

UNIVERSITY OF OSLO
DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMICS

Exam: **ECON4620 – Public Economics I**

Date of exam: Tuesday, May 16, 2017

Grades are given: June 7, 2017

Time for exam: 2.30 p.m. – 5.30 p.m.

The problem set covers 2 pages

Resources allowed:

- No written or printed resources – or calculator - is allowed (except if you have been granted use of a dictionary from the Faculty of Social Sciences)

The grades given: A-F, with A as the best and E as the weakest passing grade. F is fail.

Problem 1 (20%)

- What is meant by a distortionary tax? Give an example of a distortionary tax and briefly explain why the tax is distortionary.
- Why can it be necessary to use a distortionary tax?

Problem 2 (20%)

The government considers increasing the marginal tax rate for top incomes, i.e., for incomes above \bar{y} .

- What kind of effects should the government expect? Briefly explain the different effects.
- Brewer et al. (2010) derive the optimal marginal tax rate on top incomes (τ) as

$$\tau = \frac{1 - g}{1 - g + a \cdot \varepsilon}$$

with $a = \frac{y}{y - \bar{y}}$ where y is the average income of tax payers in the top income bracket, g denotes the average welfare weight of top earners' consumption, and ε is the taxable income elasticity.

Interpret this result.

Problem 3 (30%)

Suppose an investor decides how much to invest in a corporation and in a financial asset. In the absence of taxes, the return to capital K invested in the corporation is $f(K)$. The rate of return to the financial asset is i . Net corporate income is paid out as dividends.

- a) What is the investor's objective and the first-best solution, respectively? Briefly explain your results.
- b) Assume there is a corporate tax rate t_c and a tax rate t_p that applies to any kind of personal income. There is no allowance for corporate equity (ACE).

Characterize the optimal investment of the investor and explain your result briefly.

- c) Assume the tax system is as in part b) and the government considers introducing an ACE. Discuss advantages and disadvantages of the reform.

Problem 4 (30%)

Suppose the n agents in a population have a utility function given by $u(x_i, g)$ where x_i is the private consumption of agent i and g is the amount of a public good.

- a) Give an interpretation of $\frac{\partial u/\partial g}{\partial u/\partial x_i}$.

Suppose the cost of producing a unit of g is equal to c .

- b) Give an economic interpretation of the Samuelson rule:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial u(x_i, g)/\partial g}{\partial u(x_i, g)/\partial x_i} = c$$

Suppose g is financed by distortionary taxes, and assume that the government is concerned with social efficiency and possibly social welfare.

- c) Discuss if there are circumstances in which the Samuelson rule will be valid or not valid in this case. It is sufficient to consider *a few* circumstances. State precisely which assumptions you base your discussion on.