \cdot d \ln x}$
 $= \frac{f \cdot EI_x f + g \cdot EI_x g}{f + g}$ (value-weighted avg. of the elasticities.)
 $Ex.: \frac{d \ln(f(x)^{g(x)})}{d \ln x} = \frac{d[g \ln f]}{d \ln x} = g \frac{d \ln f}{d \ln x} + \frac{dg}{d \ln x} \ln f = g [EI_x f + \ln f \cdot EI_x g]$

2

Back to
$$\frac{\partial L}{-\partial K} = \frac{\partial F}{\partial K} / \frac{\partial F}{\partial L}$$
 on the level curve $F(K, L) = C$.

- Ask instead: "if I want to reduce K by a percentage, how many percent will I have to increase L in order to keep F constant?"
- A: Relative increase in in L divided by relative reduction in K: $\frac{\partial L}{L} / \left(-\frac{\partial K}{K} \right) = \frac{K}{\partial K} / \left(L \frac{\partial F}{\partial L} \right) = \frac{K}{F} \cdot \frac{\partial F}{\partial K} / \left(\frac{L}{F} \cdot \frac{\partial F}{\partial L} \right) = \frac{EI_{K}F / EI_{L}F}{EI_{L}F}$
- Ex.: Cobb–Douglas $K^{a}L^{b}$, yields a/b.
 - $\circ~$ Note for later: The factor use ratio L/K increases by (1+a/b) (unit: percent per percent reduction in K)

That was the elasticity of one function given by one equation. What about of functions given by equation systems? From, e.g., $\frac{\partial v}{\partial y}$, get $El_y v = \frac{y}{v} \cdot \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}$. Or phrase the differentiated system as $\mathbf{S} \begin{pmatrix} du/u \\ dv/v \end{pmatrix} = -\mathbf{Q} \begin{pmatrix} dx/x \\ dy/y \\ dz/z \end{pmatrix}$ and solve for e.g. $\frac{dv}{v}$.

The elasticity of substitution: F(K, L) defined for K > 0, L > 0, fixed level curve F(K, L) = C. (Level curve assumed strictly decreasing. Not unreasonable for isoquants ... but no Leontief)

- Composition of factors: A point on the level curve \longleftrightarrow a ratio L/K.
- Substitution: a percentwise change in L/K (cf. previous slide).
- Q: if we move along the level curve so much that the MRS changes by one percent; how much (in percent) does the ratio L/K change? (Who would come up with that question? Blame economics! A one percent change in price (ratio) ...)
- This is the elasticity of substitution σ_{LK} between K and L:

$$\sigma_{LK} = \frac{d \ln(L/K)}{d \ln[MRS]} = \frac{d \ln(L/K)}{d \ln(F'_{K}/F'_{L})}$$

• Formula in the book (under harder problems) and last slide.

- Examples next slide: will manipulate differentials.
- Note: $\sigma_{KL} = \sigma_{LK}$. (Why? ... thus language "between ... and")

The elasticity of substitution. Example: Cobb–Douglas. When $F'_K = \alpha F/K$ and $F'_L = bF/L$, the ratio $\frac{d \ln(L/K)}{d \ln(F'_K/F'_L)}$ is 1. (Why? $d \ln(\alpha L/bK) = d [\ln(\alpha/b) + \ln(L/K)] = d \ln(L/K)$ since the differential of a constant is zero.) So – with adaptation at MRS = price ratio and still assuming output fixed at C – a one percent change in price ratio $w/p \rightsquigarrow a$ one percent change in factor use ratio L/K.)

Example: The CES functions $F(K, L) = A(\alpha K^{-Q} + \beta L^{-Q})^{-m/Q}$. Need partial derivatives. The differential of F is dF =

$$\begin{split} & \frac{-m}{Q} \cdot \frac{F}{\alpha K^{-Q} + \beta L^{-Q}} d[\alpha K^{-Q} + \beta L^{-Q}] = \frac{mF}{\alpha K^{-Q} + \beta L^{-Q}} \cdot \left[\frac{\alpha \, dK}{K^{Q+1}} + \frac{\beta \, dL}{L^{Q+1}}\right] \\ & \text{so } F'_K / F'_L = \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \cdot (L/K)^{Q+1}. \text{ Apply log and differential:} \\ & d \ln(\frac{\alpha}{\beta} \cdot (L/K)^{Q+1}) = 0 + (Q+1) d \ln(L/K). \\ & \text{Elasticity of substitution:} \quad \frac{d \ln(L/K)}{(Q+1) d \ln(L/K)} = \frac{1}{Q+1}. \end{split}$$

Exercise: Let g' > 0 everywhere. Show that σ_{LK} is the same for g(F(K, L)) on the level curve g(C) as for F on level curve C.

Limits exercise: Show that $\lim_{Q\to 0} CES$ is a Cobb–Douglas.

Linear algebra exercise: As mentioned, there is a formula in the book (and you are free to use that!); σ_{KL} happens to equal $\frac{F'_{K}F'_{L}\cdot(KF'_{K}+LF'_{L})}{KL\cdot B}$, where $B = -\left[(F'_{L})^{2}F''_{KK} - 2F'_{K}F'_{L}F''_{KL} + (F'_{K})^{2}F''_{LL}\right]$

Find a t such that this B equals the determinant $\begin{vmatrix} t & F'_{K} & F'_{L} \\ F'_{K} & F''_{KL} & F''_{KL} \end{vmatrix}$ (all primes denote derivatives! No transposes here.) $\begin{vmatrix} t & F'_{K} & F''_{KL} \\ F'_{L} & F''_{KL} & F''_{LL} \end{vmatrix}$ Post-lecture update: Calculate, see that t = 0 fits.

This – inserted t=0 – is called the *bordered Hessian determinant* of F. Note that the lower-right 2×2 block is the Hessian (i.e., has the second-order derivatives), and then there is a border of first-order derivatives and that top–left element.

Fact (4200/Math3): A C^2 function of two variables is *quasiconcave* iff its bordered Hessian determinant is *nonnegative everywhere*.

Finally, that "expression for" re-clarified: [disclaimer: a commitment for 2018!]

- If you are asked to *solve* an equation system, we want the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth. (Likewise for, e.g., "find the points which satisfy the Kuhn-Tucker conditions".)
- If asked: "Find an expression for the inverse of A_t ", then using the formula to get, say, $\frac{3}{t(1-t)} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -3 & 4t \\ t & 9 & -3 \\ 0 & 0 & -3 \end{pmatrix}$, suffices.
 - $\circ~$ It is correct whenever the inverse exists with or without any "as long as t $\not\in \{0,1\}$ " (which won't hurt ...)
- Sometimes we allow for slightly less. Motivation: MRS for $F(K, L) = (KL)^{\alpha}$, is $(L/K)^{1-\alpha}$. That is also the MRS for $G(K, L) = 1 + (F(K, L) 1)^3$. Why? Formula: $\frac{3(F-1)^2}{3(F-1)^2} \cdot (L/K)^{1-\alpha}$. Should "expression for" expect you to treat case F = 1 separately?
 - For derivatives of implicitly given functions: no. Get an expression. (Zero denominator? Do not bother to check.)
 - $\circ\,$ Elasticity of substitution: same. Just get an expression.
- Of course, you could be asked explicitly to compute a limit!