

Jon Vislie

ECON 4350 – Growth and Investment, fall 2011

Problem set 2 (seminar 2) – September 26

### Question 1

How will the income distribution, in the neoclassical model – that between capital income and labour income – develop during the transition towards steady state when the economy is starting in a state with  $k(0) < k^*$  (the steady state capital per head)?

### Question 2

Disembodied technical progress is being modeled in different ways. One is by introducing an increasing function  $A(t)$  in the macro production function

$X(t) = \tilde{F}(K(t), L(t), A(t))$ . Such disembodied technological progress can be of different types. One is a neutral one, called “Hicks-neutral technological progress” as given by  $\tilde{F}(K(t), L(t), A(t)) = A(t)F(K(t), L(t))$ , where the  $F$ -function has constant returns to scale. Another one is “capital-augmenting technological progress” or “Solow-neutral technical progress”, so that  $\tilde{F}(K(t), L(t), A(t)) = F(A(t)K(t), L(t))$ , whereas a last one is a labour-augmenting one, or “Harrod-neutral technological progress” given by  $\tilde{F}(K(t), L(t), A(t)) = F(K(t), A(t)L(t))$ .

- i) Try to show how the isoquant map is affected by each type of technological progress.
- ii) Show also the balanced or steady-state properties of the neoclassical model if we have Harrod-neutral technological progress with a constant rate  $m > 0$  so that  $A(t) = e^{mt}$ . (Hint: Define  $N(t) = e^{mt}L(t)$ , and proceed by defining output per unit of effective labour,  $N(t)$ .)

### Question 3

Introduce government consumption in the neoclassical growth model, and let

$X(t) = C(t) + \dot{K}(t) + G(t)$ , where  $G(t)$  is government spending (consumption) per unit time at  $t$ . (The remaining symbols have been defined earlier.) Assume that

government spending is proportional to domestic output,  $G(t) = \gamma X(t)$ , and financed

through taxes paid by the private sector who owns all means of production. Private consumption is proportional to disposable income; hence we have

$C(t) = \beta(X(t) - T(t))$ , where  $0 < \beta < 1$ . Let  $T(t) = \lambda G(t)$ .

- i) What is the effect of a higher value of  $\gamma$  on the equilibrium outcome of the model?
- ii) How can this model be modified or reformulated to capture the financial problems some governments are facing today?