

Linear regression with one regressor. (JW ch. 4)

The linear regression model

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_i + u_i$$

where

$i$  is observation unit:  $i = 1, \dots, n$

$Y_i$  is dependent variable

$X_i$  is the regressor (independent var.)

$\beta_0$  is intercept (parameter)

$\beta_1$  is slope coeff. (parameter)

$\beta_0 + \beta_1 X_i$  is regression line

$u_i$  is the error term (unobserved)

Main identifying assumption (A.1)

$$A.1: E(u_i | X_i) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow E(Y_i | X_i) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_i \quad (\text{regression line})$$

$$\beta_1 = \frac{\partial E(Y_i | X_i)}{\partial X_i} \equiv \text{marginal effect}$$

Example: -  $Y_i$  is score/grade of a student  
 -  $X_i$  is the school's # teachers per student

Note that A.1  $\Rightarrow \text{cov}(u_i, X_i) = 0$

since a)  $E(u_i) = E(E(u_i | X_i)) = 0$   
 rule of double/iterated expectation

$$\begin{aligned} b) \text{cov}(u_i, X_i) &= E(u_i X_i) - \overbrace{E(u_i)}^{=0} E(X_i) \\ &= E(u_i X_i) = E(\underbrace{E(u_i | X_i)}_{=0} X_i) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

## Estimation of $\beta_0$ and $\beta_1$

The Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) estimator

minimizes  $\sum_{i=1}^n (\gamma_i - \beta_0 - \beta_1 x_i)^2$  with respect  
 to  $\beta_0$  and  $\beta_1$ .  
 = SSR (sum of squares of residuals)

1. order condition :

$$\frac{\partial SSR}{\partial \beta_0} = 2 \sum_{i=1}^n (\gamma_i - \hat{\beta}_0 - \hat{\beta}_1 x_i) = 0 \quad (1)$$

$$\frac{\partial SSR}{\partial \beta_1} = 2 \sum_{i=1}^n (\gamma_i - \hat{\beta}_0 - \hat{\beta}_1 x_i) x_i = 0 \quad (2)$$

(3): From (1)  $\hat{\beta}_0 = \bar{\gamma} - \hat{\beta}_1 \bar{x}$ ,  $\bar{x} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$ ,  $\bar{\gamma} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_i$

Then, from (2).

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (\gamma_i - (\bar{\gamma} - \hat{\beta}_1 \bar{x}) - \hat{\beta}_1 x_i) x_i = 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n (\gamma_i - \bar{\gamma}) x_i = \hat{\beta}_1 \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x}) x_i$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \hat{\beta}_1 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x}) \gamma_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x}) x_i}$$

Note that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x}) x_i = \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x}) (x_i - \bar{x}) \quad \text{and}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x}) y_i = \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x}) (y_i - \bar{y})$$

Hence

$$\beta_1 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x}) (y_i - \bar{y})}{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2} = \frac{S_{xy}}{S_{xx}}$$

where for any variables  $X$  and  $Z$

$$S_{XZ} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x}) (z_i - \bar{z}) \quad \text{and where}$$

$\bar{x}$  and  $\bar{z}$  is average of  $x_i$  and  $z_i$ , respectively

That is  $S_{XZ}$  is the empirical covariance between  $X$  and  $Z$ .

Why is this a meaningful estimator?

$$\hat{\beta}_1 = \frac{S_{xy}}{S_{xx}} \rightarrow \frac{\text{Cov}(X, Y)}{\text{Var}(X)} \text{ by } \text{central limit thm.} \\ \text{law of large numbers}$$

Furthermore, the true  $\beta_1$  satisfies

$$Y_i - E(Y_i) = \beta_1 (X_i - E(X_i)) + U_i$$

$\Downarrow$

$$(Y_i - \mu_Y) X_i = \beta_1 (X_i - \mu_X) X_i + U_i X_i$$

Taking expectations of both sides gives:

$$\text{Cov}(Y_i, X_i) = \beta_1 \text{Var}(X_i) + \underbrace{\text{Cov}(U_i, X_i)}_{= 0}$$

$\Downarrow$

$$\beta_1 = \frac{\text{Cov}(Y_i, X_i)}{\text{Var}(X_i)}$$

Hence  $\hat{\beta}_1$  is a moment estimator: theoretical moments are replaced by empirical ones!

## The least squares assumption:

Under certain key assumptions the OLS estimator is .

- i) unbiased:  $E(\hat{\beta}_1) = \beta_1$ ,  $E(\hat{\beta}_0) = \beta_0$
- ii) consistent:  $\hat{\beta}_1 \xrightarrow{P} \beta_1$  and  $\hat{\beta}_0 \xrightarrow{P} \beta_0$
- iii)  $\frac{\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_1}{SE(\hat{\beta}_1)} \sim N(0, 1)$  when  $n$  is large,  
i.e. asymptotic normality
- $\frac{\hat{\beta}_0 - \beta_0}{SE(\hat{\beta}_0)} \sim N(0, 1)$

## Key OLS assumptions:

- A.1  $E(u_i | x_i) = 0$  ( $\Rightarrow \text{cov}(u_i, x_i) = 0$ )
- A.2  $(X_i, Y_i)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$  are i.i.d vectors of random variables  
[Alternatively:  $(x_i, u_i)$  are i.i.d]
- A.3  $E(X_i^4) < \infty$  and  $E(u_i^4) < \infty$   
 $\Rightarrow$  the probability of extreme data is negligible