

# Seminar # 5 2/10

(1) RN entrepreneurs,  $E_1 = 1 = E_2$   
# entrepreneurs in economy = 2

Expected gross return =  $\mu > 1$  for both  
Investment expenditure =  $I = 1$  for both

Share  $E_1 = 50\%$

Share  $E_2 = 50\%$

$1 - \alpha$

$$\text{Project 1: } \mu = p \cdot G + (1-p) \cdot 0 = pG = R_1$$

$$\text{Project 2: } \mu = q \cdot B + (1-q) \cdot 0 = qB = R_2$$

Hence  $pG = qB = \mu$  | Average  $P_r(S)$  in economy:  
 $\mu = 0.5 \cdot p + 0.5 \cdot q = \frac{1}{2}(p+q)$  ★  
Given  $P_r(\text{success})$ :  $p > q \Rightarrow B > G$ , i.e. gross return is greater for project 2 if successful:  $R_2|S > R_1|S$

Entrepreneurs for both types of projects need a loan from a RN-bank, but have to put up collateral  $K < I$

If failure the bank will catch the collateral, and hence loose maximum:  $I - K > 0$  }  $\Rightarrow \Sigma \text{loss}/F = I$   
while entrepreneur will loose:  $K$

$$\star q < \mu < p$$

Mail to:

a.v.gramstad@econ.uio.no (Anne Rogde Gramstad)

i) Variance of the two projects:

$$\sigma_{R_1}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^2 p_i (R_i - \mu)^2 = \sum (p_i \cdot X_i^2) - \mu^2$$

$$\sigma_{R_1}^2 = pG^2 + (1-p)0^2 - \mu^2 = pG \cdot G - \mu^2 = \mu G - \mu^2 = \mu(G - \mu)$$

$$\sigma_{R_2}^2 = qB^2 + (1-q)0^2 - \mu^2 = qB \cdot B - \mu^2 = \mu B - \mu^2 = \mu(B - \mu)$$

Since  $B > G \Rightarrow$  Project 2 has the greater variance.

- Define risk-adjusted return: Sharpe ratio =  $S_i = \frac{E(R_i)}{\sigma_{R_i}}$

$$\text{Project 1: } S_1 = \frac{pG}{\sqrt{\mu(G-\mu)}} = \frac{\mu}{\sqrt{\mu(G-\mu)}} = \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{G-\mu}}$$

$$\text{Project 2: } S_2 = \frac{qB}{\sqrt{\mu(B-\mu)}} = \frac{\mu}{\sqrt{\mu(B-\mu)}} = \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{B-\mu}}$$

$S_1 > S_2 \Rightarrow$  project 1 has greater X-ante risk-adjusted return

Since all entrepreneurs + lender are risk-neutral (RN), this is not relevant for this model as all agent only care about returns...

Entrepreneur's

(ii) Expected profits, assuming limited liability (LL) for both types:

$$E(\pi_1) = p[G - (1+R)I] + (1-p)[0 - K]$$

$$= pG - pI(1+R) - (1-p)K$$

$$E(\pi_1) = \underbrace{\mu - pI(1+R)}_{\text{Net profit if success}} - \underbrace{(1-p)K}_{\text{Loss of collateral if failure}} = \mu - p(1+R) + K(p-1)$$

$$E(\pi_2) = q[B - (1+R)I] + (1-q)[0 - K]$$

$$= qB - qI(1+R) - (1-q)K$$

$$E(\pi_2) = \mu - qI(1+R) - (1-q)K = \mu - q(1+R) + K(q-1)$$

$E(\pi_1) \gtrless E(\pi_2) ?$

$$\mu - pI(1+R) - (1-p)K \gtrless \mu - qI(1+R) - (1-q)K \quad | \times -1$$

$$(p-q)I(1+R) \gtrless K(1-q-(1-p))$$

$$(p-q)I(1+R) \gtrless K(p-q)$$

$$I(1+R) \gtrless K \Rightarrow K < I(1+R)$$

Collateral  $K < I \Rightarrow \forall R > 0$ ; project 2 has the highest expected profits. The expected gross return is  $\mu$  for both, while the expected negative cash-flow (= repayment + collateral loss) is lower when  $P(\text{success})$  is smaller.

iii) Critical rate of interest making entrepreneurs indifferent betw. investing or not.

This economy consist of Project 1 = "safe" =  $P_s$ ,  
and Project 2 = "risky" =  $P_r$

Given two groups of entrepreneurs of equal size,  
I assume that both kind of investments are  
necessary to keep the economy going, i.e. there are  
no "good" or "bad" projects/inventors; both have the  
same expected return =  $\mu$ , but  $P_r$  yields higher return  
given success, but with lower  $Pr(S) = q < p$ .

Hence there is no moral-hazard problem, and  
the decision on investing or not reduces to  
the simple participation constraint for both types  
of investors/projects:

$$\begin{aligned}
 PC_1 &= pG - p(1+R) \geq 0 \\
 pG - pR &\geq 0 \Rightarrow r \leq G
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 PC_2 &= qB - q(1+R) \geq 0 \\
 qB - qR &\geq 0 \Rightarrow r \leq B
 \end{aligned}$$

