

**ECON 4130 H10****Extra exercises for no-seminar week 37**

(Solutions will be put on the net at the end of the week)

Chapter 2: 54, 59, 67 (see appendix for 67c)

Chapter 3: 1, 8b

Chapter 4: 4, 6

**(Hint for 4:4.** You may need the formulas

$$1 + 2 + 3 + \dots + n = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$$

$$1^2 + 2^2 + 3^2 + \dots + n^2 = \frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{6} )$$

**Appendix - on using the uniform(0, 1) distribution to simulate observations from an arbitrary distribution F.**

Read Proposition D and example E on page 63 in Rice. Proposition D says that, if  $U \sim \text{uniform}(0, 1)$ , and  $F(x)$  is an arbitrary *cdf*, then the rv  $X = F^{-1}(U)$  has exactly this  $F(x)$  as its *cdf*. This we can use to draw (simulate) *iid* (independent and identically distributed) observations,  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ , from the  $F$ -distribution as follows:

- Let the computer generate a sample,  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n$ , from the uniform(0, 1) distribution.
- Calculate  $x_i = F^{-1}(u_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Then  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  is an *iid* sample drawn (simulated) from the  $F$ -distribution.

The proof of proposition D is just one line:

$$P(X \leq x) = P(F^{-1}(U) \leq x) \stackrel{(1)}{=} P\left(F\left[F^{-1}(U)\right] \leq F(x)\right) \stackrel{(2)}{=} P(U \leq F(x)) \stackrel{(3)}{=} F(x)$$

**Explanation.** Assume, for simplicity, that  $F(x)$  is strictly increasing<sup>1</sup> (except possibly where it is exactly  $= 0$  or  $= 1$ , i.e., so that  $F^{-1}(U)$  is uniquely determined when  $U$  is different from 0 or 1. The probability that  $U$  is equal to 0 or 1 is zero, so this is no restriction.

---

<sup>1</sup> In general,  $F(x)$  may be flat in certain interval. Suppose, for example, that  $F(x)$  is constant  $= p$  in an interval  $a \leq x < b$ . Then  $F^{-1}(p)$  is not uniquely determined (any  $x$  in  $[a, b)$  could qualify). In such cases it is common practice to define  $F^{-1}(p)$  conventionally as the smallest possible  $x$  satisfying  $F(x) = p$ . With this convention  $F^{-1}$  is always well defined, and the proof of proposition still holds.

Equality (1) follows from the equivalence:  $a \leq b \Leftrightarrow F(a) \leq F(b)$ . (If you don't see this, sketch a graph with some strictly increasing  $F(x)$ . Then mark  $a$  and  $b$  on the x-axis and the corresponding  $F(a), F(b)$  on the y-axis.)

Equality (2) follows from noting that  $F[F^{-1}(u)] = u$  for any observed value,  $u$ , of  $U$ . (Illustrate on your graph. Choose  $u$  on the y-axis.)

Equality (3) follows from the cdf of  $U$  being (see example A and B in Rice sec. 2.2):

$$F_U(u) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } u \leq 0 \\ u & \text{for } 0 < u < 1 \\ 1 & \text{for } u \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

so that  $P(U \leq u) = u$  for any number  $u$  with  $0 < u < 1$ .