

# Econ 4130 Eksamen 2008 H

## ANSWERS

Settet består av 9 delspørsmål som alle anbefales å telle likt. Svar er gitt i << ..... >>.

### Problem 1

- a. Suppose that the random variable (rv)  $X$  has the following cumulative distribution function (cdf)

$$G(x) = \begin{cases} 1 - (1 + 3x + \frac{9}{2}x^2) \cdot e^{-3x} & \text{for } x > 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Show that  $X \sim \Gamma(3,3)$ , i.e., that the corresponding density function (pdf) derived from  $G$  is the same as the pdf of the gamma distribution  $\Gamma(3,3)$ .

[**Hint:** Calculate the pdf based on  $G$  and compare with the pdf-formula for the  $\Gamma(3,3)$ -distribution]

<<**Answer:** The pdf is for  $x > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} g(x) &= G'(x) = -(3 + 9x)e^{-3x} - (1 + 3x + (9/2)x^2)e^{-3x}(-3) = 3e^{-3x}(1 + 3x + (9/2)x^2 - 1 - 3x) = \\ &= \frac{27}{2}x^2e^{-3x} \end{aligned}$$

The  $\Gamma(3,3)$  pdf is  $\frac{3^3}{\Gamma(3)}x^{3-1}e^{-3x} = \frac{27}{2}x^2e^{-3x}$  for  $x > 0$ ; i.e. the same. >>

- b. Let  $x_p$  denote the  $p$ -quantile in the distribution of  $X$ , i.e.,  $P(X \leq x_p) = p$ . Table 1 shows some quantiles of this distribution that may be needed later in the problem set:

**Table 1**  $p$ -quantiles of  $\Gamma(3,3)$ 

$p$	0.01	0.025	0.05	0.10	0.5	0.90	0.95	0.975	0.99
$x_p$	0.145	0.206	0.273	0.367	0.891	1.774	2.099	2.408	2.802

- (i) Check that the median of  $X$  is equal to the value indicated in the table.
- (ii) Find (from the table) a 98% variation interval for  $X$ , i.e., an interval  $[c_1, c_2]$  such that  $P(c_1 \leq X \leq c_2) = 0.98$

<<Answer: (i) According to the table, the median is  $x_{0.5} = 0.891$ . We get

$$G(0.891) = 1 - (1 + 3(0.891) + \frac{9}{2}(0.891)^2) \cdot e^{-3(0.891)} = 0.500$$

- (ii)  $[0.145, 2.802]$  >>

c. Suppose  $(X, Y)$  has a joint distribution such that the marginal distribution of  $X$  is  $\Gamma(3,3)$  distributed, and such that the regression function (i.e., the conditional expectation given  $X = x$ ) of  $Y$  with respect to  $X$ , is  $E(Y | x) = 1 + 2x$ . Suppose further that the conditional variance is:  $\text{var}(Y | x) = 4$  for all  $x$ . Calculate under these conditions

- (i)  $E(Y)$   
(ii)  $\text{var}(Y)$   
(iii) The correlation coefficient between  $X$  and  $Y$ .

[Hint: You don't need to derive the expectation and variance of  $X$  - use the formulas for the gamma distribution. To find the covariance, calculate first  $E(XY) = E[X \cdot E(Y | X)] = \dots$  etc. ]

<<Answer: In  $\Gamma(3,3)$  we have  $E(X) = 1$ ,  $\text{var}(X) = 1/3$ . Now

$$E(Y) = E[E(Y | X)] = E(1 + 2X) = 1 + 2E(X) = 3$$

$$\text{var}(Y) = E[\text{var}(Y | X)] + \text{var}[E(Y | X)] = E(4) + \text{var}(1 + 2X) = 4 + \frac{4}{3} = \frac{16}{3}$$

$$E(XY) = E[X \cdot E(Y|X)] = E(X + 2X^2) = 1 + 2\left(\frac{1}{3} + 1\right) = \frac{11}{3}$$

$$\text{cov}(X, Y) = \frac{11}{3} - 1 \cdot 3 = \frac{2}{3}$$

$$\text{corr}(X, Y) = \frac{2/3}{\sqrt{(1/3)(16/3)}} = \frac{1}{2} \quad \gg$$

## Problem 2

- a. Suppose that the rv  $X$  has a distribution with pdf given by

$$f(x; \alpha) = \begin{cases} \frac{\alpha}{3^\alpha} x^{\alpha-1} & \text{for } 0 < x \leq 3 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where  $\alpha > 0$  is a parameter.

