

FIGURE 2. OLS RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN EXPROPRIATION RISK AND INCOME

	Whole world (1)	Base sample (2)	Whole world (3)	Whole world (4)	Base sample (5)	Base sample (6)	Whole world (7)	Base sample (8)
]	Dependent v	variable is lo	og GDP per	capita in 199	95	Depender is log or worker	nt variable utput per in 1988
Average protection against expropriation risk, 1985–1995	0.54 (0.04)	0.52 (0.06)	0.47 (0.06)	0.43 (0.05)	0.47 (0.06)	0.41 (0.06)	0.45 (0.04)	0.46 (0.06)
Latitude			0.89 (0.49)	0.37 (0.51)	1.60 (0.70)	0.92 (0.63)		
Asia dummy			. ,	-0.62 (0.19)		-0.60 (0.23)		
Africa dummy				-1.00 (0.15)		-0.90 (0.17)		
"Other" continent dummy				-0.25 (0.20)		-0.04 (0.32)		
R^2 Number of observations	0.62 110	0.54 64	0.63 110	0.73 110	0.56 64	0.69 64	0.55 108	0.49 61

TABLE 2-OLS REGRESSIONS

Notes: Dependent variable: columns (1)–(6), log GDP per capita (PPP basis) in 1995, current prices (from the World Bank's World Development Indicators 1999); columns (7)–(8), log output per worker in 1988 from Hall and Jones (1999). Average protection against expropriation risk is measured on a scale from 0 to 10, where a higher score means more protection against expropriation, averaged over 1985 to 1995, from Political Risk Services. Standard errors are in parentheses. In regressions with continent dummies, the dummy for America is omitted. See Appendix Table A1 for more detailed variable definitions and sources. Of the countries in our base sample, Hall and Jones do not report output per worker in the Bahamas, Ethiopia, and Vietnam.



FIGURE 3. FIRST-STAGE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN SETTLER MORTALITY AND EXPROPRIATION RISK



FIGURE 1. REDUCED-FORM RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN INCOME AND SETTLER MORTALITY

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
Panel A	Depe	ndent V	ariable Is	Average	Protectio	n Agains	t Exprop	riation Ris	k in 1985	i–1995
Constraint on executive in 1900 Democracy in 1900	0.32 (0.08)	0.26 (0.09)	0.24	0.21						
Constraint on executive in first year of independence			(0.00)	(0.07)	0.25 (0.08)	0.22 (0.08)	2 20	2.00		
Log European settler mortality							(0.61)	(0.78)	-0.61	-0.51
Latitude		2.20		1.60		2.70 (1.40)		0.58 (1.51)	(0.13)	(0.14) 2.00 (1.34)
R ² Number of observations	0.2 63	0.23 63	0.24 62	0.25 62	0.19 63	0.24 63	0.3 66	0.3 66	0.27 64	0.3 64
Panel B	Depend	lent Var n Execu	iable Is C tive in 19	onstraint	Ľ	Dependen Democra	Dependent Variable Is European Settlements in 1900			
European settlements in 1900	5.50 (0.73)	5.40 (0.93)			8.60	8.10 (1.20)				
Log European settler mortality	()	()	-0.82 (0.17)	-0.65 (0.18)	()	()	-1.22 (0.24)	-0.88 (0.25)	-0.11 (0.02)	-0.07 (0.02)
Latitude		0.33 (1.80)	. ,	3.60 (1.70)		1.60 (2.30)		7.60 (2.40)		0.87 (0.19)
<i>R</i> ² Number of observations	0.46 70	0.46 70	0.25 75	0.29 75	0.57 67	0.57 67	0.28 68	0.37 68	0.31 73	0.47 73

TABLE 3—DETERMINANTS OF INSTITUTIONS

Notes: All regressions are OLS. Standard errors are in parentheses. Regressions with constraint on executive in first year of independence also include years since independence as a regressor. Average protection against expropriation risk is on a scale from 0 to 10, where a higher score means more protection against expropriation of private investment by government, averaged over 1985 to 1995. Constraint on executive in 1900 is on a scale from 1 to 7, with a higher score indicating more constraints. Democracy in 1900 is on a scale from 0 to 10, with a higher score indicating more democracy. European settlements is percent of population that was European or of European descent in 1900. See Appendix Table A1 for more detailed variable definitions and sources.

