Periodic evaluation form of courses IPED

Please fill out the form and send it to the administrative coordinator of the course.

Course	HEM4312
Semester	Fall 2016
Lecturer/responsible	Mari Elken
for the course	
Time for mid	N/A
evaluation (short	
evaluation midway	
through the course)	
How did you carry	Nettskjema
out the periodic	1 total game
evaluation?	
How many students	10/15
took part in this	10/13
evaluation?	
Cvaraation.	
The students experience of the following points:	
_	
*Lessons/teaching,	80% of the students indicated that they felt that the structure of the
teaching plan and	seminars contributed well to their overall learning.
learning	
environment	
*The content of the	This course is a two day course with student presentations and
course (level and	feedback on their final thesis proposal. The students receive
relevance in	feedback from both their peers and the teacher of the course. Student
connection to the	presentations received mixed response, but only one student
course aims)	responded that this was not useful.
	The students gave a mixed response to how useful they found both
	the peer feedback and the feedback from the teacher of the course.
	In both cases one student responded that they did not find the
	feedback useful, and about 70% responded on the usefulness as
	"quite a lot" and "completely satisfied".
*Assessment	The assessment for this course is a final submission of their thesis
	proposal. There was a varied response in how the students felt that
	this contributed to the overall course. The distribution was similar
	than on the previous question.
*Additional	Students were given the opportunity to provide additional feedback
feedback	on the course. One student commented that it would have been
	useful to have the assigned supervisors participating in the feedback
	of the proposals.
	Another student felt that there was too much emphasis on problems
	that arise during the thesis writing and research phase. This student
	noted that it wasn't helpful to hear about the scary part of the thesis.
	This student offered the suggestion of focusing instead on how to
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	overcome challenges.

This same student also commented on the timing of this course
based on the other course requirements.
bused on the other course requirements.
The course should be evaluated also in the context of the overall programme structure. Until recently, there was a longer gap between the HEM4113 course exam and advanced methods, giving students ample time to apply their knowledge to the proposal. Due to scheduling issues this was somewhat challenging this year. Scheduling is an issue that should be critically examined. Furthermore, the thesis writing seminar structure in spring was significantly altered for previous semester, thus the student body and
that students were in varied stage of their proposal writing process, with some already working with their supervisors. This can be addressed by creating a clearer link between the thesis seminars in spring semester and the Advanced methods course, as well as to the HEM4113 course.
One of the suggestions is to have the supervisors participate at the seminar. In the current structure of the programme this would be rather complicated, as not all students have their supervisors formally assigned, and thesis work formally starts on fourth semester.
Regarding emphasis on challenges – there was already a clear intent to discuss both challenges and how to overcome these in further work, and the course concluded with a session on how to proceed further with thesis writing. In that final session that concluded the two days, specific emphasis was on practical tips on how to manage thesis writing. We covered the issue of coherence, academic writing and what is important when writing the thesis, use of software for academic writing, how to work with supervisor, how to plan your work and time management. The session was open, and it was emphasized that questions about such issues would be welcome throughout.

Signature (academic staff):