- (i) Make rough sketches of the graph of  $f(x; \alpha)$  in the three cases,  $\alpha = 0.5$ ,  $\alpha = 1$ ,  $\alpha = 3$  respectively.
- (ii) Is there any value of  $\alpha$  for which the distribution is symmetric?
- (iii) Find  $E(X)$ .

$$\ll\text{Answer: (i)...(ii)... (iii) } E(X) = \frac{\alpha}{3^\alpha} \int_0^3 x^\alpha dx = \frac{\alpha}{3^\alpha} \left| \frac{x^{\alpha+1}}{\alpha+1} \right|_0^3 = \frac{3\alpha}{\alpha+1} \quad \gg$$

- b. Show that  $Y = \ln(3/X) \sim \exp(\alpha)$ , i.e.,  $Y$  is exponentially distributed with parameter  $\alpha$ .

$\ll\text{Answer: Clearly } Y \text{ varies in } [0, \infty) \text{ when } X \text{ varies in } (0, 3]. \text{ Let } y > 0. \text{ Then}$

$$P(Y \leq y) = P\left(\frac{3}{X} \leq e^y\right) = P(X \geq 3e^{-y}) = 1 - F_X(3e^{-y}).$$

$$\text{Hence } f_Y(y) = \frac{\partial}{\partial y}(1 - F_X(3e^{-y})) = -f(3e^{-y}; \alpha)(-3e^{-y}) = \frac{\alpha}{3^\alpha} (3e^{-y})^{\alpha-1} 3e^{-y} = \alpha e^{-\alpha y},$$

(or, directly from substituting in  $F_X(x)$ , which is easily seen to be  $\left(\frac{x}{3}\right)^\alpha$  :

$$F_Y(y) = 1 - F_X(3e^{-y}) = 1 - e^{-\alpha y} \quad \gg$$

**c.** Suppose that  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  are independent and identically distributed (*iid*) with  $X_i \sim f(x; \alpha)$  as in question **a**.

(i) Show that the maximum likelihood estimator (mle) for  $\alpha$  is  $\hat{\alpha} = \frac{1}{\bar{Y}}$  where

$$\bar{Y} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i \quad \text{and} \quad Y_i = \ln\left(\frac{3}{X_i}\right).$$

(ii) Show that  $\frac{\alpha}{\hat{\alpha}}$  is distributed exactly as  $\Gamma(n, n)$ .

[**Hint:** You may first exploit a result saying that if  $Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n \sim iid$  with  $Y_i \sim \exp(\lambda)$ , then  $\bar{Y} \sim \Gamma(n, n\lambda)$ . Then, for example, next utilize the moment generating function (mgf) of  $\bar{Y}$ .]

<< **Answer:** (i) Log likelihood:  $l(\alpha) = (\alpha - 1) \sum \ln(x_i) + n \ln(\alpha) - n\alpha \ln(3)$  with derivative  $l' = \sum \ln(x_i) + \frac{n}{\alpha} - n \ln(3) = \frac{n}{\alpha} - \sum \ln(3/x_i) = 0$ , which gives the suggested solution. The second derivative being negative, we have a maximum.

(ii) We have  $V = \frac{\alpha}{\hat{\alpha}} = \alpha \bar{Y}$ , where  $\bar{Y} \sim \Gamma(n, \alpha n)$  with mgf  $M_{\bar{Y}}(t) = \left(\frac{n\alpha}{n\alpha - t}\right)^n$ .

Then  $V$  has mgf  $M_V(t) = M_{\bar{Y}}(\alpha t) = \left(\frac{n\alpha}{n\alpha - \alpha t}\right)^n = \left(\frac{n}{n - t}\right)^n$ , which identifies  $\Gamma(n, n)$ .  $\gg$

- d. We want to investigate if there is strong evidence that the distribution of  $X$  is right skewed, i.e., with tail to the right, based on observing  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  in c. In other words, we want to test  $H_0 : \alpha \geq 1$  versus  $H_1 : \alpha < 1$ . The preferred level of significance is 5%. Explain how the following test,

$$(1) \quad \text{Reject } H_0 \text{ if } \sqrt{n} \frac{\hat{\alpha} - 1}{\hat{\alpha}} \leq -1.645$$

can be justified by asymptotic theory for mle's and Slutsky's lemma with an approximate level of significance 5%.

[Hint: Find first the Fisher information for one observation.]