	Base sample (1)	Base sample (2)	Base sample without Neo-Europes (3)	Base sample without Neo-Europes (4)	Base sample without Africa (5)	Base sample without Africa (6)	Base sample with continent dummies (7)	Base sample with continent dummies (8)	Base sample, dependent variable is log output per worker (9)
			Panel A: Two-	Stage Least Squ	ares				
Average protection against expropriation risk 1985–1995 Latitude Asia dummy Africa dummy	0.94 (0.16)	$ \begin{array}{r} 1.00 \\ (0.22) \\ -0.65 \\ (1.34) \end{array} $	1.28 (0.36)	1.21 (0.35) 0.94 (1.46)	0.58 (0.10)	0.58 (0.12) 0.04 (0.84)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.98\\ (0.30) \end{array}$	$1.10 \\ (0.46) \\ -1.20 \\ (1.8) \\ -1.10 \\ (0.52) \\ -0.44 \\ (0.42)$	0.98 (0.17)
"Other" continent dummy							-0.94 (0.85)	-0.99 (1.0)	
Panel	B: First S	tage for A	verage Protecti	on Against Exp	ropriation	Risk in 19	985-1995	/ <u></u>	
Log European settler mortality	-0.61	-0.51	-0.39	-0.39	-1.20	-1.10	-0.43	-0.34	-0.63
Latitude	(0.15)	2.00	(0.15)	-0.11	(0.22)	0.99	(0.17)	2.00	(0.15)
Asia dummy		(1.54)		(1.50)		(1.43)	0.33	0.47	
Africa dummy							-0.27	-0.26	
"Other" continent dummy							(0.41) 1.24 (0.84)	(0.41) 1.1 (0.84)	

TABLE 4-IV REGRESSIONS OF LOG GDP PER CAPITA

Panel C: Ordinary Least Squares

0.13

0.47

0.47

0.30

0.33

0.28

0.13

0.27

0.30

 R^2

Average protection against 0.52 0.49 0.47 0.48 0.47 0.42 0.40 0.46 0.47 expropriation risk 1985-1995 (0.06)(0.06)(0.08)(0.07)(0.07)(0.07)(0.06)(0.06)(0.06)Number of observations 64 64 60 60 37 37 64 64 61

Notes: The dependent variable in columns (1)–(8) is log GDP per capita in 1995, PPP basis. The dependent variable in column (9) is log output per worker, from Hall and Jones (1999). "Average protection against expropriation risk 1985–1995" is measured on a scale from 0 to 10, where a higher score means more protection against risk of expropriation of investment by the government, from Political Risk Services. Panel A reports the two-stage least-squares estimates, instrumenting for protection against expropriation risk using log settler mortality; Panel B reports the corresponding first stage. Panel C reports the coefficient from an OLS regression of the dependent variable against average protection against expropriation risk. Standard errors are in parentheses. In regressions with continent dummies, the dummy for America is omitted. See Appendix Table A1 for more detailed variable descriptions and sources.

Average protection against expropriation risk, 1985–1995 ((1.10).22)).78	Panel A: 1.16 (0.34) -0.75 (1.70)	Two-Stage 1.07 (0.24)	Least Squ 1.00 (0.22)	ares 1.10	1 20	0.00		
Average protection against expropriation risk, 1985–1995 ((1.10 0.22) 0.78	$ \begin{array}{r} 1.16 \\ (0.34) \\ -0.75 \\ (1.70) \end{array} $	1.07 (0.24)	1.00 (0.22)	1.10	1 20	0.00		
Latitude	0.78	0.00			(0.19)	(0.29) -1.10 (1.56)	0.92 (0.15)	$ \begin{array}{r} 1.00 \\ (0.25) \\ -0.94 \\ (1.50) \end{array} $	1.10 (0.29) -1.70 (1.6)
British colonial dummy –(125)	-0.80							
French colonial dummy -().12).35)	(0.39) -0.06 (0.42)							0.02 (0.69)
French legal origin dummy	,	~ /			0.89 (0.32)	0.96 (0.39)			0.51 (0.69)
<i>p</i> -value for religion variables							[0.001]	[0.004]	[0.42]
Panel B: First Stage	e for A	Average P	rotection A	gainst Exp	ropriation	Risk in 1	985-1995	5	
Log European settler mortality –(().53).14)	-0.43 (0.16)	-0.59 (0.19)	-0.51 (0.14)	-0.54 (0.13)	-0.44 (0.14)	-0.58 (0.13)	-0.44 (0.15)	-0.48 (0.18)
Latitude		1.97				2.10		2.50	2.30
British colonial dummy ().63).37)	0.55 (0.37)				(1.50)		(1.50)	(1.00)
French colonial dummy	0.05 0.43)	-0.12 (0.44)							-0.25 (0.89)
French legal origin	,	()			-0.67	-0.7			-0.05 (0.91)
<u>R²</u>	0.31	0.33	0.30	0.30	0.32	0.35	0.32	0.35	0.45
		Panel C:	Ordinary	Least Squa	res				
Average protection against (expropriation risk, 1985–1995 (().53).19) 64	0.47 (0.07)	0.61 (0.09)	0.47 (0.06)	0.56 (0.06)	0.56 (0.06)	0.53 (0.06)	0.47 (0.06)	0.47 (0.06)

