

MAE4221 Research Seminar 1: Assignment Evaluation

Evaluation of the course paper (final exam)

Exam paper identification

Title of the paper:	
Inspira ID:	
Semester (submission):	<i>Spring 2021</i>
Date of the evaluation:	

Summary of the grading

Criterion	Fulfilled	Not fulfilled
Content and Structure (total: 60 %; 20 criteria, 3 % each)		
Title page		
A complete title page is provided.		
Abstract		
The length does not exceed 150 words.		
The abstract conveys the research topic, goals, and approaches.		
Keywords		
3-5 keywords are provided.		
Keywords describe the main research theme.		
Introduction and background		
The introduction introduces the topic and its relevance.		
The research problem/gap and the relevance are described.		
The introduction reviews the state-of-the-art of the topic.		
The introduction reviews key concepts, constructs, or theories.		
Research questions/hypotheses		
The objective(s) of the study are described.		
The research question(s)/hypotheses are formulated.		
The contribution of the study is outlined.		
Proposed methods and feasibility		
The intended study approach is described completely (i.e., study type/design, data/sample, measures/variables, analytic methods/frameworks).		
The study design/approach matches the research question(s)/hypotheses.		
The feasibility of the study is described clearly (e.g., data availability/accessibility, ethical/practical constraints, software requirements).		
Proposed schedule		
A schedule for conducting the Master thesis project is provided.		
The schedule is coherent with the study design and goal(s).		
References		

References are used appropriately.		
A reference list is contained.		
Referencing follows the APA style guide (7 th edition).		
Proposal format (total: 10 %; 2 criteria, 5 % each)		
The paper format follows the APA style guide (7 th edition).		
The proposal does not exceed 10 pages (incl. title page, main text, references, tables, and figures).		
Heuristic quality criteria (total: 30 %; 5 criteria, 6 % each)		
Value: Good account of the relevance and contribution of this research		
Coherence: Internal (logical flow, scientific reasoning) & external (literature)		
Clear language: Concise, structured, and appropriate use of language		
Feasibility: Realistic, answerable, and feasible research questions and approaches		
Specificity: Specific research questions and measurable constructs/ concepts		

Note: 'X' indicates that this category applies.

The detailed comments on and reviews of the evaluation criteria are provided below.

Grading

To pass the course assignment, the proposal must meet **at least 60 %** of the above criteria.

Criterion	Pass	Fail
After carefully reviewing the criteria, the paper is graded as:		

Specific comments

■ ...