<<Answer: The Fisher information:

$\ln(f(X; \alpha) = (\alpha - 1)\ln(X) + \ln(\alpha) - \alpha \ln(3))$  gives

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \ln f = \ln(X) + \frac{1}{\alpha} - \ln(3), \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \alpha^2} \ln f = -\frac{1}{\alpha^2}$$

Hence the Fisher information:  $I(\alpha) = -E\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \alpha^2} \ln f\right) = \frac{1}{\alpha^2}$

Large sample mle theory gives

$$\sqrt{nI(\alpha)}(\hat{\alpha} - \alpha) = \sqrt{n} \frac{\hat{\alpha} - \alpha}{\alpha} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{D} N(0, 1)$$

Then Slutsky gives

$$\sqrt{n} \frac{\hat{\alpha} - \alpha}{\hat{\alpha}} = \frac{\alpha}{\hat{\alpha}} \cdot \sqrt{n} \frac{\hat{\alpha} - \alpha}{\alpha} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{D} N(0, 1), \quad \text{since } \frac{\alpha}{\hat{\alpha}} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{P} 1.$$

From this we take the test statistic  $V = \sqrt{n} \frac{\hat{\alpha} - 1}{\hat{\alpha}}$ , which is approximately  $\sim N(0, 1)$  if  $\alpha = 1$ . Rejecting  $H_0$  when  $V$  is smaller than the 0.05 quantile in  $N(0, 1)$  (i.e., -1.645), then gives a test with approximate level of significance, 5%.

>>

- e. Suppose that  $X$  represents the processing time for a new, standardized but expensive industrial process, and that we have only three independent observations of  $X$ . The observations are  $x_1 = 0.2, x_2 = 0.6, x_3 = 0.6$ , of  $X_1, X_2, X_3$

respectively. Recognizing that  $n = 3$  is somewhat small to appeal to asymptotic theory, we prefer exact small-sample inference, which, in fact, is possible in this case.

- (i) Using **c(ii)** for  $n = 3$  and **table 1** in **Problem 1**, derive and calculate from the data, an exact 95% confidence interval for  $\alpha$ , i.e., find observable rv's,  $L$  and  $U$  such that  $P(L \leq \alpha \leq U) = 0.95$ .

[**Hint:** Start with a 95% variation interval for  $\alpha/\hat{\alpha}$  similar to that in **Problem 1b(ii)**.]

- (ii) Using **c(ii)** again, derive and perform an exact 5%-level test for  $H_0 : \alpha \geq 1$  versus  $H_1 : \alpha < 1$ . In other words, find a critical value,  $c$ , such that  $P(\hat{\alpha} < c) = 0.05$  if  $\alpha = 1$  is true.

<<**Answer:** (i): Table 1 gives

$$0.95 = P(0.206 \leq \alpha/\hat{\alpha} \leq 2.408) = P(0.206 \cdot \hat{\alpha} \leq \alpha \leq 2.408 \cdot \hat{\alpha})$$

Data

$X_i$	$Y_i$
0.2	2.708
0.6	1.609
0.6	1.609
$\bar{Y}$	1.976
$\hat{\alpha}$	0.506

$$95\% \text{ CI: } [0.206 \cdot \hat{\alpha}, 2.408 \cdot \hat{\alpha}] = [0.104, 1.219]$$

- (ii) The test statistic here is simply  $\hat{\alpha}$ . If  $\alpha = 1$ ,  $\frac{1}{\hat{\alpha}} \sim \Gamma(3, 3)$ ,

$$0.05 = P(\hat{\alpha} \leq c) = P(1/\hat{\alpha} \geq 1/c) \Rightarrow 1/c = 2.099 \Rightarrow c = 0.477$$

Hence, the exact 5%-level test is “Reject  $H_0$  if  $\hat{\alpha} \leq 0.477$ ”

Conclusion: Don't reject.

>>

- f.** Suppose you insist to use the asymptotic 5%-level test in (1) in spite of  $n$  being only 3. Calculate the exact level of significance for that test and compare with the approximate (also called *nominal*) level of significance used, i.e., 5%.

<<**Answer:** The approximate test is

Reject  $H_0$  if

$$\sqrt{3} \frac{\hat{\alpha} - 1}{\hat{\alpha}} \leq -1.645 \Leftrightarrow 1 - \frac{1}{\hat{\alpha}} \leq -\frac{1.645}{\sqrt{3}} \Leftrightarrow \frac{1}{\hat{\alpha}} \geq 1 + \frac{1.645}{\sqrt{3}} = 1.950$$

(Since  $1/1.95 = 0.513$ , the approximate test would give rejection)

Hence the exact level is, using  $G$  from Problem 1,

$$P_{\alpha=1}(1/\hat{\alpha} \geq 1.95) = 1 - G(1.95) = 0.069 \quad \gg$$