TABLE 5-IV REGRESSIONS OF LOG GDP PER CAPITA WITH ADDITIONAL CONTROLS

Notes: Panel A reports the two-stage least-squares estimates with log GDP per capita (PPP basis) in 1995 as dependent variable, and Panel B reports the corresponding first stage. The base case in columns (1) and (2) is all colonies that were neither French nor British. The religion variables are included in the first stage of columns (7) and (8) but not reported here (to save space). Panel C reports the OLS coefficient from regressing log GDP per capita on average protection against expropriation risk, with the other control variables indicated in that column (full results not reported to save space). Standard errors are in parentheses and *p*-values for joint significance tests are in brackets. The religion variables are percentage of population that are Catholics, Muslims, and "other" religions; Protestant is the base case. Our sample is all either French or British legal origin (as defined by La Porta et al., 1999).

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	
	Instrumenting only for average protection against expropriation risk							Instrumenting for all right-hand-side variables			Yellow fever instrument for average protection against expropriation risk	
	Panel A: Two-Stage Least Squares											
Average protection against expropriation risk, 1985–1995 Latitude Malaria in 1994	0.69 (0.25) -0.57	$\begin{array}{c} 0.72 \\ (0.30) \\ -0.57 \\ (1.04) \\ -0.60 \end{array}$	0.63 (0.28)	0.68 (0.34) -0.53 (0.97)	0.55 (0.24)	0.56 (0.31) -0.1 (0.95)	0.69 (0.26) -0.62	0.74 (0.24)	0.68 (0.23)	0.91 (0.24)	0.90 (0.32)	
Life expectancy	(0.47)	(0.47)	0.03	0.03			(0.68)	0.02				
Infant mortality			(0.02)	(0.02)	-0.01 (0.005)	-0.01 (0.006)		(0.02)	-0.01 (0.01)			
Panel 1	B: First St	age for A	verage P	rotection	Against Ex	propriation	Risk in	1985–199:	5			
Log European settler mortality Latitude	-0.42 (0.19)	-0.38 (0.19) 1.70 (1.40)	-0.34 (0.17)	-0.30 (0.18) 1.10 (1.40)	-0.36 (0.18)	-0.29 (0.19) 1.60 (1.40)	-0.41 (0.17) -0.81 (1.80)	-0.40 (0.17) -0.84 (1.80)	-0.40 (0.17) -0.84 (1.80)			
Malaria in 1994	-0.79 (0.54)	(1.40) -0.65 (0.55)		(1.40)		(1.40)	(1.60)	(1.60)	(1.60)			
Life expectancy Infant mortality			0.05 (0.02)	0.04 (0.02)	-0.01	-0.01						
Mean temperature Distance from coast					(0.01)	(0.01)	-0.12 (0.05) 0.57	-0.12 (0.05) 0.55	-0.12 (0.05) 0.55			
Yellow fever dummy							(0.51)	(0.52)	(0.52)	-1.10	-0.81	
<u>R²</u>	0.3	0.31	0.34	0.35	0.32	0.34	0.37	0.36	0.36	0.10	0.32	
			Panel C:	Ordinary	Least Squ	ares						
Average protection against expropriation risk, 1985–1995 Number of observations	0.35 (0.06) 62	0.35 (0.06) 62	0.28 (0.05) 60	0.28 (0.05) 60	0.29 (0.05) 60	0.28 (0.05) 60	0.35 (0.06) 60	0.29 (0.05) 59	0.29 (0.05) 59	0.48 (0.06) 64	0.39 (0.06) 64	

TABLE 7—GEOGRAPHY AND HEALTH VARIABLES

Notes: Panel A reports the two-stage least-squares estimates with log GDP per capita (PPP basis) in 1995, and Panel B reports the corresponding first stages. Panel C reports the coefficient from an OLS regression with log GDP per capita as the dependent variable and average protection against expropriation risk and the other control variables indicated in each column as independent variables (full results not reported to save space). Standard errors are in parentheses. Columns (1)–(6) instrument for average protection against expropriation risk using log mortality and assume that the other regressors are exogenous. Columns (7)–(9) include as instruments average temperature, amount of territory within 100 km of the coast, and latitude (from McArthur and Sachs, 2001). Columns (10) and (11) use a dummy variable for whether or not a country was subject to yellow fever epidemics before 1900 as an instrument for average protection against expropriation. See Appendix Table A1 for more detailed variable definitions and sources.

FIGURE 1.-GDP PER CAPITA VERSUS YEARS OF COLONIALISM





FIGURE 2.—YEARS OF COLONIALISM VERSUS EASTERLY VECTOR OF WIND

	(1) Log GDP per Capita	(2) Log GDP per Capita	(3) Log GDP per Capita—IV	(4) Log GDP per Capita—IV	(5) Log GDP per Capita	(6) Log GDP per Capita—IV
Centuries U.S.	2.145	1.959	1.320	5.641		
	(0.394)***	(1.352)	(0.842)	(10.135)		
Centuries Dutch	0.660	0.442	0.483	0.874		
	(0.117)**	(0.304)	(0.245)*	(1.433)		
Centuries British	0.512	0.579	0.096	0.163		
	(0.155)***	(0.214)***	(0.294)	(1.240)		
Centuries French	0.586	0.547	0.324	0.177		
	$(0.144)^{***}$	$(0.188)^{***}$	(0.263)	(0.632)		
Centuries Spanish	0.204	0.157	-0.006	0.425		
I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	(0.089)**	(0.130)	(0.178)	(0.877)		
Centuries Portuguese	-0.813	-1.237	-0.575	-0.348		
	(0.169)***	(0.737)*	(0.226)**	(1.391)		
Centuries German	1.332	-3.788	-3.181	-23.81		
Centuries Centuri	(1.199)	(1.581)**	(4.814)	(28.012)		
Centuries Japanese	-1.170	-7.113	1.536	-8 691		
Contarios supunese	(0.781)	(4 014)*	(2 705)	(42.118)		
Centuries British legal	(0.701)	(1.011)	(2.705)	(12.110)	0.255	-0.190
Contailes British loga					(0.192)	(0.204)
Centuries French legal					0.392	0.214
Centuries Frenen legar					(0.141)***	(0.143)
Centuries Germon legal					0.406	(0.143) -0.017
Centuries German legar					(0.620)	(0.776)
Abs (latituda)	0.054	0.048	0.052	0.052	(0.029)	0.056
Abs (latitude)	(0.012)***	(0.046)	(0.032	(0.033	(0.014)***	(0.017)***
Area in millions of as Irm	(0.013)	(0.010)***	(0.010)	$(0.029)^{-1}$	(0.014)	(0.017)***
Area in minions of sq kin	(5.951)**	(9 579)*	-13.104	(76.650)	-22.117	(5 202)***
Island is in Desifie	(3.831)**	$(0.376)^{*}$	(4.973)***	(70.039)	(4.034)***	(3.303)****
Island is in Pacific	0.705	1.023	0.400	(1.145)	0.020	0.431
	(0.330)	(0.725)	(0.010)	(1.145)	(0.339)	(0.034)
Island is in Atlantic	0.472	0.000	0.895	0.820	0.738	1.210
	(0.444)	(0.686)	(0.538)	(1.984)	(0.493)	(0.558)**
Constant	5.849	5.952	0.3/4	0.488	0.348	0.948
	(0.636)***	(U.8/3)***	(1.000)***	(1.622)***	(0.654)***	(0.765)***
colonizers?	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO	NO
Observations	81	81	81	81	81	81
R-squared	0.645	0.685	0.539	0.456	0.497	0.413

TABLE 4.—THE EFFECT OF COLONIALISM BY COLONIZING COUNTRIES

Columns 1, 2, and 5 are OLS. Years under British, French, and German legal systems are constructed by categorizing the colonizers legal system using the definitions in LaPorta et al. (1997). Columns 3, 4, and 6 are instrumental variables regressions in which the instruments are the interactions between dummies for having ever been colonized by the United States, Dutch, British, French, Spanish, Portuguese, Germans, or Japanese interacted with easterly wind speed and standard deviation of easterly wind. We interact the eight country dummies with each of the two wind variables. Column 3 includes the eight country dummies in the first and second stages of the IV regression.

Robust standard errors in parentheses. Standard errors are clustered at the island group level.

*significant at 10%; **significant at 5%; ***significant at 1%.